Re-Reading the Roman Tea Leaves on the Synods on the Family: Reflecting Back and Looking Forward

By Rev. James T. Bretzke, S.J., S.T.D. Wade Chair Spring Semester 2015 Professor: Boston College School of Theology & Ministry
Scope & Disclaimers

- Reading “Tea-leaves” is an imprecise art
- A Work in Progress & Hitting a Moving Target!
- Perennial danger of ἁμαρτία (hamartia) missing the mark
- and the theological vice of ἱππρία (hubris) pride
- Can touch only a limited and quite selected range of topics
- Setting the Topic & Predicting the Future Trajectories
- Will not be able to “answer” what might happen vis-à-vis Church disciplines
- Won’t be able to handle perennial questions such as the high cost of Jesuit education
These are some of the themes that we might come back to at the end, for further discussion, but for the time being I’ll just let them pass without further comment beyond stating the obvious that any number of factors help shape our perspective, lift up certain things to be seen in certain ways, obscure or skew others, and produce blind-spots for overlooking yet others. Since the “Synod” is a “walking together” in the Greek then this very process of coming and walking together may help us expand and correct our individual distorted visioning.
A Synod is not an Ecumenical Council, but this current tri-ennial form was established by Pope Paul VI after Vatican II to try and institutionalize on a smaller, manageable scale some of the world-wide collegiality of bishops that was so rich at the Council. Here we see some of the highlights of the whole Post-Vatican II history of Synods.

**Very Brief History of Synods**

- Established by Paul VI to maintain on a smaller scale the collegiality of Vatican II
- Tri-ennial based on a pre-announced theme
- A few extra (“extraordinary”) Synods for special reasons and/or regions (e.g., Africa, Asia, America)
- 25 Synods between 1965-2014
- Usually followed by a Post-Synodal Apostolic Exhortation (ranking below an Encyclical)
- Last Synod on the Family was 1980, followed by Pope John Paul II’s *Familiaris Consortio*
Preparation for a Synod

- **Announcement of the theme** and release of a preparatory document, inviting feedback from the world’s bishops
- Feedback compiled into *Lineamenta* and
- A “working document” (*Instrumentum laboris*) is released prior to the Synod
- **Selection** of *ex officio*, elected, and special representatives (named by the Holy Father), including several lay couples
- USA Hierarchs in 2014 Synod: Cardinals Burke, Wuerl, Dolan and Archbishop Kurtz (head of the USCCB)

For the Extraordinary Synod both the *Lineamenta* (sort of a compilation of input from around the world) and the resulting *Instrumentum Laboris* (working document for initial Synod discussion) took into direct consideration a questionnaire that went out not only to the bishops, but even to the people in the pews if the local bishops so allowed.

The US representatives for the Extraordinary Synod all attended *ex officio*: Cardinal Dolan as a member of the Preparatory Commission, Cardinal Burke in his capacity as head of the one of the Vatican offices (Apostolic Signatura, the equivalent of the Vatican Supreme Court) and Archbishop Kurz (not to be confused with his MU name-sake) as head of the USCCB.
Time-line of the *Usual* Synod:

- Opening Mass and opening Addresses
- “Interventions” by the Synod participants (speeches delivered in the Synod Hall)
- Compilation of the *Relatio* which is meant to offer a touchstone for small group discussions
- *Circuli minores* (small groups according to languages), assisted by a scribe
- Compilation of the input of the *Circules minores*
- Release of the Synod Final Report after voting on the document or paragraphs (usually in secret)
Innovations in the 2014 Synod

- **Public Questionnaire** that Bishops were invited to share “broadly”
- **Lineamenta** and **Instrumentum Laboris** that acknowledged frankly areas of difficulty and non-acceptance of Church teaching
- Frank, and even acrimonious, **pre-Synod posturing by members** on all points on the ecclesiological spectrum
- Far greater **secular media attention** in the secular press given to the run-up, reporting and post-Synod analysis
- **Voting results** on each Paragraph in the Final Report

However in this Extraordinary Synod there were a number of important innovations—primarily in the invitation not just to bishops but to all the faithful to share their own views in the form of a questionnaire which had 39 questions under nine topics. The resulting **Lineamenta** and **Instrumentum laboris** both acknowledged with uncommon frankness and lack of condemnation areas of difficulty and down-right “non-acceptance” of Church teaching in key areas that deal with the family and related sexual ethics. This in turn led to a good deal more media attention in the secular press than previous Synods had occasioned.
In the Pope’s Opening Address we see the accent on the desire for openness or “παρρησία (parrhesia)-- meaning to speak candidly or boldly, and without fear which may have produced what in Italian might be called a Confronto Americano—literally an “American-style Confrontation” which we’d probably translate as a “frank discussion,” but which often in ecclesial culture is seen as a bruta figura (literally an “ugly figure” which is to be avoided at all costs if possible).
The big lead-up to the Extraordinary Synod revolved around the possibility of Change in the Pastoral Care of Divorced & Remarried Catholics, by admitting them back to the Sacraments quickly became one of the key issues of contention in the lead-up to the Synod. In a February 2014 Consistory of Cardinals Pope Francis had asked the recently retired prefect of the Pontifical Council for Christian Unity, Cardinal Walter Kasper, to address the assembled cardinals on the upcoming Synod. Soon after Kasper’s talk there was considerable push-back on the possibility of admitting the divorced and remarried to the Sacraments, led chiefly by Cardinal Gerhard Ludwig Müller (b. 1947), prefect of the Congregation for the Doctrine of the Faith and most strenuously from Cardinal Raymond Leo (the Lion, as his devotees like to style him) Burke (b. 1948), the then prefect of the Apostolic Signatura.
Here in this slide we see the Battle of the Books in which the competing positions were captured to an extent by Kasper’s *Mercy* (endorsed by Pope Francis himself) and *Remaining in the Truth of Christ*, a collection of 9 essays including Burke, Müller, and 3 other cardinals, and 4 others (including 2 Jesuits—Paul Mankowski, SJ, and Archbishop Cyril Vasil’, S.J., rector of the Pontifical Oriental Institute in Rome). In this volume five Cardinals of the Church, and four other scholars, respond to the call issued by Cardinal Walter Kasper for the Church to harmonize “fidelity and mercy in its pastoral practice with civilly remarried, divorced people”. Contributors include Cardinal Walter Brandmüller, Cardinal Raymond Leo Burke, Cardinal Carlo Caffarra, Cardinal Velasio De Paolis, C.S., Robert Dodaro, O.S.A., Paul Mankowski, S.J., Cardinal Gerhard Ludwig Müller, John M. Rist, and Archbishop Cyril Vasil, S.J.
A crucial point to this whole controversy revolves around whether the contemplated change in pastoral practice of allowing divorced & remarried access to the Sacraments is only a change in Church discipline or whether it would be tantamount to a profound change in Church doctrine. Disciplines can be changed by the legitimate ecclesial authority, but revising Church doctrines are far more complex.
Moving from the general discussion to the particular issue: If we consider reception or non-reception of the Sacraments as a “discipline” that can be separated from “doctrine,” then it is possible in theory to relax a discipline for pastoral reasons while still maintaining the doctrine that a valid sacramental marriage is indissoluble until the death of one of the parties. This basically is the position of Cardinal Kasper, whereas Cardinal Burke et al. maintain that the connection between the two is so tightly woven together that relaxing one would entail the unraveling of the other.
The First Week of the Extraordinary Synod was given over to a variety of speeches from the Synod Participants. One of the most striking was from a married couple from Australia, the Pirolas, who spoke of friends who welcomed their gay son with his partner into their home, underlining he was after their son. This talk was greeted with applause in the Synod Aula, but very shortly afterwards Cardinal Burke gave a recorded TV interview in which he deplored this “acceptance” saying it would scandalize the younger members of the family and ultimately harm further the son himself who was engaged in a life-style repugnant to the natural law as well as Scripture and Church teaching. Burke: https://www.lifesitenews.com/news/exclusive-cardinal-burke-responds-to-australian-couples-synod-presentation [accessed November 3, 2014]
“Mid-Term “Relatio”

- Put together by a special, expanded, Committee appointed directly by the Pope
- Surprisingly “open” language to those not married in the Church, the Divorced/Remarried, and Gay men and women
- Immediate pushback from a number of Synod Fathers from center to right
- Dispute over the English translation of the Italian original (Translator Traditor “The Translator is the Traitor”)

Perhaps that exchange may have occasioned “pushback” from the liberal wing of the Synod, as the mid-term Summary of the First week (called a Relatio) put together by a special Committee appointed by the Pope presented surprisingly “open” language to those not married in the Church, the Divorced/Remarried, as well as Gay men and women. For every action there is an equal opposite reaction and a number of the Synod Fathers expressed varying degrees of discomfort over the mid-term Relatio and a group managed to have the English translation of the Italian original revised, as we see in the next slide. http://press.vatican.va/content/salastampa/en/bollettino/pubblico/2014/10/13/0751/03037.html
Here we see a couple of the contested translation points, and I have the Italian original in green, the first English translation in blue and the revised English translation in red. I suppose there is no way ultimately of saying which translation is to be “preferred,” but as someone who lived, studied, taught and did pastoral work in Italian for seven years I would argue that the first English translation is more faithful to the Italian original than the revision.
Following the First Week’s general sessions the participants broke up into ten language small groups called Circoli minores for further discussion on the Synod themes and the mid-term Relatio. Having lived through a few Synods in my 7 years in Rome I would note that this Synod had greater transparency and openness to a variety of viewpoints. I remember an anecdote from one of my fellow students in the 1980’s who served as a scribe for one of the Circoli minores being told not to be certain items into his final compilation since it was “not in the final report” (which was supposedly written only after the small groups had concluded---but in this case had actually been drafted before the Synod had begun).
Cardinal Burke’s Group

- Replace “Listen, Judge, Act” model with “See, Judge, Act”
- Take care not to create confusion in the minds and hearts of our people.
- Any sex outside of marriage is never permissible
- No admission to the sacraments of divorced and re-married people
- Be careful not to imply that certain life-styles are acceptable
- No GRADUALITY of DOCTRINE of faith and morals

Of the 3 English-language groups the one chaired by Cardinal Burke seems to have registered the strongest negative reactions to the Synod First Week talks and the mid-term Relatio. Perhaps the key dynamic was shifting from the Instrumentum laboris vocabulary of “Listen, Judge, Act” to a “clearer” more active “See (instead of a passive “listen”) moving directly to “Judge” and “Act.”


English B: Courage to Knock

- “The Church must ... have the courage to knock on forbidden doors”. ...[W]hat we discover surprises us: what we encounter inside is the loving presence of God which helps us to address the challenges of today, no longer on our terms, but in new ways which might otherwise have been unimaginable.”
- “Knocking on forbidden or unaccustomed doors involves risk and courage. Fear and anxiety of what we think are forbidden doors may mean excluding opening ourselves to the God who always surprises.”

Anglicus B. but then raised two other concerns: one, deals with what is called “spiritual communion” by which a person who is impeded for one reason or another for receiving communion physically is invited to join him/herself with the Lord spiritually. This practice traditionally has been practiced by those who find it impossible to be physically present at Mass (e.g., due to illness, work, travel, etc.). Some advanced the spiritual communion idea as a compromise solution that would exhibit greater pastoral sensitivity to the divorced and remarried, but without allowing them to receive the sacraments. In the Synod Aula, however, this “solution” was criticized on theological grounds: if we can invite people in good faith to “spiritual communion” with the Lord and His Church then what would prevent them from receiving regular Communion if they could be present?
The second concern revolves around a much-discussed term that came up in the Synod Aula, namely how one legitimately can understand and invoke “gradualism” in relation to both moral and ecclesial law. This principle has arisen implicitly in Church documents since Vatican II, but both its theoretical meaning and concrete application remain open to a certain range of possible interpretations, as we certainly saw in the various Synod discussions.

**Carefully Define Gradualism**

- “the meaning of the law of gradualness, .. should not be understood as gradualness of the law. ...”
- “The aim of recognizing gradualness should be to draw people closer to Christ. **Truth and mercy are not mutually exclusive terms**, and in proclaiming truth we also proclaim the most profound mercy – that of reconciliation and unity with God; on the other hand, it is in mercy that we find truth.”
Italian Groups: A,B,C

- **Surprise** over the public release of the *Relatio*
- **Effects of Migration on Family Breakup**
- **Biotechnologies pose challenges**
- **“No” to the idea of same-sex marriage, but the Church should appear as an “house open”** which appreciates the gifts of everyone of good will who sincerely seeks God.
- **Need for further study of the Orthodox and other Churches’ practices regarding Divorced and Remarried.**
- **Need to re-examine the annulment procedures**
- **Gregory the Great: “The Pastoral Task is the Proof of Love”**

The 3 Italian groups brought forward a number of different points which I’ll let you read here, but which I won’t have time to go into in greater depth. The French and Spanish groups highlighted similar concerns, but time does not let us go into these here. 1) La maggior parte dei padri si è detta sorpresa della diffusione pubblica della *Relatio post disceptationem*; altri, consapevoli che questa è stata la prassi in precedenti assemblee sinodali, suggeriscono di evitarla nel futuro... 2) il fenomeno delle migrazioni spezzi le famiglie con le conseguenze che facilmente possono essere immaginate. 3) Inoltre è stato sottolineato come l’ingresso delle bio-tecnologie abbia ridotto la famiglia a diventare una sorta di "campus" sperimentale con risvolti etici ed educativi di non facile soluzione. 4) come casa aperta, valorizzando i doni, la buona volontà e il cammino sincero di ciascuno. ... 5) Questo vale sia per le proposte di percorsi penitenziali sia per una corretta disanima della prassi propria alle Chiese ortodosse. Vedere in che modo si possono trasportare nella Chiesa latina richiede uno studio ponderato, una presentazione non conflittuale e una soluzione comune nella comunione. 6) si è pronunciato in modo unanime sulla necessità di studiare l’ampliamento dell’esercizio della *Potestas Clavium* e le condizioni per trattare con procedura giudiziale extraordinaria le cause che non richiedano un giudizio ordinario; si chiede ai vescovi di avviare una pastorale giudiziale accurata, preparando sufficienti operatori, chierici e laici 7) Gregorio Magno: "L’impegno pastorale è la prova dell’amore".
Now we come to the concluding actions of the Synod, namely the construction of the Final Relatio (or Synod Report to the Holy Father) and the individual votes on each of the 62 paragraphs in the document. A couple of initial points to underscore: every paragraph received a majority positive vote; Whole document with votes (in Italian) at http://press.vatican.va/content/salastampa/en/bollettino/pubblico/2014/10/18/0770/03044.html
Unanimous Support Only for #2

2. Within the family are joys and trials, deep love and relationships which, at times, can be wounded. The family is truly the “school of humanity” (Gaudium et Spes, 52), which is much needed today. Despite the many signs of crisis in the family institution in various areas of the “global village”, the desire to marry and form a family remains vibrant, especially among young people, and serves as the basis of the Church’s need to proclaim untiringly and with profound conviction the “Gospel of the Family”, entrusted to her together with the revelation of God’s love in Jesus Christ and ceaselessly taught by the Fathers, the masters of spirituality and the Church’s Magisterium. The family is uniquely important to the Church and in these times, when all believers are invited to think of others rather than themselves, the family needs to be rediscovered as the essential agent in the work of evangelization.

Only one paragraph got an unanimous vote and in the next slide we see a “Wordle” of this paragraph
The Paragraph #2 Wordle which highlights the “mom and apple pie” aspect of this sole paragraph that no one objected to. Several other paragraphs had one person voting against them, but the identity of the voters remains unknown). Three paragraphs failed to reach the desired two-thirds vote to indicate “consensus” and we’ll see these three in the next slides. Finally, the Holy Father ordered the whole document, *along with the individual vote tallies*, to be published—and this is yet another “innovation” and mark of “transparency” in this Synod.
Some conservatives argue that a 4th paragraph, on “dialogue” with those in de facto non-sacramental marital unions, also “failed,” to gain a two-thirds consensus but this would only hold if you compute the total number of possible votes, and not the actual votes cast.

Mentre continua ad annunciare e promuovere il matrimonio cristiano, il Sinodo incoraggia anche il discernimento pastorale delle situazioni di tanti che non vivono più questa realtà. È importante entrare in dialogo pastorale con tali persone al fine di evidenziare gli elementi della loro vita che possono condurre a una maggiore apertura al Vangelo del matrimonio nella sua pienezza. I pastori devono identificare elementi che possono favorire l’evangelizzazione e la crescita umana e spirituale. Una sensibilità nuova della pastorale odierna, consiste nel cogliere gli elementi positivi presenti nei matrimoni civili e, fatte le debite differenze, nelle convivenze. Occorre che nella proposta ecclesiale, pur affermando con chiarezza il messaggio cristiano, indichiamo anche elementi costruttivi in quelle situazioni che non corrispondono ancora o non più ad esso.
Communion for Divorced/Remarried couples

- #52 Placet 104; Non placet 74 (178 total votes with 59.5% YES and 41.5% NO)
- This was probably the clearest «defeat» for the Cardinal Kasper bloc, even though they did garner a simple majority of those voting.
- It was also the Paragraph least open to «spin» on its meaning.

Communion for the Divorced & Remarried, the Kasper proposal, was probably the “clearest” of the Paragraphs for voting purposes, and while it won majority approval we see that it failed to gain the desired two-thirds vote.

Si è riflettuto sulla possibilità che i divorziati e risposati accedano ai sacramenti della Penitenza e dell’Eucaristia. Diversi Padri sinodali hanno insistito a favore della disciplina attuale, in forza del rapporto costitutivo fra la partecipazione all’Eucaristia e la comunione con la Chiesa ed il suo insegnamento sul matrimonio indissolubile. Altri si sono espressi per un’accoglienza non generalizzata alla mensa eucaristica, in alcune situazioni particolari ed a condizioni ben precise, soprattutto quando si tratta di casi irreversibili e legati ad obblighi morali verso i figli che verrebbero a subire sofferenze ingiuste. L’eventuale accesso ai sacramenti dovrebbe essere preceduto da un cammino penitenziale sotto la responsabilità del Vescovo diocesano. Va ancora approfondita la questione, tenendo ben presente la distinzione tra situazione oggettiva di peccato e circostanze attenuanti, dato che “l’imputabilità e la responsabilità di un’azione possono essere sminuite o annullate” da diversi “fattori psichici oppure sociali” (Catechismo della Chiesa Cattolica, 1735).
The “Spiritual Communion” paragraph also failed to gain two-thirds, but in this case we strongly suspect that people from both ends of the spectrum voted against the paragraph—though for opposite reasons, as I’ve indicated in this slide.

Alcuni Padri hanno sostenuto che le persone divorziate e risposate o conviventi possono ricorrere fruttuosamente alla comunione spirituale. Altri Padri si sono domandati perché allora non possano accedere a quella sacramentale. Viene quindi sollecitato un approfondimento della tematica in grado di far emergere la peculiarità delle due forme e la loro connessione con la teologia del matrimonio.
Treating Homosexuals with Respect

- #55: *Placet* 118; *Non placet* 62: Total 180 with 65.5% YES and 34.5% NO
- Probably the “key” sentence was *Nevertheless, men and women with homosexual tendencies ought to be treated with respect and delicacy*
- Some probably voted «NO» believing this whole paragraph *too watered down*; others might have voted «NO» fearing it would signal *tacit acceptance of an «intrinsically disordered» orientation*

Given the contre-temps between the reaction of the Pirolas and Cardinal Burke and the Mid-Term *Relatio* it should have come as no surprise that whatever language was adopted in reference to pastoral treatment of the LGBTQ community it would not please everyone. This particular Paragraph’s failure to reach a two-thirds approval came from “no” votes from opposite ends of the ideological spectrum. Some voted “no” did so because they thought this Paragraph went “too far” while others opposed it for watering down the more sympathetic language found in the mid-Synod *Relatio*. In any case, if just one person had changed his vote the Paragraph would have garnered a two-thirds “consensus.”

Alcune famiglie vivono l’esperienza di avere al loro interno persone con orientamento omosessuale. Al riguardo ci si è interrogati su quale attenzione pastorale sia opportuna di fronte a questa situazione riferendosi a quanto insegna la Chiesa: “Non esiste fondamento alcuno per assimilare o stabilire analogie, neppure remote, tra le unioni omosessuali e il disegno di Dio sul matrimonio e la famiglia”. Nondimeno, gli uomini e le donne con tendenze omosessuali devono essere accolti con rispetto e delicatezza. “A loro riguardo si eviterà ogni marchio di ingiusta discriminazione” (Congregazione per la Dottrina della Fede, *Considerazioni circa i progetti di riconoscimento legale delle unioni tra persone omosessuali, 4*).
After the votes were cast and announced the Holy Father gave his final discourse, which was received with a five-minute standing ovation. This speech has already been fairly widely reported in the Press, and I used it for a couple of homilies myself so I’ll simply point to the summary I’ve done myself here from the Italian original, as it is very difficult to render his Address into universally clear and acceptable idiomatic English. In the Q&A if we have time and interest we could unpack some of this Discourse further.

http://press.vatican.va/content/salastampa/it/bollettino/pubblico/2014/10/18/0771/03046.html [accessed October 18, 2014]
Now onto Spin Control: This could involve another talk at least triple in length, so all I’ll do here is to offer up a quick digest of some of the stronger voices—even if they are not necessarily the most widely held views. Clearly the odium theologicum (theological hatred) that has plagued the Church for centuries remains quite viral. La spaccatura nella Chiesa c’è ed è forte, come è emerso anche oggi da un piccolo - ma tutt’altro che insignificante - episodio. Al termine della concelebrazione Papa Francesco ha ricevuto l’abbraccio da tutti i cardinali concelebranti, ma non sono andati a salutarlo Muller e Burke, che più di tutti si sono esposti in questi giorni contro le aperture del Sinodo straordinario sulla famiglia. http://www.huffingtonpost.it/2014/10/19/sinodo-muller-e-burke-non-salutano-papa_n_6010230.html?utm_hp_ref=tw [accessed October 20, 2014]
Here are some of the shrillest comments, and indeed would be genuinely quite troubling if they are in fact true. One quite recent update: Patrick Archbold reported on April 1st (no fooling) that he has now been sacked from the conservative National Catholic Register for repeated failures to moderate his more extreme views. [accompanied by an endorsement of the Register by Archbishop Charles Chaput]

http://www.ncregister.com/blog/pat-archbold/the-truth-about-this-crisis An update on Patrick Archbold: he was fired from the National Catholic Register, made public as a non-April Fool's day joke by himself on April 1, 2015. See

http://www.pewsitter.com/view_news_id_200021.php
Even the Pope has not been immune from attack, and the traditional conservative trope of *Cum Petro et Sub Petro* “with Peter and under Peter” seems to have lost a good deal of its force as well in the Jesuit Franciscan papacy.

One of the interesting markers in Pope Francis’ papacy is how regime change has tempered some of episcopal voices in the United States. The nomination of my old Omaha “curate” Blasé Cupich to be Cardinal George’s successor in Chicago is probably one of the most commented upon changes in the ecclesial landscape, though there are others too, such as a colleague of mine in our days on the Priests Senate in San Francisco, Bishop Robert McElroy being posted to San Diego.

Nevertheless, there are still a few bishops in the United States that still seem rather uncomfortable with both the Pope and the recently concluded Synod.


Accompanied by an online petition to “Stop the Synod” ; Sandro Magister blog:

http://chiesa.espresso.repubblica.it/articolo/1350910 [accessed October 25, 2014]; and

Providence RI Bishop Thomas Tobin
Post-Synod Sound Bytes

- “In trying to accommodate the needs of the age, as Pope Francis suggests, the Church risks the danger of losing its courageous, counter-cultural, prophetic voice, a voice that the world needs to hear.”
- “The concept of having a representative body of the Church voting on doctrinal applications and pastoral solutions strikes me as being rather Protestant.”
- “Pope Francis is fond of ‘creating a mess.’ Mission accomplished.”
- “Relax. God’s still in charge.”

One of the sharpest American episcopal critics besides Cardinal Burke is Providence Rhode Island Bishop Thomas Tobin in his “Bishop’s Column” posted on the Diocesan web-page shortly after the Synod. His last line though recalls a much quoted line from St. John XXIII who used to say “It’s your Church God, and now I’m going to sleep.”

From “Random Thoughts on the Synod” in his Bishop’s Column of October 21, 2014
An issue that clearly will carry forward into the next Synod and beyond is the playing out of this crucial distinction between “discipline” and “doctrine.” Two recent commentators I believe ultimately are confusing, if not equating, the two and I think this sort of “confusion”—while not of the devil—nevertheless needs to be clarified in the months to come.

The just-concluded Extraordinary Synod was never meant to settle definitively any of the issues discussed, and so we really do need to look ahead to the regular Triennial Synod in October of 2015 in which the process will continue—again with the accent of Listening and Dialogue as a necessary propaedeutic to “See, Judge, and Act.” Like the preceding Synod there is a questionnaire for this one, but it is quite ponderous and would take well over an hour to complete the 46 essay questions.
"The Next Synod is a Battle between Christ and the Antichrist: - On whose side will you stand?"

The Church of the last decades has functioned, or rather malfunctioned, ...She has been weak to the point of losing blood on the ground of doctrine and morality... unsparing in her repression and negation of every legitimate opinion that has the intent of reaffirming the doctrinal and moral truths, ... and to give free reign to those whose intent is to destroy her.

Alessandro Gnocchi
In Rorate Coeli Blog
25 February 2015

Somewhat disconcertingly I would note that the pre-Synod “positioning,” as we see here in this slide is taking on almost an apocalyptic tenor. I believe this underscores what I said about the impact of differing core perspectives which I briefly outlined at the beginning of the presentation on the 1st Reading of the Tea-leaves (slide 3). Paradigms never shift easily or quickly, and I think the two Synods do reveal that a major paradigm shift is in play in our ways of looking at the Church, its mission, and the Pope.

URL: http://rorate-caeli.blogspot.com/2015/02/the-next-synod-is-battle-between-christ.html#more [accessed February 27, 2015] Signorelli’s “Preaching and Deeds of the AntiChrist”
Unlike the Extraordinary Synod, more of the regular Synod's delegates will be elected, though some come *ex officio* and others will be appointed by the Pope himself. Here we have the current slate of American representatives.

### 2015 USA Synod Delegates

- **Elected** (in order of votes): Archbishops Kurtz (USCCB President) Chaput (Philadelphia), Cardinal DiNardo (Houston), and Archbishop Gomez (L.A.)
- **Substitutes:** Archbishops Cupich (Chicago) and Cordileone (San Francisco)
- Probable *Ex officio:* Cardinals Dolan and Wuerl
- **Unlikely participant:** Cardinal Burke
Philadelphia Archbishop Charles Chaput, on the 2014 Synod:

- “I was very disturbed by what happened ...I think confusion is of the devil, and I think the public image that came across was one of confusion.”
- “We also need to thank God for the gift of this present, difficult moment, ... . ‘Because conflict always does two things: It purifies the church, and it clarifies the character of the enemies who hate her.”
- (Clarification of above remarks): “To get your information from the press is a mistake because they don’t know well enough how to understand it so they can tell people what happened. ... In some cases they’re certainly the enemy and they want to distort the Church.”

One of these, Archbishop Charles Chaput, OFM Cap., will arrive shortly after hosting Pope Francis at the World Meeting of Families to be held in the third week of September in Philadelphia. Chaput is one of the “culture warrior” bishops and was rather clear in expressing some reservations on the tone of the Extraordinary Synod last October as we see in this slide.

One of the right-wing conspiracy theories noised about is that the Pope would try to “stack” the Synod participants to ensure a change in the pastoral discipline regarding Communion for the divorced and remarried. But now even virulently “anti-Francis” journalists such as Sandro Magister concede this isn’t happening and so it really is an open question as to how the next Synod will line up on this divisive issue.

Sandro Magister’s blog “Between One Synod and Another, the Battle Continues”:
As we move towards our conclusion let’s spend a few minutes revisiting the core bones of contention. Cardinal Reinhard Marx who will be one of the German representatives at the Synod poses these thoughts that would seem to recast the traditional condemnatory position of “living in sin.” As a moral theologian I believe his stance does better reflect the reality of our lives—none of us is either a total moral failure or complete paragon of virtue, and so to a real extent we are all guilty of “living in sin.”

Cardinal Marx: America 16 February 2015: http://americamagazine.org/issue/we-have-lot-work-do
Here joining Cardinal Burke in denying this more nuanced view of Cardinal Marx and his episcopal Mitbrüder, we find Cardinal Kasper’s successor as Prefect of the Pontifical Council for Christian Unity, Swiss Cardinal Kurt Koch. What I find of particular interest in his views expressed in a recent interview in Die Tagepost is a resurrection of the pre-Vatican II theological opinion, never adopted in the Council, of the “two source” theory of Revelation, with the Magisterium in the Cardinal’s view serving as a second (and presumably independent) source of Revelation. If this were in fact “true” one might see better why any change in a magisterial position on an issue would call into more serious question an understanding of Divine Revelation. History, though, would not support Koch’s thesis---but that is material for quite another talk!

His Eminence Raymond, Leo the Lion, Cardinal Burke has hammered home again and again what he considers to be the only possible Catholic position on this pastoral issue. Again, history in fact has shown us that Church teaching on issues once considered to be definitively “settled” has indeed changed, such as positions on slavery, usury, the priority of the purposes of sexual relations within marriage. Here, though I think His Eminence is less an historian whose many pronouncements on the rapidly increasing decline of the moral fiber of the Church as it falls into the thrall of decadent secular morality are an interpretive aid to his actions.

Cardinal Raymond Burke, Interview in The Wanderer 2 February 2015
One of the interesting and unexpected developments of this polemic is a re-casting of the understanding of the primacy of conscience. Until the papacy of Pope Francis many conservatives such as German Grisez, George Weigel, Cardinal George Pell, etc. held to a strong *Roma locuta, causa finita* view of even the “non-infallible” teachings of the so-called Ordinary Magisterium. Now these same individuals do seem to be outlining at least a theoretical position that allows them to dissent from Church positions with which they fundamentally disagree. Of course Catholics on the other side of the ideological divide had come to a similar conclusion a few generations earlier. So the Synod may lift up again the position held firmly since the time of Thomas Aquinas (died 1274) that we are required *always* to follow our conscience presuming of course that we have taken sufficient care both to form and inform it.

“Synodality”: ala Robert Mickens

- The “official” Roman response “has always been the same: ‘People reject the teachings because they don’t know or understand them; if these are re-proposed in a new way the people will embrace them.’”
- [Mickens continues] “Pope Francis clearly understands that this has been a non-solution. And that is why he has invited the bishops of the world to discuss, debate and delve ever deeper into the thorny issues that are contested, rejected or simply ignored.
- “He is the first pope since the Second Vatican Council to specifically encourage such open discussion on divisive issues. But he hasn’t limited the debate to high-ranking prelates. He’s actually instructed the bishops to widen the conversation by canvassing their priests and people at the grass level.
- “In this way, he has called the entire Church to seek answers together — in conversation, study, prayer and discernment. He has initiated a journey. This is key to his desire to make "synodality" (walking together) a constitutive part of decision-making (governance) process of the universal Church.”

As I noted at the beginning of the presentation, Synod is a compound Greek word that means “walking together on the way.” Vatican II’s Dogmatic Constitution on the Church Lumen gentium spoke of the Church as being the Pilgrim People of God, and so perhaps the emerging processes involved in these two Synods will help re-shape our ecclesiology and especially concepts such as Sensus fidelium and Sentire cum ecclesia (thinking with the Church), as Vaticanista Robert Mickens hopes. Certainly we now can see more clearly that the Church indeed is not encapsulated completely within the hierarchy, or even one wing of that group.

Robert Mickens, “A Synod That Will Not End”
The Church remains a human institution, which means it is necessarily a political organization as well, and so it should surprise none of us to see evidence “lobbying” and “spin” from a variety of corners, as we see here in this recent contribution from the Polish Bishops Conference.  
This slide shows my projections for some of the key people to track in the upcoming Synod. The Cardinals in blue are some of the official “officers” of the Synod, the Cardinals in red will attend *ex officio*, and the hierarchs in green have been elected by their respective bishops’ conferences to participate. Probably altogether we will have just under 200 Synod participants, and each will have time set aside in the Synod Aula to give their respective speeches.

The fuller list as of March 25, 2015 can be found at http://press.vatican.va/content/salastampa/en/bollettino/pubblico/2015/03/25/0218/00491.html
And Fans in the Stands?

- First & Foremost: People in the Pews
- Vaticaniste, Catholic Periodicals & Secular Media
- Social media & Blogs, especially of the Right Wing
- Cardinal Walter Kasper & followers
- Cardinal Raymond Leo Burke & followers

Gathered outside the Aula, electronically or otherwise, will be the rest of us, and these contributions and commentaries will be important indicators of the pulse of the Church.

From left, German Cardinal Walter Kasper, president emeritus of the Pontifical Council for Promoting Christian Unity; Cardinal Reinhard Marx, Archbishop of Munich and Freising (Germany); Cardinal Severino Poletto, Archbishop emeritus of Turin (Italy).
Final Words as Antidote to *Odium theologicum*...

- *In fide, unitas: in dubiis, libertas; in omnibus, caritas*
  - "In faith, unity; in doubt, liberty; in all things, charity."
  - Attributed to St. Augustine, this is an important principle of Christian discernment: unity in faith is important, but in cases of doubt a plurality of opinions and practices should be allowed, and the over-riding principle must always be charity towards each other.
- And to quote Providence RI Bishop Thomas Tobin: *Relax, God is still in charge!*

One part of that pulse-taking that remains for me as one of the most disquieting aspects of the Synod is the level of acrimony that seems to have come to the surface. So perhaps in conclusion it might be worth recalling St. Augustine’s guidelines for discernment and antidote to the perennial problem of *odium theologicum*. So relax, indeed God is still in charge,
and Resurrexit, sicut dixit, His Son remains our true Alpha & Omega