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Inculturation in the Korean context

Inculturation is a process which always takes place in a particular context of the local church. Theologically speaking, another way of saying virtually the same thing is that the Gospel seeks to become more and more a part of an individual community of believers. Therefore, efforts at evangelization are not directed towards some generic group which could just as easily be exchanged for some other group with little or no corresponding change in the means used for evangelization. Both Ad gentes and Evangelii nuntiandi stress the necessity for adaptation as an intrinsic part of evangelization. According to the former decree, not only theological investigation, but also revelation contained in Scripture, the teachings of Church Tradition, as well as the Magisterium, must all be

submitted to a new examination in the light of the tradition of the universal Church. In this way it will be more clearly understood by what means the faith can be explained in terms of the philosophy and wisdom of the people, and how their customs, concept of life and social structures can be reconciled with the
standard proposed by divine revelation. Thus a way will be opened up for a more profound adaptation in the whole sphere of Christian life.  

If evangelization is done in this manner then, as Evangelii nuntiandi avers, we can no longer conceive the task of spreading the Gospel as being confined to merely preaching "in ever extending territories and proclaiming it to ever increasing multitudes of men." Rather, the Gospel must be announced in such a way that it can

recast the criteria of judgment, the standard of values, the incentives and life standards of the human race which are inconsistent with the word of God and the plan of salvation.

This is radical evangelization, i.e., a proclamation that seeks to go to the very roots of a particular human community. In reaching to the roots of this community the Gospel message does not seek to tear out the roots of this community’s culture, nor does it intend to just add a "Gospel veneer" as one might flock a Christmas tree

The task of evangelization is intimately linked with not only the individual person, but also with the community in which that person lives. To quote Evangelii nuntiandi once more:

This work [of evangelization] must always take the human person as its starting point, coming back to the interrelationships between persons and their relation with God.

In the same way the genuine inculturation of theology must take as its starting point both the individual and the community. Each community of believers is joined together with the other communities to be sure, but the focus of the present discussion is on how best to inculturate the Gospel message in one particular community. Therefore, logically more attention must be devoted to the uniqueness of this particular community, rather than seeking out only some set of common denominators with all the other Christian communities in the world

Again, Evangelii nuntiandi legitimizes this stance when Paul VI says that the individual churches

must make their own the substance of the evangelical message. Without any sacrifice of the essential truths they must transpose
Transposition of the Gospel into the idiom of the Korean culture requires first trying to read the Gospel message with Korean eyes, and to hear the Gospel proclamation with Korean ears. Receiving the Gospel. In order to appreciate the Korean context better, let us turn to a brief consideration of the history of the introduction of Christianity into that land. The whole complex picture of the development of Christianity in Korea obviously cannot be painted in minute detail, but the broad strokes of that history need to be seen in order to envision possible directions for theological reflection in the future. In connection with my treatment of the history of the development of Christianity in Korea, I also briefly will discuss the particular brand of Korean Liberation Theology, known as Minjung theology. This Minjung theology is one clear example of theological inculturation which may aid further efforts at inculturation in Korea.

Introduction of Christianity into Korea

Korea is unique in Christendom in that her primary initial evangelization was not done by foreign missionaries coming into the land, but rather through the efforts of several Korean Neo-Confucian scholars who first became acquainted with Christianity through the writings of Matteo Ricci and other missionaries who had labored in Ming China. Direct contact with Catholic priests in Peking came on the initiative of the Koreans themselves, on the occasion of ambassadorial visits to the Chinese court.

The Catholic Church in Korea celebrated the Bi-Centennial of
Christianity in Korea in 1984, in accordance with the tradition that Christianity was first introduced into the land in 1784 by a native son, Peter Yi Seung-hoon, who had been baptized while on a diplomatic mission with his father in Peking. The context of Yi Seung-hoon's conversion deserves some additional comment because it illustrates well the importance of a Confucian hermeneutics for Christian theology in Korea.

Though Yi Seung-hoon is traditionally called the first Korean Christian, the Bi-Centennial Commemorative Committee reserves the title, "Founder of Christianity in Korea," to an older contemporary of Yi Seung-hoon, Yi Byok. Yi Byok came from a well-established family and he received the traditional training in the Chinese classics. By this time several books that Christianity, such as Matteo Ricci's The True Meaning of the Lord of Heaven, written in Chinese, had made their appearance in Korea. Several Korean scholars studied these books, but "as a curiosity, viewing them merely as new and noble theory, but nothing more."

When Yi Byok was twenty-five years old he joined a group of students who had studied under the renowned Silhak scholar, Yi Ik. Silhak, which literally means "practical learning," was an intellectual movement within Korean Neo-Confucianism which criticized the way those in power were administering the government and economy of the nation. Though the Silhak movement merits study both in reference to Korean history and Neo-Confucianism, its relevance to Christianity is found in the history of several of the young scholars who had gathered around Yi Ik.

In December of 1779, Yi Byok joined some other disciples of Yi Ik who had withdrawn to a Buddhist temple outside of Seoul called Chon Jin Am. These men engaged in a study seminar which discussed the Chinese Classics, Buddhist and Taoist teachings, and also some of the Christian works that had come from China. This group that met at Chon Jin Am formed themselves into an association called the Kang Hak Hoe. They continued to meet and spent regularly
scheduled days together in meditation, discussion, study and fasting.

In 1783 the father of Yi Seung-hoon, Yi Tong-ook, was appointed Korean envoy to the Chinese court at Peking, and Yi Byok seized this opportunity to acquaint the Kang Hak Hoe better with the teachings of Christianity. Therefore, Yi Byok asked his friend Yi Seung-hoon to meet with the foreign missionaries resident in Peking, seek instruction and baptism in Christianity, and to obtain from them more books and other religious articles, such as crosses and rosaries.

Yi Seung-hoon and his father arrived in Peking in December of 1783 and Yi Seung-hoon made his way to the North Catholic Church where he made the acquaintance of a French Jesuit priest, Louis de Grammont. Shortly before the Korean delegation's return to Seoul in February of 1784 de Grammont baptized Yi Seung-hoon, giving him the name of Peter. This was to be the rock upon which the Korean Church would have its foundation.

Upon his return to Seoul, Yi Seung-hoon baptized several of his associates, including Yi Byok to whom the name John the Baptist was given in recognition of his efforts in organizing this small group of the first Korean Christians. Yi Byok in turn baptized several others and organized regular Sunday celebrations.

It did not take long for resistance to develop against this early group of Christians in Korea. As early as 1785 there is evidence of persecution against the group since they were viewed as espousing a "heretical" interpretation of Neo-Confucianism in adopting Christianity." In fact, the Catholic teaching was dubbed Sohak, or "Western learning" by its detractors who held power in the government.

For their part, several of the early Christians sought to explain and defend the truth of Christianity and the Catholic doctrines by writing short treatises which discussed these teachings in reference to both Confucian philosophy and Buddhist religious beliefs. Thus, right at the moment Christianity was introduced into Korea there were already numerous attempts to "inculcate" the faith in terms which would be familiar to a significant portion of the Korean people. One Korean scholar, Rev. Jean Sangbae Ri, who did his doctoral dissertation on the writings of Yi Byok, gives the following evaluation of
Yi Byok’s basic approach:

But Yi Piek (Yi Byok), on becoming Christian and on working out his doctrine for the use of the members of the first Korean Christian community, did not give in to the pressure of the western culture, for which he nevertheless had a real admiration. His Christianity is deliberately Far-Eastern, “Confucian,” in the sense that Western theology can be called platonic or aristotelian. 16

In an odd way one factor which encouraged the efforts at developing a Korean theology was the total absence of clergy in the country during this period. Requests were made to the bishop of Peking for a priest to be sent to minister to the community, but it was so difficult for a foreigner to cross the border that was not until 1794, ten years after the baptism of Yi Seung-hoon, before a Chinese priest, Chu Mun-mo, was smuggled into the country. Thus, the early band of Christians had to carry on as best they could without benefit of guidance, or interference, from a trained clergy.

As a means of both explaining their faith and trying to win further adherences several members of the little band of scholar-Christians wrote various hymns, apologia, and even a catechism. Yi Byok wrote several pieces, including the “Hymn in Praise of God.” 17 Another important opus was the catechism, the Chu-gyo Yo-Ji, or The Essentials of the Lord’s Teaching, composed by Augustine Chong Yak-jong. 18 The son of Chong Yak-jong, Chong Ha-sang, who was to be martyred in the persecution of 1839, wrote an apologia, the Letter to the Prime Minister shortly before his death, in which he held that Christian ethics were not at odds with Confucian ethics, but that they held similar beliefs in benevolence, justice and propriety, including filial piety. All of these writings spoke of Christianity in relation to Confucian philosophical concepts in order to show both the superiority of Christianity, and the basic compatibility of this new doctrine with the fundamental beliefs and virtues of Confucian ethics. 19
However, Christianity would not establish itself without a considerable amount of tribulation. The entrance of the first priest, the Chinese Rev. Chu Mun-mo, sparked another, more violent anti-Catholic persecution than those of 1785 and 1791. In the authorities' efforts to locate this foreign intruder connected with those spreading the so-called Sŏhak "heresy," several Korean Catholics were tortured and three were killed.

Nevertheless, it was not essentially xenophobia that occasioned this anti-Catholic persecution, but rather the perception that the new movement threatened the very fabric of traditional Korean society. The adherents of Christianity did not practice ancestor rites, once they learned in a 1790 letter from the Bishop of Peking, Alexandre de Gouveia, that the Church forbade these commemorative rituals. This "non-practice" was seen as unfilial behavior that was so blasphemous that it threatened the social stability of the public order.22

Moreover, many of the early Christians were also members of a faction of the Sŏhak movement called Namin.23 The Namin group often opposed and criticized government policies, and therefore received unfavorable official treatment in return. This fact has some bearing on the subsequent persecution of Korean Catholics. As Sangbae Ri observes,

\[\text{Many Confucianists meanwhile considered Christianity to be a heresy and attacked it with increasing violence. ... The conflict between Confucianists and Christians will become more and more pronounced, and it was aggravated by the struggle between the political parties.}^{24}\]

In 1800 the political fortunes of the Catholic group worsened as Queen Chongsun came to power as regent for her eleven-year-old great grandson, King Sun-jo. The Queen ordered a general persecution of all Catholics in an attempt to consolidate her power and wipe out political opposition. The Chinese priest, Chu Mun-mo, and some three hundred Korean Catholics were martyred in 1801. With Chu's
death the Catholic community was to be left bereft of clergy until 1837, when three members of the Paris Foreign Missionary Society—two priests and Bishop Laurent Marie Joseph Imbert—arrived. They had managed to smuggle themselves into the country by wearing the wide-brimmed mourning hats, which obscured their Western faces and also simplified entry procedures, since it was Korean custom to respect absolutely the privacy of someone in mourning.

With the arrival of the Western missionaries the development of Korean Catholicism entered a new phase. To be sure, these three French clergy were not able to exercise their ministry for very long before the government succeeded in rooting them out and beheading them in September, 1839. However, they were replaced by other foreigners, and Korean seminarians in turn were sent away to seminaries outside the country and then smuggled back in upon completion of their training.

The first Korean priest, Andrew Kim Tae-gon, was ordained a priest in 1845 and he managed to re-enter the country later that year. However, Fr. Andrew Kim’s ministry also was short-lived as he was arrested and executed in September, 1846. Other Koreans were ordained priests, but control of the young Church had now passed largely into French hands.

While the period of growth of the Catholic Church in Korea from 1836 through World War II merits greater attention than it has presently received, I will pass over this phase almost entirely. Native clearly increased, but, as noted above, direction of the hierarchy remained under foreign control. In fact, Korea would not have her first native bishop until 1942, when Msgr. Paul Ro Gi-nam became bishop of Seoul. Even at this writing there still remain two non-Korean bishops as ordinaries out of fourteen dioceses in South Korea

In 1886 Korea concluded a treaty with France which ended government persecution of Catholicism and give limited freedom to evangelize. Nevertheless, the earlier efforts towards inculturation of the faith made during the era of Yi Byok, Yi Seung-hoon, and the generation of Korean Christians which immediately succeeded them, for the most part had already died out.
Towards the end of the 19th century Korea became a staging ground for a power conflict between China and Japan, with the eventual result that Korea was run as a colony by Japan until the end of World War II. The dream of liberation turned into the nightmare of the Korean War as immense new suffering was inflicted on the land. Following the Armistice in 1953, the Catholic Church in Korea entered a phase of phenomenal growth. In the South the number of Catholics grew from around 200,000 in 1945 to 1.5 million by the time of Bi-Centennial Celebration in 1984.

Nevertheless, the Church in Korea, as well as Korean society in general, were faced with new problems brought about by the increasing "Westernization" of the country. Korea had been given little time or opportunity to recover from the effects of the Japanese occupation, and now found herself anew in the unenviable position of being caught between two hostile superpowers. Paul Sye, S.J. offers the following summary of Korea's situation:

After liberation in 1945, traditional culture was discredited. It never again flourished in Korea, because we had absorbed foreign culture in an unreflective way. Clearly it is possible to list a variety of factors which lie at the origin of this phenomenon. The most important is Japanese colonial policy. It sought to impose Japanese culture -- or, rather, a western imitation -- on Korean intellectuals. And this without giving them the opportunity to rediscover and adapt traditional culture, thus separating the intellectuals from this traditional culture. As a result, when the culture of the superpowers invaded our country after liberation, these Korean intellectuals were unable to assimilate (while at the same time offering a critique of) western culture. And so, they could not preserve their national identity.

Moreover, this propagation of western culture took place smoothly and without resistance or revolt because Christianity with a European or north American stamp had undoubtedly played an historical role. It took place without resistance, above all because the government's political decisions were taken under the influence of people who had studied abroad, people who were in consequence mere toadies, even though they constituted the principal foundation of the ruling class.
Fr. Sye goes on to give an excellent analysis of the complexity of
the situation and of the demands facing the Korean Church today,
and concludes that one important approach to inculturation would
be greater attention to the riches of Korea's cultural heritage:

Right from the start one should say that to work for the incultur-
ation of Christianity in a Korean context requires familiarity with
the treasures accumulated through the past of its people. And this
past is richly steeped in Confucian and Buddhist thought. To be
at ease with this heritage of the past two thousand years means
that one appropriates its wealth as a means of bringing forth
linguistic expression and that with this background of traditional
expression one elucidate the essence of the Christian message
clearly in good contemporary Korean. This is necessary in order
to assure a grounding in the past and a commitment to modern
problems. 30

So, though the road ahead is challenging, it was with great joy and
justifiable pride that the Korean Church celebrated two centuries of
the history of Christianity in their land. Extensive preparations were
carried on by the Bi-Centennial Committee which involved
thousands of the faithful and touched nearly every area of Catholic
life in the Peninsula. The climax of the celebrations was the historic
visit of Pope John Paul II to Korea in the first week of May, 1984.
The main event during the Pope's visit, the canonization of the "103
Korean martyrs" in a huge open-air Mass on Yoido Island in Seoul,
was attended by over one million people. 31

Looking back at the history of Christianity in Korea, Sr. Kim
Sung-hae outlines three basic periods. The initial period, from the
baptism of Yi Seung-hoon to the arrival of the French Paris Foreign
Mission Society fathers, Sr. Kim describes as a time when "Asian
resources were creatively used." 32 Here she refers to the writings of
men like Yi Byok, Augustine Chong Yakkong, and his son, Chong Ha-sang.

The second period extends from the ar-
ival of the French missionaries up to
Vatican II. Sr. Kim concludes that during
this next 120 years,
the Church was led by the missionaries from France whose training reflected the strict Catholic orthodoxy of that time. The Western theological mold, therefore, was accepted with minor adaptations.33

The third period runs from Vatican II to the present, and has "been a time for rethinking, re-orienting and accumulating experiences for the future." As one major resource for aiding the task of inculturating theology in Korea, Sr. Kim sounds a note similar to Fr. Paul Sye by stressing the importance of the first period of the founding of Christianity in Korea:

This founding period [i.e., the first 60 years], I believe, can offer us an inspiration or a guiding principle which proves to be most true to the spirit of the Gospel since those early Christians were most immersed in both traditional thought and present realities of their time. This fact indicates where the heart of inculturation lies and which direction we should go in our effort of doing theology with our own resources, traditional and contemporary.34

Obviously neither Sr. Kim nor Fr. Sye are calling for the catechism of Augustine Chong Yak-jong to replace current catechetical materials. Rather, they are underscoring the importance of a dialectical approach which would use creatively all the riches of Korea's five-thousand-year-old cultural heritage.

Korean Minjung Theology

Though the term Minjung Theology only dates from the 1970's its historical antecedents reach far back into the feudal times of Korea's past when as many as one-half of the Korean peasants found themselves in some sort of de facto slavery. In the historical overview of the development of Christianity in Korea, I omitted some events which nevertheless have had considerable impact on both Catholics and Protestants in the country.35 I will only touch on two here: the first is the so-called Tonghak Revolt of 1894 and the second is the 1 March 1919 popular uprising against Japanese colonial rule.
Tonghak means literally "Eastern Learning," and the name was chosen partially in reaction to the growing influence in Korea of Christianity, or Sohak ("Western Learning"). The founder of the Tonghak movement was Ch’oe Che-u, who sought to combine elements of Confucianism, Buddhism, Taoism, and native Korean shamanism into a teaching which supposedly would be more in tune with the Korean psyche than the Christian Sohak.

Ch’oe Che-u’s teachings also included a social reform movement which appealed very much to the peasant class. The reforms preached by Ch’oe Che-u included a call to rid the government of corruption, and this ultimately led to his arrest and execution in 1864. After the founder’s death the Tonghak movement waned, but did not die. A generation later, in 1892, the followers of the religious arm of Tonghak, the Ch’on-Do-Kyo ("Heavenly Way Religion"), began a drive to have the government officially clear the name of Ch’oe Che-u. Within two years this drive mushroomed into a popular uprising aimed at ending the oppression of the peasants by the government and the noble class, the yangban. Another stated goal of the Tonghak Revolt was the expulsion of all foreigners from Korea—particularly the Japanese and the Westerners. Christian missionaries figured prominently in the latter group.

The Tonghak peasant army reached a number of around 10,000, and a panic-stricken government appealed to China for military assistance. China promptly sent troops, but so did Japan, and thus Korea found herself caught between these two Asian superpowers. The ultimate result of this confrontation was victory for Japan and Japanese colonization of Korea. Although the Tonghak peasant revolt was crushed, the demands for social reform, plus the rancour caused by the Japanese intervention, were not to be obliterated so easily.  

As noted above, Korea became a Japanese colony when she was annexed by Japan in 1910. Despite strict Japanese control over political life in Korea, several independence groups were formed both in the country and abroad. Christians in particular were identified with the Shinminhoe® organized in 1907 by An Ch’ang-ho, and the Choson Kungminhoe° begun by Chang Il-hwan in 1917.
Abroad, the principle of national self-determination advocated by the American President Woodrow Wilson in his Fourteen Points provided additional hope for the Korean independence movement that foreign powers might pressure Japan to leave Korea. However, as Japan was on the winning side in World War I, such foreign intervention on behalf of Korea was not forthcoming.

Although Korea’s hopes for support from the international community were dashed, the desire itself for independence erupted in a series of demonstrations which began on 1 March 1919. A group of thirty-three men, organized around leaders from the Ch’on-Do-Kyo, Christian and Buddhist religions, utilized the occasion of the funeral rites for Korea’s last monarch, King Kojong, to publish a Declaration of Independence from Japan. This proclamation sparked an uprising throughout the country which was brutally suppressed by the Japanese.

The March 1st movement (Samil “in Korean) failed in its immediate intent to secure Korean independence, but the event is still remembered yearly in a national day of commemoration. Moreover, the March 1st movement, like the Tonghak uprising and similar popular movements in Korea’s history are good examples of the growing consciousness of the Korean people, the Minjung, of their situation as subjects of history.

According to the theologian Hyun Younghak, the term Minjung Theology was first used in 1979 by a group of Protestants preparing position papers for an Asian theological conference. This theological reflection in turn was being done with reference to the politico-economic situation in the 1970’s during the dictatorship of President Park Chung Hee. Part of the theological reflection on the contemporary situation included a historical investigation into the current state of affairs, but done from the point of view of the Minjung rather than from the perspective of the ruling class.

The term Minjung, as Hyun Younghak recounts, is not easily
n advocated Points a. However, uch foreign

The "more personal as well as broader historical connotations" which Hyun ascribes to Minjung relate to the theological interpretation of the situation in which the oppressed in Korea find themselves. The oppressed have a history, such as the events connected with the Tonghak movement described above, but they also have individual stories. Therefore, doing "social biography" -- recounting the individual stories of oppression -- becomes an important aspect of doing Minjung theology.

An intrinsic element which is found in all of these social biographies is what Koreans call Han. Again, this word cannot be defined with precision, but Hyun Younghak describes Han as

a sense of unresolved resentment against injustices suffered, as sense of helplessness because of the overwhelming odds against, a feeling of total abandonedness ("Why hast thou forsaken me?"), a feeling of acute pain of sorrow in one's guts and bowels making the whole body writhe and wriggle, and an obstinate urge to take "revenge" and to right the wrong -- all these combined. We Koreans often think of ourselves as a "hanridden" people.

Another pioneer Minjung theologian, Suh Nam-dong, points to four chief historical factors which taken together have produced this national sense of Han:
(1) Koreans have suffered numerous invasions by surrounding nations so that the very existence of the Korean nation has come to be understood as han.

(2) Koreans have continually suffered the tyranny of the rulers so that they think of their existence as baeksong.

(3) Also, under Confucianism’s strict imposition of laws and customs discriminating against women, the existence of women was han itself.

(4) At a certain point in Korean history, about half of the population were registered as hereditary slaves and were treated as property rather than as people of the nation.\textsuperscript{48}

As Suh Nam-dong observes, Korea’s long history of being at the crossroads of struggles between her more powerful neighbors has caused her intense suffering. Internally, her Confucian feudal system also led to much oppression and inequality among the social classes. All of these historical forces have helped to create the cultural attitude towards oppression which Koreans themselves call Han\textsuperscript{49}.

However, Han also carries with it a definitive sensitivity to the gospel message of salvation. As another Korean theologian, Cyris H.S. Moon, stands,

Han, however, is a starting point for a new human history. Through the experience of han one’s spiritual eyes are opened and one is enabled to see the deep truths about life. In han, we come to see the infinite value of personhood and are able to assert our precious rights as human beings. In han we see clearly what is good and evil and learn to hate evil and love good. In han we encounter God who comes down to the han-ridden people and justifies their plight. With han as our point of departure we begin to dream of a new, alternative future and to dedicate ourselves to the cause of making that future a reality.\textsuperscript{50}

Therefore, Han should not be seen in purely negative terms, and in fact most Korean Minjung theologians would agree that it is in the recognition of one’s oppression that one can also be profoundly touched by Jesus’ proclamation of the proximity of the Kingdom of
God. Han has both a personal and a communitarian dimension. An individual experiences Han as a result of personal suffering and oppression, but the entire people — the Minjung— experience Han collectively as well.

According to Suh Nam-dong, the principal task of Minjung theology is to testify that in the Mission of God in Korea there is a confluence of the minjung tradition in Christianity and the Korean minjung tradition. It is to participate and interpret theologically the events which we consider to be God’s intervention in history and the work of the Holy Spirit. To participate in and to interpret these events we need to maintain both traditions.51

Another important Minjung theologian is the well-known Catholic Minjung poet, Kim Chi-ha.52 He tries to combine the traditional Minjung elements with Christian belief in his literary works. Kim Chi-ha maintains that it is the cycle of oppression, violence and revenge expressed in Han which must be ended through the resolute step of Dan, or "cutting." Dan requires that the vicious circle of suffering, Han, be transformed through a revolutionary social movement whereby the Minjung themselves seek their own liberation. The community of the Church is crucial in consoling the Minjung, while helping them establish that identity as "subjects" of history, rather than "objects" oppressed by a ruling class.

Though this essay on Minjung theology in the context of the history of Christianity in Korea has only sketched briefly some of the aspects of its theological approach, I believe Minjung theology does offer real promise for the ongoing inculturation of the gospel in Korea. Certainly at the very least it is worthy of greater interest among Christians who live outside the Korean Peninsula.
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25. For a brief, but interesting biography of Andrew Kim Tae-gon, which places him in the context of the mid-19th century Korean political situation, see Martin Jarrett-Kerr, C.R.'s "André Kim (Korea)," Ch. 8 (pp. 101-114) in Patterns of Christian Acceptance: Individual Response to the Missionary Impact, 1550-1950. (London: Oxford University Press, 1972). This same book also contains chapters on Chinese Christians.

26. A comprehensive, critical history of the development of the Church in Korea in a Western language still remains to be written. Charles Dallet relied on the
letters and reports sent back to France from the Paris Foreign Mission fathers to compose his two-volume *Histoire de L’Église de Corée* (Paris: Libraire Victor Palmé, 1874). The work is interesting and valuable, but virtually no scholar today considers it to be a critical work on the history of the Church in Korea. Joseph Kim, Chang-mun and John Chung, Jae-sun’s *Catholic Korea: Yesterday and Today*, (Seoul: Catholic Korea Publishing Co., 1964) is probably the most comprehensive work available in English. Almost all other works publications are short articles or monographs devoted to just one or another phase of this history.

27. Namely, Msgr. Thomas Stewart (Irish, Columban) of Chunchon; and Msgr. William McNaughton (American, Maryknoll) of Incheon. Msgr. Rene Dupont (French, Paris Foreign Mission Society) of Andong retired in November, 1990 and was replaced by a Korean. There are three archdioceses in South Korea: Seoul, Taegu and Kwangju. Stephen Cardinal Kim is archbishop of Seoul and Primate of Korea. There remain three dioceses, without resident bishops, in North Korea. There is practically no religious freedom in communist North Korea, and the Church there is referred to as the “Silent Church.” For a recent overview of the Church in North Korea see Lucio Brunelli’s article, “North Korea: Survivors Under the Rule of Kim II Sung,” *30 Days* 2 (January, 1989): 28-31.

28. From 1894 onwards Japan’s power grew quickly in Asia. She defeated Russia in the Russo-Japanese War of 1904, and one provision of the Treaty of Portsmouth of 1905 made Korea a protectorate of Japan. However, in 1910, in explicit violation of that Treaty, Japan formally annexed Korea into her Empire. Japanese rule in Korea was quite harsh. For example, it was a crime to speak Korean in public, and the Japanese followed a program of virtual cultural genocide and economic exploitation was followed. The American and Russian forces “liberated” Korea by accepting surrender from the Japanese troops on August 15, 1945. However, at the same time, by dividing the Peninsula arbitrarily at the 38th Parallel the “liberators” set the scene for the tragic Korean War (1950-1953) and the painful separation of the country which continues to the present day.

29. “Après la libération de 1945, la culture traditionnelle s’est effritée et elle n’a pas refleuri en Corée, parce que nous avons, inconstamment absorbé la culture étrangère. Évidemment, nous pouvons citer quelques causes qui ont été à l’origine de ces phénomènes. La plus importante est la politique coloniale du Japon qui voulut imposer la culture japonaise, c’est-à-dire une imitation occidentale aux intellectuels coréens, sans leur offrir l’opportunité de retrouver et d’aménager la culture traditionnelle, ce qui sépara les intellectuels coréens de la culture traditionnelle. C’est pourquoi ils ne purent pas assimiler, en la critiquant, la culture occidentale et préserver ainsi l’identité nationale quand la culture des super-grands embrassait notre pays après la libération. En outre, la propagation de la culture occidentale se produisit sans heurts ni résistances, ni révoltes parce qu’un christianisme avec le visage de l’Europe ou de l’Amérique du Nord y avait joué certaine—

30. "Il faut dire tout de suite que pour travailler à l'inculturation du christianisme en contexte coréen, il faut être à l'aise avec les trésors accumulés par le passé du peuple. Et ce passé est tout chargé de la pensée confucéenne et bouddhique. Être à l'aise avec cet héritage du passé deux fois millénaire, cela signifie que l'on s'approprie ces trésors pour en tirer les moyens d'expression linguistique et qu'avec ce bagage d'expressions traditionnelles, qu'on éclaire l'essence du message chrétien clairement en coreen courant moderne. Ceci pour assurer l'enracinement dans le passé et l'engagement dans les problemes modernes." Ibid., p. 26.

31. Andrew Kim Tae-gon, the first native Korean priest, has pride of place among the 103 Korean Martyrs. Ten French Peris Foreign Mission Society clergy are among the 103, including three bishops. The remaining 92 are all Korean lay people (including 47 women) who represent a good cross-section of the Korean society of that period. The martyrs came mainly from three major persecutions: 1839, 1846, and 1866. For a brief biographical sketch of each martyr see the Lives of 103 Martyr Saints of Korea published in Seoul in 1984 by the Committee for Beatification and Canonization.


33. Ibid., pp. 379-380.

34. Ibid., p. 380.

35. Ibid.

36. I omit here discussion of the history of Protestant evangelization of Korea. This movement was handled mostly by American Presbyterians and Methodists, but did not begin until 1884. Most of its initial efforts were concentrated in education and medical work. For an excellent and concise discussion of this history see Everett N. Hunt, Jr.'s Protestant Pioneers in Korea, American Society of Missiology, no. 1, (Maryknoll: Orbis Books, 1980).
37. Today the Ch'on-Do-Kyo sect claims some 600,000 followers in Korea, and the "List of Demands" drawn up at the time of the Tonghak peasant are still invoked in various social reform movements.


39. Choson Kungminhoe: Association of the Korean People. Choson was another name for Korea during the Yi dynasty, and was the name by which Korea was officially known to the world during the Japanese colonial period.

40. According to figures from the Japanese colonial authorities 46,948 demonstrators were arrested, 7,509 people were killed, 15,951 were injured, and 715 houses, 47 churches, and 2 schools were destroyed. On one instance, 29 people were burned alive in a church in the village of Cheam-ri, near Suwon. As the noted Korean historian Lee Ki-balk observes, "in reality the numbers in all these categories far exceeded those officially reported." A New History of Korea, p. 344.

41. Samil is simply Korean-Chinese for "3-1," which is how dates are rendered. Therefore, the 3rd month, 1st day is March 1st.

42. Baeksong is the more common transliteration for these Chinese ideograms.


45. Traditional Korean performative folk-art forms such as T'al Chum (Masked Dance), Pansori (Common people's song), and the shaman's Kut have all been utilized as ways of doing this "social biography" in Minjung theology. For example, Korean university students use T'al Chum in a particularly effective way to communicate their social grievances during campus demonstrations. For a discussion of the Minjung elements of the T'al Chum see the following two articles by Hyun Younghak: "The Cripple's Dance and Minjung Theology," Ching Feng 28 (1985): 30-35; and "A Theological Look at the Mask Dance in Korea," ch. 3 in Minjung Theology: People as the Subjects of History, ed. Commission on Theological Concerns of the Christian Conference of Asia (CTC-CCA), (Maryknoll: Orbis Books, 1983), pp. 47-54. This book contains a number of excellent articles by various Korean theologians on aspects of Minjung theology.

46. Though this is a Chinese ideogram which could be translated as "hate" or "resentment," Han in Korea has a more nuanced meaning.


49. For a brief treatment of the relation among Han, Minjung theology and Korean history, see Suh Nam-Dong’s article "Historical References for a Theology of Minjung," ch. 9 in Minjung Theology: People as the Subjects of History, pp. 155-182.


51. Suh, Nam-dong, Minjung Theology: People as the Subjects of History, p. 177.