

STABILITY OF GENUS FIVE CANONICAL CURVES

MAKSYM FEDORCHUK AND DAVID ISHII SMYTH

Dedicated to our advisor, Joe Harris, on his sixtieth birthday.

ABSTRACT. We analyze GIT stability of nets of quadrics in \mathbb{P}^4 up to projective equivalence. Since a general net of quadrics defines a canonically embedded smooth curve of genus 5, the resulting quotient $\overline{M}^G := \mathbb{G}(3, 15)^{ss} // \mathrm{SL}(5)$ gives a birational model of \overline{M}_5 . We study the geometry of the associated contraction $f: \overline{M}_5 \dashrightarrow \overline{M}^G$, and prove that f is the final step in the log minimal model program for \overline{M}_5 .

1. INTRODUCTION

A canonically embedded non-hyperelliptic, non-trigonal smooth curve of genus 5 is a complete intersection of 3 quadrics in \mathbb{P}^4 [ACGH85, Ch.V]. Thus, the Grassmannian of nets in $\mathbb{P}H^0(\mathbb{P}^4, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(2)) \simeq \mathbb{P}^{14}$ gives a natural compactification of the open Hilbert scheme of non-hyperelliptic, non-trigonal smooth canonical curves of genus 5, and the corresponding GIT quotient

$$\overline{M}^G := \mathbb{G}(3, 15)^{ss} // \mathrm{SL}(5)$$

is a projective birational model of \overline{M}_5 . In this paper, we study the geometry of \overline{M}^G and show that the natural birational contraction $f: \overline{M}_5 \dashrightarrow \overline{M}^G$ represents the final stage of the log minimal model program for \overline{M}_5 .

The main portion of the paper is devoted to a GIT stability analysis of nets of quadrics in \mathbb{P}^4 . The GIT stability analysis for *pencils* of quadrics in \mathbb{P}^4 appears in [AM99], where it is shown that a pencil of quadrics in \mathbb{P}^4 is semi-stable if and only if the associated discriminant binary quintic is non-zero and has no triple roots, and in [MM93]. More generally a pencil of quadrics in \mathbb{P}^n is semi-stable if and only if the associated discriminant binary $(n+1)$ -form is non-zero and is GIT-semi-stable with respect to the natural $\mathrm{SL}(2)$ -action [AM99, Theorem 5]. The GIT analysis for nets of quadrics turns out to be more involved. In particular, as Remark 3.21 shows, there is no natural correspondence between $\mathrm{SL}(5)$ -stability of a net and $\mathrm{SL}(3)$ -stability of the associated discriminant quintic curve.

We prove that a semi-stable net defines a locally planar curve of genus 5 embedded in \mathbb{P}^4 by its dualizing sheaf, and give a description of the singularities occurring on such curves.

Main Theorem 1. *A net is semi-stable if and only if it defines a locally planar genus 5 curve satisfying one of the following conditions:*

- (1) *C is a reduced quadric section of a smooth quartic del Pezzo in \mathbb{P}^4 , but C is not one of the following:*

The first author was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-1259226. The second author was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-0901095.

- (a) A union of an elliptic quartic curve and two conics meeting in a pair of triple points.
 - (b) A union of two elliptic quartics meeting along an A_5 and an A_1 singularities.
 - (c) A curve with a 4-fold point with two lines as its two branches.
 - (d) A union of two tangent conics and an elliptic quartic meeting the conics in a D_6 singularity and two nodes.
 - (e) A curve with a D_5 singularity such that the hyperelliptic involution of the normalization exchanges the points lying over the D_5 singularity.
 - (f) C contains a conic meeting the residual genus 2 component in an A_7 singularity and the attaching point of the genus 2 component is a Weierstrass point.
 - (g) A degeneration of one of the six curves listed above.
- (2) C is non-reduced and it degenerates isotrivially to one of the following curves:
- (a) The balanced ribbon, defined by

$$(1.1) \quad (ac - b^2, ae - 2bd + c^2, ce - d^2).$$
 - (b) A double twisted cubic meeting the residual conic in two points, defined by

$$(1.2) \quad (ad - bc, ae - c^2 + L^2, be - cd),$$
 where L is a general linear form.
 - (c) A double conic meeting the residual rational normal quartic in three points, defined by

$$(1.3) \quad (ad - bc, ae - c^2 + bL_1 + dL_2, be - cd),$$
 where L_1 and L_2 are general linear forms. In particular, we have a semi-stable triple conic with two lines
 - (d) Two double lines joined by two conics, defined by

$$(1.4) \quad (ad - bc, ae + bd - c^2, be - cd).$$
 - (e) Two double lines joined by two conics, defined by

$$(1.5) \quad (ad, ae + bd - c^2, be).$$

We should make a comment on the shortcomings of this result. While this theorem gives in principle a complete characterization of the singularities arising on curves in \overline{M}^G , it does not give a satisfactory description of the functor represented by \overline{M}^G . The difficulty is that a complete characterization of the functor of semi-stable curves necessarily involves the global geometry of the curves in question in a way that defies uniform description. For example, A_1 and A_5 singularities are generally allowed, except in the unique case when two elliptic quartics meet in A_1 and A_5 singularities. Similarly, D_5 singularities are generally allowed, except in the case when the hyperelliptic involution on the normalization exchanges points lying over the singularity.

As a by-product of our GIT analysis, we obtain a good understanding of the geometry of the birational map $f: \overline{M}_5 \dashrightarrow \overline{M}^G$. To state our first result in this direction, let us define the $A_5^{\{1\}}$ -locus to be the locus of curves in \overline{M}^G which can be expressed as the union of a genus 3 curve and a smooth rational curve meeting along an A_5 singularity. The significance of these curves lies in the fact that their

stable limits are precisely curves in $\Delta_2 \subset \overline{M}_5$ with a genus 2 component attached at a non-Weierstrass point. Our main results regarding the birational geometry of f can now be summarized in the following theorem.

Main Theorem 2. *The birational map $f: \overline{M}_5 \dashrightarrow \overline{M}^G$ is a rational contraction, contracting the following divisors:*

- (1) f contracts Δ_1 and exhibits the generic point of Δ_1 as a fibration over the A_2 -locus in \overline{M}^G .
- (2) f contracts Δ_2 and exhibits the generic point of Δ_2 as a fibration over the $A_5^{\{1\}}$ -locus in \overline{M}^G .
- (3) f contracts the trigonal divisor $\text{Trig}_5 \subset \overline{M}_5$ to the single point given by Equation (1.4).

In addition, f flips various geometrically significant loci in the boundary of \overline{M}_5 to associated equisingular strata in \overline{M}^G , as summarized in Table 1. Detailed proofs of the assertions made in this table would take us rather far afield into the intricacies of stable reduction; thus, we leave these assertions without proof and merely offer the table as a guide to future exploration of f . We refer the reader to [HM98] for a beautiful introduction to stable reduction, [Has00] for the results concerning stable reduction of planar curve singularities, and the recent survey [CM12] for an in-depth guide to stable reduction.

TABLE 1. Conjectural outline of the log MMP for \overline{M}_5 .

α	Singularity Type	Locus in $\overline{M}_5(\alpha + \epsilon)$
9/11	A_2	elliptic tails attached nodally
7/10	A_3	elliptic bridges attached nodally
2/3	A_4	genus 2 tails attached nodally at a Weierstrass point
19/29	$A_5^{\{1\}}$	genus 2 tails attached nodally
19/29	$A_{3/4}$	genus 2 tails attached tacnodally at a Weierstrass point
12/19	$A_{3/5}$	genus 2 tails attached tacnodally
17/28	A_5	genus 2 bridges attached nodally at conjugate points
5/9	D_4	elliptic triboroughs attached nodally
5/9	D_5	genus 2 bridges attached nodally at a Weierstrass and free point
5/9	$D_6^{\{1,2\}}$ double lines	genus 2 bridges attached nodally at two free points
25/44	A_{10}, A_{11} ribbons	hyperelliptic curves
1/2	double twisted cubics $D_8^{\{1,2\}}$	irreducible nodal curves with hyperelliptic normalization
14/33	4-fold point	genus 3 triboroughs
14/33	triple conics	trigonal curves

Finally, we study the contraction $f: \overline{M}_5 \dashrightarrow \overline{M}^G$ from the perspective of the minimal model program. Recall that

$$\overline{M}_5(\alpha) := \text{Proj} \bigoplus_{m \geq 0} \mathbb{H}^0(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_5, \lfloor m(K_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_5} + \alpha\delta) \rfloor), \quad \alpha \in [0, 1] \cap \mathbb{Q},$$

and that as α decreases from 1, the corresponding birational models constitute the log minimal model program for \overline{M}_5 [Has05, HH09, HH12]. Our final result interprets the contraction $f: \overline{M}_5 \dashrightarrow \overline{M}^G$ as the final step of this program.

Main Theorem 3.

(1) *The moving slope of \overline{M}_5 is $33/4$, realized by the divisor*

$$f^* \mathcal{O}(1) \sim 33\lambda - 4\delta_0 - 15\delta_1 - 21\delta_2,$$

where \sim denotes the numerical proportionality.

(2) *There is a natural isomorphism $\overline{M}^G \simeq \overline{M}_5(\alpha)$, for all $\alpha \in (3/8, 14/33] \cap \mathbb{Q}$, identifying $f: \overline{M}_5 \dashrightarrow \overline{M}^G$ with the final step of the log MMP for \overline{M}_5 . In particular, $\overline{M}_5(3/8)$ is a point.*

In Table 1, we have listed the α -invariants, as defined in [AFS10], of some singularities appearing on curves parameterized by $\overline{M}_5(14/33)$ in order to indicate the anticipated threshold values of α at which the transformations should occur in the course of the log MMP for \overline{M}_5 . The reader should also refer to [AFS10] for the definition of the notations $A_5^{\{1\}}$, $D_6^{\{1,2\}}$, $A_{3/4}$, $A_{3/5}$.

Note that while we now have a nearly complete description of the log MMP for \overline{M}_4 [HL10, Fed12, CMJL12], we have no construction of the intermediate models $\overline{M}_5(\alpha)$ for $14/33 < \alpha \leq 2/3$. Table 1 gives a rather ominous indication of the potential complexity of this task.

Let us now give a roadmap of the paper. In Section 2, we describe GIT-unstable nets of quadrics. We first describe a complete, finite set $\{\rho_i\}_{i=1}^{12}$ of destabilizing one-parameter subgroups (Theorem 2.1), and then provide geometric descriptions of the nets of quadrics destabilized by ρ_i for each $1 \leq i \leq 12$ (Theorem 2.10). In Section 3, we combine a geometric study of quartic surfaces in \mathbb{P}^4 with Theorem 2.10 to obtain a positive description of semi-stable nets of quadrics (Theorems 3.1 and 3.2). Finally, in Section 4 we use our semi-stability results to give proofs of Main Theorems 1, 2, 3.

Acknowledgements. We are profoundly grateful to Joe Harris for introducing us to the subject of algebraic geometry, for generously sharing his love of the discipline and his unique creative style. Our understanding and appreciation of Geometric Invariant Theory also owes a great deal to the papers and lectures of Brendan Hassett, David Hyeon, and Ian Morrison. We wish to thank each of these individuals, as well as Jarod Alper, Anand Deopurkar, David Jensen, Radu Laza, and David Swinarski, for numerous conversations and suggestions relating to the contents of this paper.

2. GIT ANALYSIS

2.1. GIT preliminaries. Set $V := \mathbb{H}^0(\mathbb{P}^4, \mathcal{O}(1))$ and let $W := \mathbb{H}^0(\mathbb{P}^4, \mathcal{O}(2)) \simeq \text{Sym}^2 V$ be the vector space of quadratic forms. To a net of quadrics $\Lambda = (Q_1, Q_2, Q_3)$

in W , we associate its *Hilbert point*

$$[\Lambda] := [Q_1 \wedge Q_2 \wedge Q_3] \in \mathbb{G}(3, W) \subset \mathbb{P} \bigwedge^3 W.$$

We denote by $[\widetilde{\Lambda}] := Q_1 \wedge Q_2 \wedge Q_3$ a lift of $[\Lambda]$ to $\bigwedge^3 W$.

Recall that Λ is said to be *semi-stable* if $0 \notin \mathrm{SL}(5) \cdot [\widetilde{\Lambda}]$, and *stable* if in addition $\mathrm{SL}(5) \cdot [\widetilde{\Lambda}]$ is closed. GIT gives a projective quotient $\mathbb{G}(3, W)^{ss} // \mathrm{SL}(5)$, where $\mathbb{G}(3, W)^{ss} \subset \mathbb{G}(3, W)$ is the open locus of semi-stable nets, and the main objective of this paper is to give a geometric description of $\mathbb{G}(3, W)^{ss}$.

The standard tool for such analysis is the Hilbert-Mumford numerical criterion [MFK94, Theorem 2.1]. In our situation, the statement of the numerical criterion may be formulated as follows: Let $\rho: \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(5)$ be a one-parameter subgroup (1-PS), acting diagonally on a basis $\{a, b, c, d, e\}$ of V with weights $\{\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{d}, \bar{e}\}$, satisfying:

- $\bar{a} + \bar{b} + \bar{c} + \bar{d} + \bar{e} = 0$,
- $\bar{a} \geq \bar{b} \geq \bar{c} \geq \bar{d} \geq \bar{e}$,
- Not all weights $\{\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{d}, \bar{e}\}$ are 0.

We call such an action *normalized*. The basis $\{a, b, c, d, e\}$ induces a basis of $\bigwedge^3 W$, with Plücker coordinates as basis elements. The ρ -weight of a quadratic monomial $m = xy$ is $w_\rho(m) = \bar{x} + \bar{y}$ and the ρ -weight of a Plücker coordinate $m_1 \wedge m_2 \wedge m_3$ is $\sum_{i=1}^3 w_\rho(m_i)$. We say that a net Λ is ρ -semi-stable (resp., ρ -stable) if there exists a Plücker coordinate that does not vanish on $[\Lambda]$ with *non-negative* (resp., *positive*) ρ -weight. With this notation, the numerical criterion simply asserts that Λ is semi-stable (resp., stable) if and only if Λ is ρ -semi-stable (resp., ρ -stable) for all 1-PS's.

A priori, the numerical criterion requires one to check ρ -semi-stability for all 1-PS's. However, there necessarily exists a *finite* set of numerical types of 1-PS's $\{\rho_i\}_{i=1}^N$ such that the union of the ρ_i -unstable points is $\mathbb{G}(3, 15) \setminus \mathbb{G}(3, 15)^{ss}$. The first main result of this section, Theorem 2.1, describes such a set of 1-PS's explicitly. The second main result of this section, Theorem 2.10, gives geometric characterizations of the nets destabilized by each ρ_i in our list. Finally, in Section 3, we use this result to describe the semi-stable locus $\mathbb{G}(3, 15)^{ss} \subset \mathbb{G}(3, 15)$ explicitly.

2.2. Notation and conventions. Throughout this section, we use the following notation. Given a basis $\{a, b, c, d, e\}$ of V , we consider two orderings on the set of quadratic monomials in W . There is the lexicographic ordering, which is complete, and which we denote by \succ_{lex} . Then there is the ordering, denoted by \succ , according to which $m_1 \succ m_2$ if and only if $w_\rho(m_1) \geq w_\rho(m_2)$ for any normalized 1-PS acting diagonally on $\{a, b, c, d, e\}$. Note that

$$m_1 \succ m_2 \implies m_1 \succ_{lex} m_2.$$

Finally, given a normalized 1-PS acting on $\{a, b, c, d, e\}$, there is a complete ordering \succ_ρ on the quadratic monomials in W defined as follows: $m_1 \succ_\rho m_2$ if and only if one of the following conditions hold:

- (1) $w_\rho(m_1) > w_\rho(m_2)$
- (2) $w_\rho(m_1) = w_\rho(m_2)$ and $m_1 \succ_{lex} m_2$.

For any quadric $Q \in W$, we let $in_{lex}(Q)$ denote the initial monomial of Q with respect to \succ_{lex} and, if ρ is a normalized 1-PS acting on $\{a, b, c, d, e\}$, we let $in_\rho(Q)$ denote the initial monomial of Q with respect to \succ_ρ .

For any net Λ , we may choose a basis $\{Q_1, Q_2, Q_3\}$ such that $in_{lex}(Q_1) \succ_{lex} in_{lex}(Q_2) \succ_{lex} in_{lex}(Q_3)$. We call such a basis of Λ *normalized*.

Finally, given a basis $\{a, b, c, d, e\}$ of V , we define the *distinguished flag* $O \subset L \subset P \subset H \subset \mathbb{P}V$ as follows:

$$O : b = c = d = e = 0,$$

$$L : c = d = e = 0,$$

$$P : d = e = 0,$$

$$H : e = 0.$$

2.3. Classification of destabilizing subgroups.

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose that Λ is semi-stable with respect to every one-parameter subgroup of the following numerical types:*

- (1) $\rho_1 = (1, 1, 1, 1, -4)$.
- (2) $\rho_2 = (2, 2, 2, -3, -3)$.
- (3) $\rho_3 = (3, 3, -2, -2, -2)$.
- (4) $\rho_4 = (4, -1, -1, -1, -1)$.
- (5) $\rho_5 = (3, 3, 3, -2, -7)$.
- (6) $\rho_6 = (4, 4, -1, -1, -6)$.
- (7) $\rho_7 = (9, 4, -1, -6, -6)$.
- (8) $\rho_8 = (7, 2, 2, -3, -8)$.
- (9) $\rho_9 = (12, 7, 2, -8, -13)$.
- (10) $\rho_{10} = (9, 4, -1, -1, -11)$.
- (11) $\rho_{11} = (14, 4, -1, -6, -11)$.
- (12) $\rho_{12} = (13, 8, 3, -7, -17)$.

Then Λ is semi-stable.

Remark 2.2. In fact, our proof gives a slightly stronger statement. Namely, Λ is semi-stable with respect to a fixed torus T if and only if it is semi-stable with respect to all one-parameter subgroups in T of the numerical types $\{\rho_i\}_{i=1}^{12}$.

Preliminary observations. Fix a net Λ which is ρ_i -semi-stable for each $\{\rho_i\}_{i=1}^{12}$. By the numerical criterion, to prove that Λ is semi-stable, it suffices to show that Λ is semi-stable with respect to an arbitrary 1-PS $\chi: \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(5)$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that χ is normalized, acting diagonally on the basis $\{a, b, c, d, e\}$ with weights $(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{d}, \bar{e})$, satisfying $\bar{a} \geq \bar{b} \geq \bar{c} \geq \bar{d} \geq \bar{e}$. To prove the theorem, we must exhibit a Plücker coordinate that does not vanish on Λ and has non-negative χ -weight. More explicitly, if (Q_1, Q_2, Q_3) is a normalized basis of Λ , we must exhibit non-zero quadratic monomials m_1, m_2, m_3 in the variables $\{a, b, c, d, e\}$ which appear with non-zero coefficient in $Q_1 \wedge Q_2 \wedge Q_3$ and satisfy $w_\chi(m_1) + w_\chi(m_2) + w_\chi(m_3) \geq 0$. We begin with two preparatory lemmas.

Lemma 2.3. *The normalized basis (Q_1, Q_2, Q_3) of Λ satisfies the following:*

- (i) $Q_3 \notin (e^2)$,
- (ii) $(Q_1, Q_2, Q_3) \not\subset (d, e)$, and either $(Q_2, Q_3) \not\subset (d, e)$ or $Q_3 \notin (d, e)^2$.
- (iii) $(Q_2, Q_3) \not\subset (c, d, e)^2$, and either $(Q_1, Q_2, Q_3) \not\subset (c, d, e)$ or $Q_3 \notin (c, d, e)^2$.

(iv) $in_{lex}(Q_1) = a^2$ or $in_{lex}(Q_1), in_{lex}(Q_2) \in (a)$.

Proof. (i), (ii), (iii), (iv) follow immediately from $\rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3, \rho_4$ -semi-stability of Λ , respectively. \square

Lemma 2.4. *If $\bar{b} \leq 0$, then Λ is χ -semi-stable.*

Proof. First, suppose $in_{lex}(Q_1) = a^2$. Then $in_{lex}(Q_2) \geq be$ and $in_{lex}(Q_3) \geq de$ by Lemma 2.3(iii) and (i), respectively. In addition, ρ_2 -semi-stability implies that if $Q_3 \in (d, e)^2$, then $Q_2 \notin (d, e)$. Thus, either $in_{lex}(Q_3) \geq ce$ or Q_2 contains a term $\geq c^2$. In the latter case, we obtain a Plücker coordinate of weight at least $2\bar{a} + 2\bar{c} + \bar{d} + \bar{e} = -2\bar{b} - \bar{d} - \bar{e} > 0$, since $\bar{b} \leq 0$ and $\bar{e} < 0$. In the former case, ρ_1 -semi-stability implies that we cannot have $(Q_2, Q_3) \subset (e)$, so Q_2 or Q_3 contains a term $\geq d^2$. We obtain a Plücker coordinate of weight at least $2\bar{a} + 2\bar{d} + \bar{c} + \bar{e} = -2\bar{b} - \bar{c} - \bar{e} > 0$. Thus, Λ is χ -stable.

Next, suppose $in_{lex}(Q_1) \neq a^2$. Then we have $in_{lex}(Q_1) \geq ad$, $in_{lex}(Q_2) \geq ae$, $in_{lex}(Q_3) \geq de$ by Lemma 2.3(i) and (iv). If $in_{lex}(Q_2) \geq ad$, we are done since we have a Plücker coordinate of weight $2\bar{a} + \bar{c} + 2\bar{d} + \bar{e} = -2\bar{b} - \bar{c} - \bar{e} > 0$. Assume $in_{lex}(Q_2) = ae$. Then ρ_7 -semi-stability implies that either Q_3 contains a term $\geq ce$ or $in_{lex}(Q_1) \geq ac$. In either case, we obtain a Plücker coordinate of weight at least $2\bar{a} + \bar{c} + \bar{d} + 2\bar{e} = -2\bar{b} - \bar{c} - \bar{d} \geq 0$. We conclude that Λ is χ -semi-stable. \square

We can now begin the proof of the main theorem.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. We consider separately the following three cases:

- (I) O is not in the base locus of Λ ;
- (II) O is in the base locus of Λ but L is not;
- (III) L is in the base locus of Λ .

Case I: O is not a base point. We have $in_{lex}(Q_1) = a^2$. Lemma 2.3(iii) implies that $in_{lex}(Q_2) \geq be$. If Q_3 has a term $\geq cd$, then Λ is χ -stable because $2\bar{a} + (\bar{b} + \bar{e}) + (\bar{c} + \bar{d}) = \bar{a} > 0$. We assume that Q_3 has no term $\geq cd$. By ρ_5 -semi-stability, Q_2 has a term $\geq cd$. Now, if Q_3 has a term $\geq be$, then Λ is again χ -stable. So we assume that Q_3 has no term $\geq be$.

First, assume Q_2 has a term $\geq bd$. If $in_{lex}(Q_3) = ce$, then Λ is χ -stable since $2\bar{a} + (\bar{b} + \bar{d}) + (\bar{c} + \bar{e}) = \bar{a} > 0$. Otherwise, $in_{lex}(Q_3) \in \{d^2, de\}$ and, by Lemma 2.3(ii), Q_2 must have a term $\geq c^2$. Thus, if Λ is not χ -semi-stable, we must have $2\bar{a} + (\bar{b} + \bar{d}) + (\bar{d} + \bar{e}) = \bar{a} + \bar{d} - \bar{c} < 0$ and $2\bar{a} + 2\bar{c} + (\bar{d} + \bar{e}) = \bar{a} + \bar{c} - \bar{b} < 0$. This is clearly impossible.

From now on, we suppose Q_2 has no term $\geq bd$ and $Q_3 \in (ce, d^2, de, e^2)$. Since Λ is ρ_6 -semi-stable, Q_3 contains a d^2 term. If, in addition, $in_{lex}(Q_3) = ce$, then recalling that Q_2 has a term $\geq cd$, we have Plücker coordinates of weights at least

$$\begin{aligned} 2\bar{a} + (\bar{c} + \bar{d}) + (\bar{c} + \bar{e}) &= \bar{a} + \bar{c} - \bar{b}, \\ 2\bar{a} + (\bar{b} + \bar{e}) + 2\bar{d} &= \bar{a} + \bar{d} - \bar{c}, \\ 2\bar{a} + (\bar{b} + \bar{e}) + (\bar{c} + \bar{e}) &= \bar{a} + \bar{e} - \bar{d}. \end{aligned}$$

The three expressions cannot be simultaneously non-positive, so Λ is χ -stable.

It remains to consider the case $in_{lex}(Q_3) = d^2$. By Lemma 2.3(ii), Q_2 contains a term $\geq c^2$. Thus, if Λ is not χ -semi-stable, we have $2\bar{a} + (\bar{b} + \bar{e}) + 2\bar{d} = \bar{a} + \bar{d} - \bar{c} < 0$ and $2\bar{a} + 2\bar{c} + 2\bar{d} = -2(\bar{b} + \bar{e}) < 0$. This is clearly impossible.

Case II: O is a base point but L is not in the base locus.

Claim 2.5. *Without loss of generality, we may assume Q_1, Q_2, Q_3 satisfy the following conditions:*

- (1) $in_{lex}(Q_1), in_{lex}(Q_2) \in \{ab, ac, ad, ae\}$ and $Q_3 \in (b, c, d, e)^2$.
- (2) Q_1 has a term $\geq b^2$, but Q_2, Q_3 have no term $\geq b^2$.
- (3) $Q_3 \in (be, ce, d^2, de, e^2)$.
- (4) Q_2 has a term $\geq cd$.

Proof of Claim. Indeed, (1) is immediate from Lemma 2.3(iv) using the assumption that O is a basepoint. If $Q_3 \notin (b, c, d, e)^2$, then $in_{lex}(Q_3) \geq ae$ and Λ is χ -stable as $(\bar{a} + \bar{c}) + (\bar{a} + \bar{d}) + (\bar{a} + \bar{e}) > 0$.

For (2), the assumption that L is not in the base locus implies that Q_1, Q_2 , or Q_3 must have a term $\geq b^2$. We now deal with the case when Q_2 or Q_3 has a term $\geq b^2$. If Q_3 has a term $\geq b^2$, then Λ is χ -stable since $(\bar{a} + \bar{e}) + (\bar{a} + \bar{d}) + (2\bar{b}) = \bar{a} + \bar{b} - \bar{c} \geq \bar{a} > 0$. If Q_2 has a term $\geq b^2$, we consider two cases: If Q_3 has a term $\geq ce$, then we are done by Lemma 2.4 since $(\bar{a} + \bar{d}) + 2\bar{b} + (\bar{c} + \bar{e}) = \bar{b}$. Otherwise, $Q_3 \in (d, e)^2$. If $in_{lex}(Q_1) = ad$, then this contradicts ρ_7 -semi-stability. Thus $in_{lex}(Q_1) \geq ac$. We are now done by Lemma 2.4 since $(\bar{a} + \bar{c}) + (2\bar{b}) + (\bar{d} + \bar{e}) = \bar{b}$.

Finally, to prove (3), we recall that $Q_3 \in (b, c, d, e)^2$. By Lemma 2.4, Q_3 is χ -semi-stable if it has a term $\geq cd$, as $(2\bar{b}) + (\bar{a} + \bar{e}) + (\bar{c} + \bar{d}) = \bar{b}$. \square

We subdivide the further analysis into six cases according to the initial monomials of $in_{lex}(Q_1)$ and $in_{lex}(Q_2)$.

Case II.1: $in_{lex}(Q_1) = ad$ and $in_{lex}(Q_2) = ae$. By (2) Q_2 has no term $\geq b^2$. Since Λ is $\rho_{11} = (14, 4, -1, -6, -11)$ -semi-stable, we see that $Q_3 \notin (ce, d^2, de, e^2)$. It follows by (3) that $in_{lex}(Q_3) = be$.

By $\rho_8 = (7, 2, 2, -3, -8)$ -semi-stability, Q_2 has a term $\geq c^2$. We now consider two subcases, according to whether Q_3 has a d^2 term.

If Q_3 has a d^2 term, we have Plücker coordinates of χ -weights

$$\begin{aligned} (\bar{a} + \bar{d}) + (\bar{a} + \bar{e}) + (\bar{b} + \bar{e}) &= \bar{a} + \bar{e} - \bar{c}, \\ (\bar{a} + \bar{d}) + 2\bar{c} + (\bar{b} + \bar{e}) &= \bar{c}, \\ 2\bar{b} + 2\bar{c} + 2\bar{d} &= -2(\bar{a} + \bar{e}). \end{aligned}$$

Evidently, these expressions cannot be simultaneously negative, so Λ is χ -semi-stable.

If Q_3 has no term $\geq d^2$, then by $\rho_{10} = (9, 4, -1, -1, -11)$ -semi-stability, Q_2 has a term $\geq bd$. Thus, we have Plücker coordinates with χ -weights at least

$$\begin{aligned} (\bar{a} + \bar{d}) + (\bar{a} + \bar{e}) + (\bar{b} + \bar{e}) &= \bar{a} + \bar{e} - \bar{c}, \\ (\bar{a} + \bar{d}) + 2\bar{c} + (\bar{b} + \bar{e}) &= \bar{c}, \\ (\bar{a} + \bar{d}) + (\bar{b} + \bar{d}) + (\bar{b} + \bar{e}) &= \bar{b} + \bar{d} - \bar{c}. \end{aligned}$$

Evidently, these expressions cannot be simultaneously negative so Λ is χ -semi-stable.

Case II.2: $in_{lex}(Q_1) = ac$ and $in_{lex}(Q_2) = ae$. Since Q_2 has no b^2 term, ρ_7 -semi-stability implies $in_{lex}(Q_3) \geq ce$.

Suppose first Q_2 has a term $\geq bd$. By ρ_{10} -semi-stability, either Q_3 has a be term or Q_3 has a d^2 term. If Q_3 has a be term, then Λ is semi-stable by Lemma 2.4 since $(\bar{a} + \bar{c}) + (\bar{b} + \bar{d}) + (\bar{b} + \bar{e}) = \bar{b}$.

If Q_3 has a d^2 term, then we have Plücker coordinates of weights at least

$$\begin{aligned} (\bar{a} + \bar{c}) + (\bar{a} + \bar{e}) + (\bar{c} + \bar{e}) &= -2(\bar{b} + \bar{d}), \\ (\bar{a} + \bar{c}) + (\bar{b} + \bar{d}) + (\bar{c} + \bar{e}) &= \bar{c}, \\ 2\bar{b} + (\bar{a} + \bar{e}) + 2\bar{d} &= \bar{b} + \bar{d} - \bar{c}. \end{aligned}$$

Evidently, these cannot all be negative so Λ is χ -semi-stable.

Suppose now Q_2 has no term $\geq bd$. Then by ρ_5 -semi-stability Q_2 has a term $\geq cd$ and by ρ_{10} -semi-stability Q_3 has a d^2 term.

Finally, by $\rho_{12} = (13, 8, 3, -7, -17)$ -semi-stability, either Q_2 has a c^2 term or Q_3 has be term.

If Q_3 has be term, recalling that Q_1 has a b^2 term, we have Plücker coordinates of weights at least

$$\begin{aligned} (\bar{a} + \bar{c}) + (\bar{a} + \bar{e}) + (\bar{b} + \bar{e}) &= \bar{a} + \bar{e} - \bar{d}, \\ (\bar{a} + \bar{c}) + (\bar{a} + \bar{e}) + (2\bar{d}) &= \bar{a} + \bar{d} - \bar{b}, \\ (\bar{a} + \bar{c}) + (\bar{c} + \bar{d}) + (\bar{b} + \bar{e}) &= \bar{c}, \\ 2\bar{b} + (\bar{a} + \bar{e}) + (2\bar{d}) &= \bar{b} + \bar{d} - \bar{c}. \end{aligned}$$

One of these is non-negative so Λ is χ -semi-stable.

If Q_2 has a c^2 term, then we have Plücker coordinates of weights at least

$$\begin{aligned} (\bar{a} + \bar{c}) + (\bar{a} + \bar{e}) + (\bar{c} + \bar{e}) &= -2(\bar{b} + \bar{d}), \\ 2\bar{b} + (\bar{a} + \bar{e}) + 2\bar{d} &= \bar{b} + \bar{d} - \bar{c}, \\ 2\bar{b} + 2\bar{c} + 2\bar{d} &= -2(\bar{a} + \bar{e}). \end{aligned}$$

One of these is non-negative so Λ is χ -semi-stable.

Case II.3: $in_{lex}(Q_1) = ac$ and $in_{lex}(Q_2) = ad$. By ρ_7 -semi-stability, $in_{lex}(Q_3) \geq ce$. Hence, there is a Plücker coordinate of weight at least $2\bar{b} + (\bar{a} + \bar{d}) + (\bar{c} + \bar{e}) = \bar{b}$ and we are done by Lemma 2.4.

Case II.4: $in_{lex}(Q_1) = ab$ and $in_{lex}(Q_2) = ae$. By ρ_5 -semi-stability, Q_2 has a term $\geq cd$. If $in_{lex}(Q_3) = be$, then we are done by Lemma 2.4 as $(\bar{a} + \bar{b}) + (\bar{c} + \bar{d}) + (\bar{b} + \bar{e}) = \bar{b}$. Assume $Q_3 \in (ce, d^2, de, e^2)$. We consider the following three subcases cases:

Suppose Q_3 has d^2 term but no ce term. Then Q_2 has a term $\geq c^2$ by Lemma 2.3(ii). We have Plücker coordinates with weights at least $(\bar{a} + \bar{b}) + (\bar{a} + \bar{e}) + 2\bar{d} = \bar{a} + \bar{d} - \bar{c}$ and $(\bar{a} + \bar{b}) + 2\bar{c} + 2\bar{d} = \bar{c} + \bar{d} - \bar{e} \geq \bar{c}$. Evidently, these cannot both be negative.

Suppose Q_3 has both d^2 and ce terms. We have Plücker coordinates with weights $(\bar{a} + \bar{b}) + (\bar{a} + \bar{e}) + 2\bar{d} = \bar{a} + \bar{d} - \bar{c}$ and $(\bar{a} + \bar{b}) + (\bar{c} + \bar{d}) + (\bar{c} + \bar{e}) = \bar{c}$. Evidently, these cannot both be negative.

Finally, suppose Q_3 has no d^2 term. Then by ρ_6 -semi-stability Q_2 has a term $\geq bd$. If Q_3 has a ce term, then we are done by Lemma 2.4 as $(\bar{a} + \bar{b}) + (\bar{b} + \bar{d}) + (\bar{c} + \bar{e}) = \bar{b}$. We may now assume $Q_3 = de$. By Lemma 2.3(ii), Q_2 has a term $\geq c^2$. Then we

have Plücker coordinates with weights at least

$$\begin{aligned}(\bar{a} + \bar{b}) + (\bar{a} + \bar{e}) + (\bar{d} + \bar{e}) &= \bar{a} + \bar{e} - \bar{c}, \\ (\bar{a} + \bar{b}) + 2\bar{c} + (\bar{d} + \bar{e}) &= \bar{c}, \\ (\bar{a} + \bar{b}) + (\bar{b} + \bar{d}) + (\bar{d} + \bar{e}) &= \bar{b} + \bar{d} - \bar{c}.\end{aligned}$$

Evidently, these cannot be simultaneously negative.

Case II.5: $in_{lex}(Q_1) = ab$ and $in_{lex}(Q_2) = ad$. If Q_3 has a term $\geq ce$, then Λ is χ -stable since $(\bar{a} + \bar{b}) + (\bar{a} + \bar{d}) + (\bar{c} + \bar{e}) = \bar{a} > 0$. Assume $Q_3 \in (d, e)^2$. By Lemma 2.3(ii), Q_2 has a term $\geq c^2$. So we have Plücker coordinates of weights at least $(\bar{a} + \bar{b}) + 2\bar{c} + (\bar{d} + \bar{e}) = \bar{c}$ and $(\bar{a} + \bar{b}) + (\bar{a} + \bar{d}) + (\bar{d} + \bar{e}) = \bar{a} + \bar{d} - \bar{c}$. Evidently, these cannot be simultaneously negative.

Case II.6: Finally, if $in_{lex}(Q_1) = ab$ and $in_{lex}(Q_2) = ac$, then Λ is χ -stable since $(\bar{a} + \bar{b}) + (\bar{a} + \bar{c}) + (\bar{d} + \bar{e}) = \bar{a} > 0$.

Case III: L is in the base locus.

Claim 2.6. *Without loss of generality, we may assume Q_1, Q_2, Q_3 satisfy the following conditions:*

- (1) $in_{lex}(Q_1), in_{lex}(Q_2) \in \{ac, ad, ae\}$.
- (2) $in_{lex}(Q_3) \in \{bd, be\}$.

Proof. Indeed, (1) follows from Lemma 2.3(iv) using $Q_1, Q_2 \in (c, d, e)$. For (2), note that Lemma 2.3(iii) implies $Q_3 \notin (c, d, e)^2$, and that Λ is χ -stable if either $in_{lex}(Q_3) \geq bc$ or $in_{lex}(Q_3) \geq ae$. \square

We consider three cases according to the initial monomials $in_{lex}(Q_1)$ and $in_{lex}(Q_2)$:

Case III.1: Suppose $in_{lex}(Q_1) = ac$, $in_{lex}(Q_2) = ad$. Then Λ is χ -stable since $(\bar{a} + \bar{c}) + (\bar{a} + \bar{d}) + (\bar{b} + \bar{e}) = \bar{a} > 0$.

Case III.2: Suppose $in_{lex}(Q_1) = ac$, $in_{lex}(Q_2) = ae$. If $in_{lex}(Q_3) = bd$, then Λ is χ -stable. Assume $in_{lex}(Q_3) = be$. By ρ_6 -semi-stability, Q_2 has a term $\geq bd$. Since $(\bar{a} + \bar{c}) + (\bar{b} + \bar{d}) + (\bar{b} + \bar{e}) = \bar{b}$, we are done by Lemma 2.4.

Case III.3: $in_{lex}(Q_1) = ad$, $in_{lex}(Q_2) = ae$. We consider separately two sub-cases: $in_{lex}(Q_3) = bd$ and $in_{lex}(Q_3) = be$.

Case III.3(a): If $in_{lex}(Q_3) = bd$, there is a Plücker coordinate of weight $(\bar{a} + \bar{d}) + (\bar{a} + \bar{e}) + (\bar{b} + \bar{d}) = \bar{a} + \bar{d} - \bar{c}$. Thus, Λ will be semi-stable if we can find a Plücker coordinate of weight at least \bar{c} . By Lemma 2.3(ii), one of Q_1, Q_2, Q_3 contains a term $\geq c^2$. If Q_3 contains a term $\geq c^2$, then we have a Plücker coordinate of weight at least $(\bar{a} + \bar{d}) + (\bar{a} + \bar{e}) + 2\bar{c} = \bar{a} - \bar{b} + \bar{c} \geq \bar{c}$. If Q_2 contains a term $\geq c^2$, then we have a Plücker coordinate of weight $(\bar{a} + \bar{d}) + 2\bar{c} + (\bar{b} + \bar{d}) = \bar{c} + \bar{d} - \bar{e} \geq \bar{c}$. If Q_1 contains a term $\geq c^2$, then we have a Plücker coordinate of weight $(2\bar{c}) + (\bar{a} + \bar{e}) + (\bar{b} + \bar{d}) = \bar{c}$. We are done.

Case III.3(b): If $in_{lex}(Q_3) = be$, we use ρ_6 -semi-stability to see that Q_2 has a term $\geq bd$. Therefore, there is a Plücker coordinate of weight $(\bar{a} + \bar{d}) + (\bar{a} + \bar{e}) + (\bar{b} + \bar{e}) = \bar{a} + \bar{e} - \bar{c}$ and a Plücker coordinate of weight at least $(\bar{a} + \bar{d}) + (\bar{b} + \bar{d}) + (\bar{b} + \bar{e}) = \bar{b} + \bar{d} - \bar{c}$. To prove semi-stability, it suffices to exhibit a Plücker coordinate of weight at least \bar{c} .

By Lemma 2.3(ii), one of Q_1, Q_2, Q_3 contains a term $\geq c^2$. If Q_3 contains a term $\geq c^2$, then we have a Plücker coordinate of weight $(\bar{a} + \bar{d}) + (\bar{a} + \bar{e}) + 2\bar{c} =$

$\bar{a} + \bar{c} - \bar{b} \geq \bar{c}$. If Q_2 contains a term $\geq c^2$, then we have a Plücker coordinate of weight $(\bar{a} + \bar{d}) + (2\bar{c}) + (\bar{b} + \bar{e}) = \bar{c}$. It remains to consider the case when only Q_1 has a term $\geq c^2$. By ρ_8 -semi-stability, Q_3 has a term $\geq cd$, and by ρ_9 -semi-stability Q_1 has a term $\geq bc$. We obtain a Plücker coordinate with weight $(\bar{b} + \bar{c}) + (\bar{a} + \bar{e}) + (\bar{c} + \bar{d}) = \bar{c}$. At last, we are done. \square

2.4. Classification of Unstable Points. In this section, we give geometric description of the strata in $\mathbb{G}(3, 15)$ destabilized by each of the 1-PS's enumerated in Theorem 2.1. For ease of exposition, we analyze the first four 1-PS's separately from the final eight.

Lemma 2.7. *A net Λ is destabilized by one of $\{\rho_i\}_{i=1}^4$ iff it satisfies one of the following conditions with respect to a distinguished flag $O \subset L \subset P \subset H \subset \mathbb{P}^4$.*

- (1) $\rho_1 = (1, 1, 1, 1, -4)$:
 - (a) A pencil of Λ contains H , or
 - (b) An element of the net is singular along H .
- (2) $\rho_2 = (2, 2, 2, -3, -3)$:
 - (a) Λ contains P , or
 - (b) A pencil of Λ contains P , and an element of the pencil is singular along P .
- (3) $\rho_3 = (3, 3, -2, -2, -2)$:
 - (a) Λ contains L , and an element of Λ is singular along L , or
 - (b) A pencil of Λ is singular along L .
- (4) $\rho_4 = (4, -1, -1, -1, -1)$:
 - (a) Λ contains O , and a pencil of Λ is singular at O .

Proof. In case (4), the only triple of initial ρ_4 -weights with negative sum is $(3, -2, -2)$ and $(-2, -2, -2)$. However, the stratum of nets with initial weights $(-2, -2, -2)$ is in the closure of the stratum of nets with initial weights $(3, -2, -2)$. Evidently, any quadric of weight 3 contains O , while any quadric of weight -2 is singular at O . Thus, the net with initial ρ_4 -weights $(3, -2, -2)$ has a base point at O and contains a pencil of quadrics singular at O . The proofs of cases (1)–(3) are similar. \square

On the basis of this partial analysis, we may already conclude the important fact that a semi-stable net has a pure one-dimensional intersection, and hence defines a connected curve with local complete intersection singularities.

Corollary 2.8. *If a net of quadrics in \mathbb{P}^4 is semi-stable, then the corresponding intersection is connected and purely one-dimensional.*

Proof. Fulton-Hansen connectedness theorem [FH79] gives the first statement. If the intersection fails to be purely one-dimensional, then either a pencil of quadrics in the net contains a hyperplane, in which case the net is destabilized by ρ_1 , or we may choose a basis $\{Q_1, Q_2, Q_3\}$ of the net, such that $S := Q_1 \cap Q_2$ is a quartic surface and one of its irreducible components is contained entirely in Q_3 . Because $R_S := \mathbb{C}[a, b, c, d, e]/(Q_1, Q_2)$ has dimension 3, R_S is Cohen-Macaulay and so the ideal (Q_1, Q_2) is saturated. Thus S cannot lie entirely inside Q_3 . We conclude that there must be an irreducible component $S' \subset S$ of degree at most 3 which is contained in Q_3 . If $\deg S' = 1$, then the net contains a plane and is thus destabilized by ρ_2 . If $\deg S' = 2$, then the span of S' is a hyperplane and a pencil of quadrics in the net contains this hyperplane. Such a net is destabilized by ρ_1 .

Finally if $\deg S' = 3$, then the classical classification of surfaces of minimal degree due to del Pezzo [dP85] implies that S' is a *rational normal scroll*; see [EH87] for a modern proof of this result and [Har92] for an introduction to scrolls. We have two cases to consider. If S' is smooth, then the net is projectively equivalent to $(ad - bc, ae - bd, ce - d^2)$ (see [Har92, Lecture 9]) and is destabilized by ρ_3 . If S' is singular, then it must be a cone over a rational normal cubic curve. If O denotes the vertex of the cone, then we must have a pencil of quadrics singular at O . Such a net is destabilized by ρ_4 . \square

The following lemma is an unenlightening but straightforward combinatorial stepping stone to the geometric analysis in Theorem 2.10.

Lemma 2.9. *Suppose Λ is ρ_k -unstable for $k \in \{5, \dots, 12\}$ but is ρ_j -semi-stable for $1 \leq j \leq k - 1$. Let m_1, m_2, m_3 be the initial monomials of Λ with respect to ρ_k . Then $(w_{\rho_k}(m_1), w_{\rho_k}(m_2), w_{\rho_k}(m_3))$ must be one of the following triples:*

- (5) $\rho_5 = (3, 3, 3, -2, -7)$:
 - $(6, -4, -4)$
- (6) $\rho_6 = (4, 4, -1, -1, -6)$:
 - $(8, -2, -7)$
 - $(3, -2, -2)$
- (7) $\rho_7 = (9, 4, -1, -6, -6)$:
 - $(8, 3, -12)$
- (8) $\rho_8 = (7, 2, 2, -3, -8)$:
 - $(4, -1, -6)$
- (9) $\rho_9 = (12, 7, 2, -8, -13)$:
 - $(4, -1, -6)$
- (10) $\rho_{10} = (9, 4, -1, -1, -11)$:
 - $(8, -2, -7)$
- (11) $\rho_{11} = (14, 4, -1, -6, -11)$:
 - $(8, 3, -12)$
- (12) $\rho_{12} = (13, 8, 3, -7, -17)$:
 - $(16, -4, -14)$

Proof. The proof is purely algorithmic. Consider $\rho_k = (\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{d}, \bar{e})$ for $5 \leq k \leq 12$ and suppose $w_1 \geq w_2 \geq w_3$ is the triple of ρ_k -initial weights of a ρ_k -unstable net Λ . Lemma 2.3 translates into the following conditions satisfied by w_1, w_2, w_3 :

- (C1) If $\bar{d} \neq \bar{e}$, then $w_3 > 2\bar{e}$.
- (C2) $w_1 \geq 2\bar{c}$. Moreover, if $w_2 < 2\bar{c}$, then $w_3 \geq \bar{c} + \bar{e}$.
- (C3) $w_2 \geq \bar{b} + \bar{e}$. Moreover, if $w_1 < 2\bar{b}$, then $w_2 \geq \bar{a} + \bar{e}$ and $w_3 \geq \bar{b} + \bar{e}$.
- (C4) If $w_1 \neq 2\bar{a}$, then $w_1 \geq \bar{a} + \bar{d}$ and $w_2 \geq \bar{a} + \bar{e}$.

Now for each ρ_k , we list all triples of ρ_k -initial weights that have negative sum and satisfy (C1)–(C4). We will do only Case (12), by far the most involved, and leave the rest as an exercise to the reader.

The set of possible $\rho_{12} = (13, 8, 3, -7, -17)$ -weights of quadratic monomials is

$$\{26, 21, 16, 11, 6, 1, -4, -9, -14, -24, -34\}.$$

Suppose $w_1 \geq w_2 \geq w_3$ are initial ρ_{12} -weights of ρ_{12} -unstable Λ and Λ is ρ_i -stable for $i = 1, \dots, 4$. By (C1), $w_3 \geq -24$. If $w_1 = 26$, then the triples with negative sum are $(26, -14, -14)$, which violates (C3), and $(26, -4, -24)$, which violates (C2).

Suppose $w_1 < 26$. Then $w_1 \geq 6$ and $w_2 \geq -4$ by (C4). The triples with negative sum satisfying these conditions are

- (21, 1, -24), which violates (C2);
- (16, -4, -14);
- (16, 6, -24);
- (11, -4, -9);
- (11, 1, -14), which violates (C3);
- (6, -4, -4);
- (6, 1, -9);
- (6, 6, -14), which violates (C3).

Finally, one can easily check that the following statements hold: A net with ρ_{12} -initial weights (16, 6, -24) is $\rho_7 = (9, 4, -1, -6, -6)$ -unstable. A net with ρ_{12} -initial weights (11, -4, -9) is $\rho_8 = (7, 2, 2, -3, -8)$ -unstable. A net with ρ_{12} -initial weights (6, -4, -4) is $\rho_9 = (12, 7, 2, -8, -13)$ -unstable. A net with ρ_{12} -initial weights (6, 1, -9) is destabilized by $\rho_8 = (7, 2, 2, -3, -8)$ after the coordinate change $c \leftrightarrow b$. \square

Theorem 2.10. *A curve C which is a complete intersection of three quadrics is unstable if and only if it is (a degeneration of) one of the following curves:*

- (1) C is a double structure on an elliptic quartic curve in \mathbb{P}^3 .
- (2) C consists of a union of a double conic and two conics.
- (3) C has a non-reduced structure along a line L and the residual curve C' meets L in at least $\deg C' - 2$ points.
- (4) C has a point O with a three dimensional Zariski tangent space, i.e. C is not locally planar.
- (5) C contains a degenerate double structure on a conic, i.e. the double structure is contained in \mathbb{P}^3 .
- (6)
 - (a) C consists of a union of an elliptic quartic curve and two conics meeting along a pair of triple points.
 - (b) C contains a double line that meets the residual arithmetic genus one component in three points.
- (7) C consists of two elliptic quartics meeting in an A_5 singularity and a node.
- (8) C contains a planar 4-fold point whose two branches are lines.
- (9) C contains a double line and the residual genus two curve is tangent to it.
- (10) C consists of two tangent conics and an elliptic quartic meeting the conics in a D_6 singularity and two nodes.
- (11) C has D_5 singularity and the hyperelliptic involution on the normalization of C exchanges the points lying over the D_5 singularity.
- (12) C contains a conic meeting the residual genus two component in an A_7 singularity and the attaching point is a Weierstrass point on the genus two component.

Proof. For each of $\{\rho_i\}_{i=1}^{12}$, we shall give a geometric description of the ρ_i -unstable stratum. Suppose C is a 1-dimensional complete intersection of three quadrics and let Λ be its homogeneous ideal. The analysis for $\{\rho_i\}_{i=1}^4$ proceeds via Lemma 2.7 (note that parts (1a) and (2a) of the lemma do not apply as they describe intersections with a higher-dimensional component).

(1) By Lemma 2.7 (1b), a curve C is ρ_1 -unstable if and only if Λ contains a double hyperplane. If Λ contains a double hyperplane, then C is a non-reduced curve with a double structure along an elliptic quartic curve in \mathbb{P}^3 . Conversely, given a curve C with a double structure along a necessarily degenerate elliptic quartic, let H be the hyperplane containing C_{red} . Since the restriction of Λ to H is at most two dimensional, we must have an element $Q \in \Lambda$ which contains H . If $\text{rank } Q = 2$, then our curve would be a reducible union of two degenerate quartic curves. We conclude that $\text{rank } Q = 1$, and so Λ contains a double hyperplane.

(2) By Lemma 2.7 (2b), C is ρ_2 -unstable if and only if a pencil of Λ contains a plane P and an element of the pencil is singular along P . Let Q_2, Q_3 generate the pencil, with Q_3 singular along P . We have $Q_3 = H_1 \cup H_2$, a union of two hyperplanes, with $P = H_1 \cap H_2$. Since $P \subset Q_2$, we must have $Q_2 \cap H_1 = P \cup P_1$ and $Q_2 \cap H_2 = P \cup P_2$ where P_1 and P_2 are planes. In sum, $Q_2 \cap Q_3 = P_1 \cup P \cup P_2$, where the plane P occurs in the intersection with multiplicity two. It follows that $C = Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap Q_3$ consists of the union of two conics ($Q_1 \cap P_1$ and $Q_1 \cap P_2$) and a double conic ($Q_1 \cap P$). Conversely, given such a curve, if we let H_1 and H_2 denote the hyperplanes spanned by each reduced conic with the double conic, then $H_1 \cup H_2$ contains the curve, so we recover an element Q_3 of the net singular along P , the span of the double conic. Furthermore, since all elements of the net contain the double conic, the quadrics containing P form a pencil.

(3) By Lemma 2.7 (3), C is ρ_3 -unstable in two cases:

- (a) The net contains a line L and there is a quadric singular along L .
- (b) There is a pencil of quadrics singular along a line L .

Suppose there is a pencil of quadrics singular along a line L . Let $O' \in L \cap Q_3$. Then O' is a base point of the net and a pencil of the net is singular at O' . It follows by Lemma 2.7 (4) that the net is destabilized by ρ_4 . Hence, it suffices to consider the case when the net contains L and there is a quadric Q_3 singular along L . Then C is generically non-reduced along L . Let C' be the subcurve of C residual to L . Note that every hyperplane containing L intersects Q_3 in two planes and the intersection of $Q_1 \cap Q_2$ with each of these planes is the union of L and at most a single other point. It follows that every hyperplane containing L intersects C' in at least $\deg C' - 2$ points lying on L . Therefore, C' meets L in $\deg C' - 2$ points.

Conversely, suppose C has a multiple structure along L and the residual curve C' intersects L in $\deg C' - 2$ points. Then the projection of C' away from L is a conic. It follows that $C' \setminus L$ lies on a rank 3 quadric Q singular along L . Finally, a non-reduced component supported on L lies on Q . Since C is Cohen-Macaulay, it follows that C lies on Q .

(4) By Lemma 2.7 (4), C is ρ_4 -unstable if and only if O is a base point of the net, and the net contains a pencil of quadrics singular at O . If we choose generators Q_1, Q_2, Q_3 with Q_2, Q_3 singular at O , then $T_O C = T_O Q_1 \cap T_O Q_2 \cap T_O Q_3 = T_O Q_1$ implies $\dim T_O C \geq 3$. Conversely, if $O \in C$ such that $\dim T_O C \geq 3$, then O is a base point of the net and there is a pencil of quadrics singular at O .

Consider now $\{\rho_i\}_{i=5}^{12}$. For each triple of ρ_i -initial weights from Lemma 2.9, the locus of nets having these initial weights is an irreducible locally closed set. In what follows we describe the generic point of each of them.

(5) $\rho_5 = (3, 3, 3, -2, -7)$. By Lemma 2.9, it suffices to consider a ρ_5 -unstable net with initial ρ_5 -weights $(6, -4, -4)$. Such a net has generators (Q_1, Q_2, Q_3) such

that $Q_2 = d^2 + eL$, where L is a linear form, and $Q_3 \in (e)$. Evidently, C contains the double conic (Q_1, e, d^2) contained in the hyperplane (e) .

Conversely, if C contains a double structure on a conic contained in a hyperplane H , then we may take (e) to be the ideal of H and (d, e) to be the ideal of the plane spanned by the underlying conic. It is clear that the restriction of Λ to H must contain the double plane d^2 . Thus the ideal of C contains a quadric in (e) and a linearly independent quadric in $(d^2) + (e)$. It follows that C is destabilized by ρ_5 .

(6) $\rho_6 = (4, 4, -1, -1, -6)$. There are two possible triples of initial ρ_6 -weights: $(8, -2, -7)$ and $(3, -2, -2)$.

If the initial weights are $(8, -2, -7)$, then there is a basis of Λ of the form (Q_1, Q_2, Q_3) , where $Q_2 \in (c, d)^2 + (e)$ and $Q_3 = eL(c, d, e)$. If we let H' be the hyperplane $L(c, d, e) = 0$, then $H' \cap Q_1 \cap Q_2$ is an elliptic normal curve, while $H \cap Q_1 \cap Q_2$ is a pair of conics. All three components meet in the two points of $L \cap Q_1$.

Conversely, given a curve C of this form, let H' be the hyperplane spanned by the elliptic normal curve, and H the hyperplane spanned by the pair of conics. Let $d = 0$ and $e = 0$ be the equations of H' and H , respectively. Then $Q_3 := de \in \Lambda$, and the restriction of Λ to H contains a rank 2 quadric singular along a line contained in H' . Thus we can choose the coordinate c so that the ideal of C can be written as (Q_1, Q_2, Q_3) , where $Q_2 \in (c, d)^2 + (e)$. Thus C is destabilized by ρ_6 .

If the initial weights are $(3, -2, -2)$, then the net is generated by quadrics $Q_1 \in (c, d, e)$ and $Q_2, Q_3 \in (c, d)^2 + (e)$. For a general such net, we can choose coordinates so that $(Q_2, Q_3) = (ae + c^2, be + d^2)$. This pencil cuts out a Veronese quadric with a double line along $c = d = e = 0$ (cf. Lemma 3.5). Being a quadric section of this Veronese, C must be a union of a double line and an elliptic sextic meeting the double line in three points.

Conversely, suppose C has a double line component meeting the residual component of arithmetic genus one in three points. Take a quadric in the net with a vertex on the double line and let $e = 0$ be the tangent hyperplane to this quadric. Then the scheme-theoretic intersection of C with $e = 0$ is a double line in \mathbb{P}^3 . Assuming that the line is $c = d = e = 0$, we conclude that in appropriately chosen coordinates the net is (Q_1, Q_2, Q_3) , where $Q_1 \in (c, d, e)$, $Q_2 = ae + c^2$, and $Q_3 = be + d^2$. Such a net is destabilized by ρ_6 .

(7) $\rho_7 = (9, 4, -1, -6, -6)$. By Lemma 2.9, we have only need to consider initial weights $(8, 3, -12)$. Then the generators of the net can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1 &= ac + b^2 + c^2 \pmod{(d, e)}, \\ Q_2 &= ad + bc \pmod{(c, d, e)^2}, \\ Q_3 &= de. \end{aligned}$$

The two elliptic quartics are $Q_1 = Q_2 = d = 0$ and $Q_1 = Q_2 = e = 0$. Dehomogenizing with respect to a , we see that locally at O , we have $c = b^2 + R_1$, $d = bc + R_2 = b^3 + bR_1 + R_2$, and $de = 0$. This translates into $(e - nb^3)e = 0$ locally at O , which is an A_5 singularity. Restricting to $d = e = 0$, we see that the two elliptic quartics intersect at O and one other point. The claim follows.

(8) $\rho_8 = (7, 2, 2, -3, -8)$. A general net with ρ_8 -initial weights $(4, -1, -6)$ has generators $Q_1 = ad + \overline{Q}_1(b, c, d, e)$, $Q_2 = ae + dL_1(b, c, d) + eL_2(b, c, d, e)$, and $Q_3 = eL_3(b, c, d, e) + d^2$. After an appropriate change of variables, the generators

can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1 &= ad + \overline{Q}_1(b, c, d, e), \\ Q_2 &= ae + bd, \\ Q_3 &= ce + d^2. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\{d = e = 0\} \cap C = \{\overline{Q}_1(b, c) = 0\}$ is the union of two lines meeting at O . From the above, we deduce that $d = R(b, c)$ and $e = bd = bR(b, c)$ for some power series $R(b, c)$ with a quadratic initial form. Now $ce + d^2 = 0$ translates into

$$R(b, c)(bc + R(b, c)) = 0,$$

which defines an ordinary 4-fold planar point.

Conversely, suppose Λ is a net defining a curve C with a 4-fold planar point O whose two branches are lines. Let L_1, L_2 be the lines and C' be the residual sextic. Then C' has geometric genus one and a node at O . Let $\pi: \mathbb{P}^4 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ be the projection from O , Set $C'' = \pi(C')$ and $p_i = \pi(L_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$. Let p_3 and p_4 the images of the tangent lines to the branches of C' at O . Then C'' is a genus one quartic in \mathbb{P}^3 and p_1, p_2 are points lying on its chord $\overline{p_3 p_4}$. Being a genus one quartic, C'' lies on a pencil of quadrics in \mathbb{P}^3 and hence there is a quadric in \mathbb{P}^3 containing C'' together with the 4 collinear points p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4 . This gives a rise to a singular quadric Q_3 in \mathbb{P}^4 that has a vertex at O , contains C'' , and contains the plane P spanned by L_1 and L_2 . Since the quadrics in Λ containing P form a pencil, we conclude that there is a quadric $Q_2 \in \Lambda$ that contains P and which is linearly independent with Q_3 .

Summarizing, we can choose coordinates so that P is given by $d = e = 0$ and find a basis of Λ consisting of $Q_1 = ad + \overline{Q}_1(b, c, d, e)$, $Q_2 = ae + bd$, $Q_3 = dL + eM$, where L and M are linear forms in (b, c, d, e) . The resulting local analytic equation at O is $R(b, c)(bM(b, c, d, e) - L(b, c, d, e)) = 0$, where $R(b, c)$ is power series with a quadratic initial form. This equation defines a triple point unless $L(b, c, d, e) \in (d, e)$. Therefore $L(b, c, d, e) \in (d, e)$, and Λ is destabilized by $\rho_8 = (7, 2, 2, -3, -8)$.

(9) $\rho_9 = (12, 7, 2, -8, -13)$. By Lemma 2.9, we have to consider nets with initial weights $(4, -1, -6)$. Such a net is generated by

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1 &= ad + c^2 \quad \text{mod } (c, d, e)^2, \\ Q_2 &= ae + bd \quad \text{mod } (d, e)(c, d, e), \\ Q_3 &= be + cd \quad \text{mod } (d, e)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Restricting to P , we see that the net has a double structure along L and L meets the residual genus 2 curve D in a single point $O : b = c = d = e = 0$. Dehomogenizing with respect to a , we see that the singularity at O is locally analytically $c^2(b^2 - c) = 0$. Thus L is tangent to D at O .

Conversely, if a locally planar complete intersection C contains a double line tangent to the residual genus 2 component, then after an appropriate change of coordinates, the ideal of C is (Q_1, Q_2, Q_3) , where $Q_1 = ad + c^2 \text{ mod } (c, d, e)^2$, $Q_2 = ae + bd$, and $Q_3 = be + cd$. Such a net is destabilized by $(12, 7, 2, -8, -13)$.

(10) $\rho_{10} = (9, 4, -1, -1, -11)$. Consider nets with initial ρ_{10} -weights $(8, -2, -7)$. The generators for such a net can be chosen to be $Q_1 = ac + b^2 + Q_1(b, c, d, e)$, $Q_2 = ae + Q_2(c, d, e)$, $Q_4 = be$. The net defines a reducible curve. Along the plane $b = e = 0$, the two components meet in three points defined by $Q_2(c, d) = ac +$

$Q_1(c, d) = 0$. Restricting to $e = 0$, we obtain a reducible quartic $Q_2(c, d) = Q_1 = 0$, which is a union of two conics. Restricting to $b = 0$, we obtain an elliptic quartic meeting the two conics in a D_6 singularity and two nodes.

(11) $\rho_{11} = (14, 4, -1, -6, -11)$. By Lemma 2.9, the only relevant triple of initial weights is $(8, 3, -12)$. The general net with ρ_{11} -initial weights $(8, 3, -12)$ is generated, after an appropriate change of coordinates, by

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1 &= ad - b^2 - R_1(c, d, e) \\ Q_2 &= ae - bc - R_2(c, d, e) \\ Q_3 &= ce - d^2. \end{aligned}$$

Dehomogenizing with respect to a , we can write the first two equations as $d = b^2 + R_1(c, d, e)$ and $e = bc + R_2(c, d, e)$.

Now we plug into the equation Q_3 to get a local equation for the plane curve singularity at O :

$$bc^2 + cR_2(c, d, e) - b^4 - 2b^2R_1(c, d, e) - R_1^2(c, d, e) = 0,$$

which defines a D_5 singularity.

Furthermore, the hyperelliptic involution induced on the normalization of C by the projection away from L interchanges the points lying over the singularity.

(12) $\rho_{12} = (13, 8, 3, -7, -17)$. By Lemma 2.9, the only possible triple of ρ_{12} -initial weights is $(16, -4, -14)$. After an appropriate coordinate change, the net is generated by

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1 &= ac + b^2 + aR_1(d, e) + R_2(c, d, e), \\ Q_2 &= ae + cd, \\ Q_3 &= ce + d^2, \end{aligned}$$

where R_1 is a linear form and R_2 is a quadratic form. The resulting curve has a conic component C_1 in the plane P and C_1 meets the residual component C_2 at the point O in a singularity with the local analytic equation $d(b^4 - d) = 0$, that is an A_7 singularity. By setting $c = -t^3, d = t^2, e = t$, we see that C_2 is given by the equation

$$b^2 - t^3 + R_1(t^2, t) + R_2(t^3, t^2, t) = 0.$$

In other words, the projection away from L realizes C_2 as the genus two double cover of \mathbb{P}^1 ramified at O . \square

3. GEOMETRY OF SEMI-STABLE CURVES

Notation. To a net of quadrics in \mathbb{P}^4 and a choice of its basis (Q_1, Q_2, Q_3) , we associate the quintic polynomial $\det(xQ_1 + yQ_2 + zQ_3)$. The $\mathrm{PGL}(3)$ -orbit of the corresponding quintic plane curve is an invariant of the net, which we call the *discriminant quintic*.

Since a semi-stable net defines a complete intersection by Corollary 2.8, we will use words “net” and “curve” interchangeably. In particular, the discriminant $\Delta(C)$ of a semi-stable curve C is the discriminant quintic of its defining net of quadrics.

3.1. Main Results. In this section, we use the instability results of the previous section to give an explicit description of semi-stable curves. Our main results are the following two theorems classifying reduced and non-reduced semi-stable curves.

Theorem 3.1. *A reduced semi-stable curve is a quadric section of a smooth quartic del Pezzo in \mathbb{P}^4 . Conversely, a quadric section C of a smooth quartic del Pezzo in \mathbb{P}^4 is unstable if and only if*

- (1) C is non-reduced, or
- (2) C is a union of an elliptic quartic and two conics meeting in a pair of triple points, or
- (3) C is a union of two elliptic quartics meeting along an A_5 and an A_1 singularities, or
- (4) C has a 4-fold point with two lines as its two branches, or
- (5) C is a union of two tangent conics and an elliptic quartic meeting the conics in a D_6 singularity and two nodes, or
- (6) C has a D_5 singularity with pointed normalization (\tilde{C}, p_1, p_2) and p_1 is conjugate to p_2 under the hyperelliptic involution of \tilde{C} , or
- (7) C contains a conic meeting the residual genus 2 component in an A_7 singularity and the attaching point of the genus 2 component is a Weierstrass point, or
- (8) C is a degeneration of curves in (1)–(7).

Theorem 3.2 (Non-reduced semi-stable). *Let $N \subset \overline{M}^G$ be the image of the locus of non-reduced semi-stable curves. Then N has the following decomposition into irreducible components:*

$$N = N_1 \cup N_2 \cup N_3 \cup N_4,$$

where

- (1) N_1 consists of a single point parameterizing the balanced genus 5 ribbon described by Equation (1.1).
- (2) N_2 parameterizes curves with a double twisted cubic meeting the residual conic in two points described by Equation (1.2).
- (3) N_3 parameterizes curves with a double conic component meeting the residual rational normal quartic in three points described by Equation (1.3).
- (4) N_4 consists of a single point parameterizing the semi-stable curve with two double lines joined by conics described by Equation (1.5).

Our analysis proceeds by investigation of the discriminant quintic and is motivated by the following easy result on the relationship between a curve and its discriminant:

Lemma 3.3. *Let C be a complete intersection of three quadrics in \mathbb{P}^4 . Then $\Delta(C)$ is reduced if and only if C lies on a smooth quartic del Pezzo in \mathbb{P}^4 .*

Proof. Let Λ be the net of quadrics containing C . If $\Delta(C)$ is reduced, then C lies on a smooth quartic del Pezzo, defined by any pencil $\ell \subset \Lambda$ transverse to $\Delta(C)$. Conversely, if C lies on a smooth quartic del Pezzo P in \mathbb{P}^4 , then the pencil of quadrics containing P has a reduced discriminant. It follows that $\Delta(C)$ is reduced. \square

In Corollary 3.17 and Proposition 3.18, we will show that a semi-stable curve C is reduced if and only if its discriminant $\Delta(C)$ is reduced. This, together with

Theorem 2.10, leads to a fairly concrete description of reduced semi-stable curves as divisors on smooth del Pezzos given in Theorem 3.1. On the other hand, if C is non-reduced our analysis breaks into two cases, according to whether $\Delta(C)$ has a double line or a double conic. In each case, we find a distinguished quartic surface containing C , which enables us to describe C rather explicitly. The surfaces arising in this analysis are described in Section 3.2.

3.2. Special quartic surfaces in \mathbb{P}^4 . Four quartic surfaces, each a complete intersection of two quadrics in \mathbb{P}^4 , play a special role in our analysis of semi-stable curves. Before describing them, let us briefly recall the classification of pencils of quadrics, or, equivalently quartic del Pezzo surfaces, by their Segre symbols [HP52, AM99]:

Definition 3.4. Let $\ell = \{Q(t) \mid t \in \mathbb{P}^1\}$ be a pencil of quadrics in \mathbb{P}^4 , not all singular. Suppose ℓ has exactly k singular elements Q_1, \dots, Q_k . The *Segre symbol* of ℓ is a double array

$$\Sigma = ((a_{ij})_{1 \leq j \leq m_i})_{1 \leq i \leq k},$$

where $\sum_{j \geq r} a_{ij}$ is the minimum order of vanishing at $[Q_i]$ of $(6-r) \times (6-r)$ minors of ℓ , considered as a function of t ; in particular, $\sum_{j=1}^{m_i} a_{ij}$ is the multiplicity of $[Q_i]$ in the discriminant $\Delta(\ell)$.

Two quartic del Pezzo surfaces with projectively equivalent discriminants are projectively equivalent if and only if their Segre symbols are equal; see [AM99, Theorem 2] or [HP52, p.278]. Therefore, if Σ is a Segre symbol, we can speak of a del Pezzo surface $P(\Sigma)$.

3.2.1. Special del Pezzos. We consider two special del Pezzo surfaces $P_0 := P(1, (1, 1), (1, 1))$ and $P_1 := P(1, 2, 2)$. We recall from [AM99, Lemma 3] that P_1 is the anti-canonical embedding of the blow-up of \mathbb{P}^2 at points $\{p, q_1, r_1, q_2, r_2\}$ on a smooth conic, with r_i infinitesimally close to q_i , for $i = 1, 2$; and that P_0 is the anti-canonical embedding of the blow up of \mathbb{P}^2 at points $\{p, q_1, r_1, q_2, r_2\}$, where r_i is infinitesimally close to q_i , for $i = 1, 2$, and p is the intersection of the lines $\overline{q_i r_i}$.

Note that P_1 isotrivially specializes to P_0 . Indeed, if we choose coordinates x, y, z on \mathbb{P}^2 so that $z = 0$ is the line $\overline{q_1 q_2}$ and $x = 0$ (resp., $y = 0$) is the line $\overline{q_1 r_1}$ (resp., $\overline{q_2 r_2}$), then the degeneration can be realized by the one-parameter subgroup of $\text{PGL}(3)$ acting on \mathbb{P}^2 via $t \cdot [x : y : z] = [tx : ty : t^{-2}z]$.

3.2.2. Veronese quartic. The third quartic surface of interest is described in the following lemma.

Lemma 3.5 (Non-linearly normal Veronese). *Let $V \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ be the surface defined by the ideal $(ac - b^2, ce - d^2)$. Then V is a projection of a Veronese surface in \mathbb{P}^5 . Moreover, V has two pinch point singularities (local equation $uv^2 = w^2$) at $[0 : 0 : 0 : 0 : 1]$ and $[1 : 0 : 0 : 0 : 0]$ as well as simple normal crossing along the line $b = c = d = 0$. If an irreducible double quartic curve C on V is cut out by a quadric, then C is either a double hyperplane section or C is projectively equivalent to the balanced ribbon $I_R = (ac - b^2, ce - d^2, ae - 2bd + c^2)$.*

Proof. Evidently, V is the image of $[x : y : z] \mapsto [x^2 : xy : y^2 : yz : z^2]$, which is a projection of the Veronese in \mathbb{P}^5 . The statement about singularities follows from a local computation.

Every irreducible double quartic curve on V must be the image of a double conic on \mathbb{P}^2 . Suppose that the conic has equation $f(x, y, z) = 0$. The double quartic is a quadric section if and only if $f^2(x, y, z) \in \text{Sym}^2 \mathbb{C}[x^2, xy, y^2, yz, z^2]$. In particular, $f^2(x, y, z)$ cannot have x^3z and xz^3 monomials. Thus either $f(x, y, z)$ has no xz term or it has no x^2 and z^2 terms. In the former case, $f(x, y, z) = 0$ is a hyperplane section of V . Suppose now $f(x, y, z)$ has no x^2 or z^2 term but has xz term. Then we can write $f(x, y, z) = xz + yL(x, z) + \lambda y^2$. After a linear change of variables on \mathbb{P}^2 inducing a compatible linear change of variables in \mathbb{P}^4 , we can assume that $f(x, y, z) = xz + \lambda y^2$. If $\lambda = 0$, then $f^2(x, y, z) = ae$ and it defines a union of two double conics. This contradicts the irreducibility assumption. Thus, we can assume that $f(x, y, z) = xz - y^2$, so that $(xz - y^2)^2 = x^2z^2 - 2xyz^2 + y^4 = ae - 2bd + c^2$. \square

3.2.3. Reducible quartic. The final quartic of special interest to us is the reducible union of a plane with a cubic scroll, which arises in Part (1) of the following lemma.

Lemma 3.6. *Suppose ℓ is a pencil of quadrics containing a common plane and with no common singular points. Then ℓ is one of the following up to projectivity:*

- (1) $\ell = (ad - bc, be - cd)$, defining a union of a plane with a cubic scroll. The vertices of the quadrics in ℓ trace out the conic $b = d = ae - c^2 = 0$.
- (2) $\ell = (ad - \mu b^2, be - cd)$, where $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$. The vertices of the rank 4 quadrics in ℓ trace out the line $b = d = e = 0$.

Proof. Suppose a pencil of quadrics contains a plane $b = d = 0$. Then the general form of the pencil is $bL_1 - dL_2 = bL_3 - dL_4 = 0$. Since the pencil does not have a common singular point, the set given by $b = d = L_1 = L_2 = L_3 = L_4 = 0$ is empty. Without loss of generality, we can assume that b, d, L_2, L_3, L_4 are linearly independent and choose coordinates so that $L_2 = a, L_3 = e, L_4 = c$. Thus $\ell = (ad - bL_1, be - cd)$. Changing coordinates, we can assume that $L_1 = \lambda c + \mu b$. If $\lambda \neq 0$, a further change of coordinates: $a' := \lambda a, c' := \lambda c + \mu b, b' := \lambda b, e' := e + (\mu/\lambda)d, d' := d$ gives $I = (a'd' - b'c', b'e' - c'd')$.

Finally, if $\lambda = 0$, then the pencil is $(ad - \mu b^2, be - cd)$. \square

3.3. Non-reduced discriminants of semi-stable curves. We proceed to give a complete classification of semi-stable curves with non-reduced discriminants. An important implication of our analysis is the fact that a semi-stable curve with a non-reduced discriminant is itself non-reduced.

3.3.1. Discriminants of pencils and nets of quadrics in \mathbb{P}^4 . We begin with a series of simple lemmas, whose proofs we omit.

Lemma 3.7. *Suppose Q_1 is a rank 4 quadric. Then $\det(Q_1 + tQ_2)$ has a root of multiplicity two at $t = 0$, or is identically zero, if and only if Q_2 vanishes at the vertex of Q_1 .*

Lemma 3.8. *A pencil ℓ of quadrics in \mathbb{P}^4 consists of singular quadrics only if:*

- (A) Quadrics in ℓ have a common singular point; or
- (B) Quadrics in ℓ contain a common plane; or
- (C) Restricted to a common hyperplane, the quadrics in ℓ are singular along a line;

Furthermore, if ℓ satisfies (C) but not (A) or (B), then up to projectivity $\ell = (ac - b^2, ce - d^2)$, defining the Veronese quartic V .

Lemma 3.9. *A pencil ℓ of quadrics in \mathbb{P}^3 consists of singular quadrics if and only if:*

- (A) *Quadrics in ℓ have a common singular point; or*
- (B) *Restricted to a common plane, quadrics in ℓ contain a double line. The general such ℓ is, up to projectivity, $(be, ce - d^2)$.*

Next, we analyze the possibilities for non-reduced discriminants of semi-stable curves.

Proposition 3.10. *A discriminant quintic of a semi-stable net Λ has a double line if and only if (up to projectivity) $(ae - bd, ad - bc) \subset \Lambda$ and Λ is of the form*

$$(ad - bc, be - cd, ae - c^2 + bL_1 + dL_2)$$

In particular, such Λ contains a double conic.

Proof. Suppose ℓ is a double line in $\Delta(C)$. The analysis proceeds according to possibilities for ℓ enumerated in Lemma 3.8.

(A) Suppose all elements of ℓ are singular at a point O . By Lemma 3.7, ℓ can be a double line of $\Delta(C)$ in two cases: either O is a base point of Λ or all quadrics in ℓ have rank ≤ 3 . The former case is impossible by Lemma 2.7 (4). In the latter case Lemma 3.9 says that either all quadrics in ℓ are singular along a line, in which case Λ is destabilized by Lemma 2.7 (3) or ℓ is up to projectivity (a degeneration of) $(be, ce - d^2)$, in which case Λ is destabilized by $\rho_5 = (3, 3, 3, -2, -7)$.

(B) Suppose ℓ is a pencil of quadrics containing a plane, say $b = d = 0$, and having no common singular points. Then by Lemma 3.6 either $\ell = (ad - bc, be - cd)$ or $\ell = (ad - b^2, be - cd)$, up to projectivity. However, if $\ell = (ad - b^2, be - cd)$, then the singular points of quadrics in ℓ trace out the line $b = d = e = 0$, which must then fall in the base locus of the net because ℓ is a double line of $\Delta(C)$. Such a net is destabilized by the 1-PS with weights $(4, -1, 4, -6, -1)$.

If $\ell = (ad - bc, be - cd)$, then $b = d = ae - c^2 = 0$ is the conic along which elements of ℓ are singular, so this conic must be in the base locus of Λ . The claim follows.

(C) Suppose that we are not in the cases (A) or (B). Then $\ell = (ae - c^2, be - d^2)$, up to projectivity. Since the generic quadric in ℓ has rank 4 and the vertices of quadrics in ℓ vary along $c = d = e = 0$, we deduce that $c = d = e = 0$ must be in the base locus of the net. Such Λ is destabilized by $\rho_6 = (4, 4, -1, -1, -6)$. \square

The converse to the above result is the following.

Proposition 3.11. *A semi-stable curve with a double conic component is projectively equivalent to the intersection of the quadrics $Q_1 = ad - bc, Q_2 = be - cd, Q_3 = ae - c^2 + bL_1 + dL_2$, where L_i are not simultaneously zero.*

Proof. Let C be a semi-stable curve with a double structure supported on a smooth conic X . Denote by Λ the associated net of C . Let $\ell \subset \Lambda$ be the pencil of quadrics containing the plane P_X spanned by X . Note that any quadric not in ℓ intersects P_X in X , and so is smooth along X . Since every point of X is a singular point of C , for every point of X there must be a quadric in ℓ singular at that point. It follows that X is traced out by vertices of quadrics in ℓ . (We note in passing that this implies that ℓ appears with multiplicity 2 in Δ .) By Lemma 3.6, we must have $\ell = (ad - bc, be - cd)$. Then the singular points of quadrics in ℓ trace out the conic $b = d = ae - c^2 = 0$. It follows that $\Lambda = (ad - bc, be - cd, ae - c^2 + bL_1 + dL_2)$. \square

Remark 3.12. In Proposition 3.11, the intersection of Q_1 and Q_2 is the union of the plane $b = d = 0$ and the cubic scroll $(ad - bc, be - cd, ae - c^2)$. The scroll is the blow-up of \mathbb{P}^2 at a point and is embedded in \mathbb{P}^4 by $2H - E$, where H is the class of a line and E is the class of the (-1) -curve. The scroll meets the plane $b = d = 0$ in the conic $ae - c^2 = 0$. The quadric Q_3 intersects the scroll in this conic (of class H on the scroll) and in a curve of class $3H - 2E$, which is a rational normal quartic in \mathbb{P}^4 meeting the conic $b = d = ae - c^2 = 0$ in three points. Thus any curve described by Proposition 3.11 looks like a double conic meeting a rational normal quartic in three points.

Proposition 3.13. *The discriminant quintic of a semi-stable curve C has a double conic only in the following cases:*

- (1) *Up to projectivity, the net of quadrics containing C is*

$$I_R := (ac - b^2, ae - 2bd + c^2, ce - d^2).$$

- (2) *Up to projectivity, the net of quadrics containing C is*

$$I_{DL} := (ad, ae + bd - c^2, be).$$

- (3) *The curve C has a double line meeting the residual genus 2 curve in 2 points; such C isotrivially degenerates to the curve defined by I_{DL} .*
(4) *The curve C contains a double twisted cubic and is defined by Equation (1.2).*

Proof. Let C be a curve with a discriminant $\Delta(C) = 2q + \ell$, where q is a conic and ℓ is the residual pencil.

Case 1: Suppose first that the generic quadric in q has rank 3. The further analysis breaks into the cases enumerated by Lemma 3.8:

- (A) All quadrics in ℓ have a common singular point; or
- (B) All quadrics in ℓ contain a common plane; or
- (C) $\ell = (ac - b^2, ce - d^2)$, defining the Veronese quartic V .

Observation: Before proceeding with a case-by-case analysis, we make an elementary observation about conics in Λ . Namely, suppose $q \subset \Lambda$ is a conic. Suppose $[Q_1]$ and $[Q_2]$ are two distinct point on q and let $[Q_3] \in \Lambda$ be the point of the intersection of the tangent lines to q at $[Q_1]$ and $[Q_2]$. Then q can be explicitly parameterized by \mathbb{P}^1 via $[s : t] \mapsto [s^2Q_1 + t^2Q_2 + stQ_3]$. We also note that Q_1, Q_2 , and Q_3 obtained by this construction span the net.

Case (A): The quadrics in ℓ have a common singular point $b = c = d = e = 0$. We can assume that $\ell = (Q_2, Q_3)$ and $Q_1 = a^2 + \bar{Q}_1(b, c, d, e)$. Note that with this choice of coordinates, every quadric in the net can be written as a linear combination of a^2 and a quadric in variables b, c, d, e . Since elements of q have generic rank 3, all elements of q have form $\lambda a^2 + R(b, c, d, e)$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $R(b, c, d, e)$ are generically rank 2 quadrics with no common singular point. In particular, it follows that quadrics corresponding to $q \cap \ell$ are rank 2 quadrics in variables b, c, d, e .

Given any two points $[Q_1], [Q_2] \in q$, we can choose coordinates b, c, d, e so that $Q_1 = bc \pmod{a^2}$ and $Q_2 = de \pmod{a^2}$. By the observation above every element of q can be written as $s^2Q_1 + t^2Q_2 + stQ_3$, where $[s : t] \in \mathbb{P}^1$, and where $[Q_3]$ is the point of intersection of the tangent lines to q at $[Q_1]$ and $[Q_2]$. Set $\bar{Q}_3 := Q_3 \pmod{a}$. Then $s^2bc + t^2de + st\bar{Q}_3$ is a rank 2 quadric for all $[s : t] \in \mathbb{P}^1$.

Claim 3.14. $\overline{Q}_3 = \mu be + \frac{1}{\mu} cd$, possibly after an appropriate renaming of variables.

Proof. Let M be the symmetric matrix associated to $s^2bc + t^2de + st\overline{Q}_3$. Analyzing t^5s and s^5t terms of the 3×3 minors of M , we see that $\overline{Q}_3 \in (bd, be, cd, ce)$. Writing $\overline{Q}_3 = xbd + ybe + zcd + wce$, the upper-left 3×3 minor of M is $2xzs^4t^2$. Hence $xz = 0$. Similarly, one shows that $xy = zw = yw = 0$. Without loss of generality, we can assume $x = w = 0$. Computing the remaining 3×3 minors we obtain $y(1 - yz) = z(1 - yz) = 0$. The claim follows. \square

We now consider separately two subcases:

Case (A.1): ℓ is tangent to q . We take $[Q_1]$ to be the point of tangency and $[Q_2]$ to be any point on the conic. By above, we can assume that $Q_1 = de$, $Q_2 = bc + a^2$, and $Q_3 = be + cd$. (The last equality comes from $\overline{Q}_3 = be + cd$ and $Q_3 \in \ell$). This net is destabilized by $\rho_2 = (2, 2, 2, -3, -3)$.

Case (A.2): ℓ is not tangent to q . Let $q \cap \ell = \{[Q_1], [Q_2]\}$. As above, we let $[Q_3]$ be the point of intersection of tangents to q at $[Q_1]$ and $[Q_2]$. Letting $Q_1 = bc$ and $Q_2 = de$, we can write $Q_3 = \mu be + \frac{1}{\mu} cd + a^2$ by Claim 3.14. By appropriately renaming and scaling the variables, we obtain the net I_{DL} .

Case (B): The quadrics in ℓ contain a plane P and have no common singular points. If ℓ intersects q in two distinct points, then we are in case (A). (Indeed, the quadrics in $q \cap \ell$ have rank 3 and any two rank 3 quadrics containing a common plane have a common singular point.)

Suppose ℓ is tangent to q . Let $[Q_1]$ be the point of tangency. Then Q_1 has rank at most 3 and contains the plane P . We can choose coordinates so that P has equation $d = e = 0$ and $Q_1 = ce + \lambda d^2$, for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Let $[Q_2] \in q \setminus \ell$ and let $[Q_3] \in \ell$ be the point of intersection of the tangents to q at $[Q_1]$ and $[Q_2]$ (note that the tangent line to q at $[Q_1]$ is ℓ). Then $Q_3 = dL_1 + eL_2$, for some linear forms L_1, L_2 . Since Q_1 and Q_3 have no common singular points, the system of equations $c = d = e = L_1 = L_2 = 0$ has no solutions. Thus we can assume $L_1 = b$ and $L_2 = a$.

All quadrics in q have form $s^2Q_1 + t^2Q_2 + stQ_3$, where $[s : t] \in \mathbb{P}^1$ and have rank 3 by our assumption. The analysis of t^7s terms in the vanishing 4×4 minors of the symmetric matrix of $s^2Q_1 + t^2Q_2 + stQ_3$ shows that $Q_2 \in (c, d, e)$.

It follows that $\Lambda = (Q_1, Q_2, Q_3)$, where $Q_1 = ce + \lambda d^2$, $Q_2 = ae + bd$, and $Q_3 \in (c, d, e)$. Thus Λ is destabilized by $\rho_3 = (3, 3, -2, -2, -2)$.

Case (C): Suppose $\ell = (ac - b^2, ce - d^2)$. By the observation above, there exists Q_3 such that $s^2(ac - b^2) + t^2(ce - d^2) + stQ_3$ has rank 3 for s and t . The vanishing of the 4×4 minors of the symmetric matrix of $s^2(ac - b^2) + t^2(ce - d^2) + stQ_3$ implies that $Q_3 = ae - 2bd + c^2$. Hence the net is $I_R = (ac - b^2, ae - 2bd + c^2, ce - d^2)$.

Case 2: We now consider the case when the generic quadric in q has rank 4. Taking a general pencil in Λ , we see that C lies on the del Pezzo $P(1, 2, 2)$. Furthermore, by Lemma 3.7 the vertices of quadrics in q form a curve D in the base locus of the net. In particular, C is non-reduced.

We now proceed to consider different cases according to the degree of D .

- If D is a line, then we are done by Proposition 3.15 below, which describes all semi-stable curves containing a double line on $P(1, 2, 2)$.
- If D is a conic, then we are done by Proposition 3.11, which describes all semi-stable curves containing double conics.

• If D is a twisted cubic, then the residual component of C is a conic. Let $\ell \subset \Lambda$ be the pencil of quadrics containing the plane spanned by this conic. The intersection of the quadrics in ℓ is a union of a plane and a cubic scroll. The general such ℓ has equation $(ad - bc, be - cd)$ (cf. Lemma 3.6) with the scroll being $(ad - bc, be - cd, ae - c^2)$. Since every double twisted cubic on a scroll is a double hyperplane section, we conclude that every semi-stable curve with a double twisted cubic component is a degeneration of a curve defined by Equation (1.2).

• If D is a quartic, then by Theorem 2.10 (1) D must be a rational normal quartic. We now consider the possibilities for ℓ enumerated in Lemma 3.8. Case (B) is clearly impossible. In Case (A), all quadrics in ℓ are singular at some point O . In this case, D lies on a cone over $E \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ with a vertex at O , where E is a complete intersection of two quadrics in \mathbb{P}^3 . Since the arithmetic genus of E is 1 and E is a projection of a rational normal quartic, we see that E is singular. We conclude that O lies on a chord (or a tangent line) of D . This immediately leads to a contradiction, as D is a complete intersection of three quadrics not passing through O .

Finally, in Case (C) D lies on the Veronese $(ac - b^2, ce - d^2)$. By Lemma 3.5 the ideal of C is I_R . This finishes the proof. \square

3.3.2. *Double lines.* Let $\pi: S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ be the blow up of a plane at five points $\{p, q_1, r_1, q_2, r_2\}$, with r_i infinitesimally close to q_i for $i = 1, 2$. Set $E_0 := \pi^{-1}(0)$ and let $\pi^{-1}(q_i) = F_i \cup G_i$, where F_1, F_2 are the (-2) -curves and G_1, G_2 are the (-1) -curves on S . Denote by H the class of a line on S . If $\phi: S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^4$ is the anti-canonical map, then $\phi(S)$ is the del Pezzo P_1 described in Section 3.2.1.

Proposition 3.15. *Let C be a semi-stable curve on P_1 with a double line component. Then C isotrivially degenerates to the curve defined by*

$$I_{DL} = (ad, ae + bd - c^2, be).$$

Proof. Suppose $2L + R \in |-2K_S|$ is a divisor on S such that $\phi(2L + R)$ is a semi-stable curve and $\phi(L)$ is a line. Then L is a (-1) -curve and R meets L in four points, counting multiplicities. But by Theorem 2.10 (3) $\phi(L)$ cannot meet $\phi(R)$ in four or more points. It follows that one of the irreducible components of R is a (-2) -curve meeting L . The only (-1) -curves meeting (-2) -curves on S are, up to symmetries:

- (i) $L = G_1$ meeting the (-2) curve F_1 .
- (ii) $L = H - F_1 - G_1 - F_2 - G_2$ meeting both (-2) -curves F_1 and F_2 .

However, in case (i), we see that $R - F_1$ has arithmetic genus one and meets $L + F_1$ in three points. It follows that $\phi(R) = \phi(R - F_1)$ meets the double line $\phi(L) = \phi(L + F_1)$ in three points. It follows that C is unstable by Theorem 2.10 (6)(b).

In case (ii), we see that $R - F_1 - F_2 = 4H - 2E_0 - F_1 - 2G_1 - F_2 - 2G_2$ is a genus two curve meeting $L + F_1 + F_2$ in 2 points. Recall that there is an isotrivial degeneration of P_1 to P_0 . Under this degeneration, L is fixed and the limit of the residual genus two component is

$$\underbrace{(H - F_1 - 2G_1 - E_0)}_{(-2)\text{-curve}} + \underbrace{(H - F_2 - 2G_2 - E_0)}_{(-2)\text{-curve}} + 2E_0 + (H - E_0) + (H - E_0).$$

It follows that under the degeneration of P_1 to P_0 , C is a union of two double lines of class E_0 and $H - F_1 - G_1 - F_2 - G_2$, respectively, and two conics of class $H - E_0$.

A simple computation shows that in appropriate coordinates C is given by the ideal $I_{DL} = (ad, ae + bd - c^2, be)$. \square

We summarize the discussion of this section in the following result.

Proposition 3.16. *Suppose C is a semi-stable curve. If $\Delta(C)$ is non-reduced, then C is non-reduced.*

Proof. $\Delta(C)$ is non-reduced if and only if it has a double line or a double conic. The result now follows immediately from Propositions 3.10 and 3.13. \square

Corollary 3.17. *A reduced semi-stable curve lies on a smooth quartic del Pezzo.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.16, the discriminant of a reduced semi-stable curve is reduced and so the curve lies on a smooth quartic del Pezzo by Lemma 3.3. \square

Conversely, we now prove Part (1) of Theorem 3.1 stating that a semi-stable curve on a smooth quartic del Pezzo is necessarily reduced.

Proposition 3.18. *Suppose C is a semi-stable curve. If C lies on a smooth quartic del Pezzo, then C is reduced.*

Proof. Suppose C lies on a smooth quartic del Pezzo S and let ℓ be the pencil of quadrics containing S . To prove that C is reduced, we argue by contradiction. Suppose that C has a non-reduced irreducible component D . Then for every point $p \in D$, there is a quadric in the ideal of C that is singular at p . Since quadrics in ℓ cannot be singular along D , we deduce that the ideal of C contains a single quadric that is singular along all of D . This leads to a contradiction using Lemma 2.7. Indeed, if D is a line, then C is destabilized by $\rho_3 = (3, 3, -2, -2, -2)$; if D is a conic, then C is destabilized by $\rho_2 = (2, 2, 2, -3, -3)$; if D is a twisted cubic, then C is destabilized by $\rho_1 = (1, 1, 1, 1, -4)$. \square

3.4. Proofs of Theorem 3.1 and 3.2.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. To finish the proof of Theorem 3.1, we observe that Parts (2)–(7) follow from Theorem 2.10 (6)(a), (7), (8), (10), (11), (12), respectively. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.2. To prove Theorem 3.2, we note that a non-reduced semi-stable curve has a non-reduced discriminant by Proposition 3.18 and Lemma 3.3. It follows from Propositions 3.10 and 3.13 that a non-reduced semi-stable curve degenerates isotrivially to a curve in $N_1 \cup N_2 \cup N_3 \cup N_4$.

We note that N_1 and N_4 each consist of a single point, hence irreducible. To prove irreducibility of N_2 and N_3 , we recall that a semi-stable curve with a double twisted cubic component is, up to projectivity, given by Equation (1.2):

$$(ad - bc, ae - c^2 + L^2, be - cd).$$

Similarly, a semi-stable with a double conic component is, up to projectivity, given by Equation (1.3):

$$(ad - bc, ae - c^2 + bL_1 + dL_2, be - cd),$$

Irreducibility of the space of linear forms implies irreducibility of N_2 and N_3 .

We proceed to prove the semi-stability of the general point of N_i ($i = 1, \dots, 4$) using the Kempf-Morrison criterion [AFS13, Proposition 2.4].

Proposition 3.19. *The ideals I_R, I_{DT}, I_T, I_{DL} , defined by*

$$\begin{aligned} I_R &:= (ac - b^2, ae - 2bd + c^2, ce - d^2), \\ I_{DT} &:= (ad - b^2, ae - bd + c^2, be - d^2), \\ I_T &:= (ad - bc, ae + bd - c^2, be - cd), \\ I_{DL} &:= (ad, ae + bd - c^2, be), \end{aligned}$$

are semi-stable.

Proof. Each of the ideals is stabilized by a certain 1-PS acting diagonally with respect to the distinguished basis $\{a, b, c, d, e\}$. Indeed, they are stabilized by $(2, 1, 0, -1, -2)$, $(3, 1, 0, -1, -3)$, $(2, 1, 0, -1, -2)$, and $(2, 1, 0, -1, -2)$, respectively. By the Kempf-Morrison criterion [AFS13, Proposition 2.4], it therefore suffices to check that these curves are semi-stable with respect to 1-PS's acting diagonally with respect to this basis. By Theorem 2.1 (see also Remark 2.2), it suffices to check the given finite list of 1-PS's acting diagonally with respect to this basis, and this is an easy exercise. We should remark that semi-stability of the balanced ribbon I_R is a special case of a more general [AFS13, Theorem 4.1]. \square

Observing that $I_R \in N_1, I_{DT} \in N_2, I_T \in N_3, I_{DL} \in N_4$ finishes the proof of Theorem 3.2. \square

Remark 3.20. We note that $I_T = (ad - bc, ae + bd - c^2, be - cd)$ contains no rank 3 quadric. Thus [FJ11, Theorem 2.1] provides an easier, independent proof for the semi-stability of I_T .

Remark 3.21. We observe that the discriminant quintic of I_T is $2y^3(xz - y^2)$, which is unstable under the natural $\mathrm{SL}(3)$ -action on the space of plane quintics.

4. PROOFS OF THE MAIN RESULTS

In this section we prove Main Theorems 1–3 from the introduction. Main Theorem 1 follows immediately from Theorems 3.1 and 3.2.

Proof of Main Theorem 2. The divisor of singular complete intersections in \overline{M}^G is irreducible. Furthermore, from Main Theorem 1, its general point corresponds to a one-nodal curve on a smooth quartic del Pezzo. It follows that the inverse rational map $f^{-1}: \overline{M}^G \dashrightarrow \overline{M}_5$ does not contract divisors and so f is a contraction.

By Theorem [Has00, Theorem 6.2 and Theorem 6.3], the general curve in Δ_1 arises as a stable limit of a genus 5 curve with an A_2 singularity and the general curve in Δ_2 arises as a stable limit of the general curve in the $A_5^{\{1\}}$ -locus. It follows that Δ_1 and Δ_2 are generically fibered over A_2 - and $A_5^{\{1\}}$ -loci, respectively.

It remains to prove that the trigonal divisor is contracted to a single point given by Equation (1.4). Recall that a general smooth trigonal curve of genus 5 has a very ample canonical line bundle and its canonical embedding lies on a cubic scroll, whose homogeneous ideal is $(ad - bc, ae - c^2, be - cd)$, up to projectivities. A trigonal curve on the scroll is cut out by two linear independent cubics

$$(aR_1 - bR_2 + cR_3, cR_1 - dR_2 + eR_3),$$

where R_1, R_2, R_3 are quadrics in $\mathbb{C}[a, b, c, d, e]$. In particular, a general trigonal curve is obtained by taking $\{R_i\}_{i=1}^3$ to be general.

Proposition 4.1. *The rational map $f: \overline{M}_5 \dashrightarrow \overline{M}^G$ contracts the trigonal divisor Trig_5 to the point*

$$I_T := (ad - bc, ae + bd - c^2, be - cd) \in \overline{M}^G.$$

Proof. Since Trig_5 is a divisor, f is defined at the generic point of Trig_5 . Hence to show that f contracts Trig_5 to a point, we need to show that a general trigonal curve arises as a stable limit for some deformation of I_T .

Consider the family of nets $\Lambda_t = (Q_1(t), Q_2(t), Q_3(t))$ defined by

$$Q_1(t) = be - cd + t^2 R_1(a, b, c, d, e),$$

$$Q_2(t) = ae - c^2 + t^2 R_2(a, b, c, d, e),$$

$$Q_3(t) = ad - bc + t^2 R_3(a, b, c, d, e),$$

where R_1, R_2, R_3 are general quadrics. Then for $t \neq 0$, Λ_t defines a smooth non-trigonal curve C_t of genus 5, while $\Lambda_0 = (ad - bc, ae - c^2, be - cd)$ defines a cubic scroll in \mathbb{P}^4 . Let C_0 be the flat limit of $\{C_t\}_{t \neq 0}$ as $t \rightarrow 0$. Using linear syzygies among the quadrics containing the scroll, we see that

$$F_1 := \frac{1}{t^2} (aQ_1(t) - bQ_2(t) + cQ_3(t))|_{t=0} = aR_1 - bR_2 + cR_3,$$

$$F_2 := \frac{1}{t^2} (cQ_1(t) - dQ_2(t) + eQ_3(t))|_{t=0} = cR_1 - dR_2 + eR_3$$

are cubics in the ideal of C_0 . Since R_1, R_2, R_3 were chosen generically, we conclude that C_0 is a general smooth trigonal curve.

It now suffices to show that the limit of $\{\Lambda_t\}_{t \neq 0}$ in \overline{M}^G is the point I_T . Let $\rho_t \in \text{PGL}(5)$ be given by $\rho_t \cdot [a : b : c : d : e] = [a : t^{-1}b : c : t^{-1}d : e]$. Set $\Lambda'_t := \rho_t \cdot \Lambda_t$. Then the flat limit of Λ'_t as $t \rightarrow 0$ is $\Lambda'_0 = (ad - bc, ae - c^2 + R_2(0, b, 0, d, 0), be - cd)$. Since R_2 was chosen to be a general quadric, $S(b, d) := R_2(0, b, 0, d, 0)$ has rank 2.

We claim that, without loss of generality, we may take $R_2(0, b, 0, d, 0) = bd + \eta d^2$ for some scalar η . This implies that Λ'_0 is semi-stable and that its orbit closure contains I_T , since the limit as $t \rightarrow \infty$ of $(ae - bc, ae - c^2 + bd + \eta d^2, be - cd)$ under the one-parameter subgroup $(t^2, t, 1, t^{-1}, t^{-2})$ is $I_T = (ad - bc, ae - c^2 + bd, be - cd)$.

It remains to show that we may take $R_2(0, b, 0, d, 0) = bd + \eta d^2$. Let $S(b, d) = L_1(b, d)L_2(b, d)$, where L_1 and L_2 are linearly independent linear forms. Without loss of generality, $L_1(b, d) = d + \mu b$, where $\mu \in C$. Make the following coordinate change:

$$a' := a,$$

$$b' := b,$$

$$c' := c + \mu a,$$

$$d' := d + \mu b,$$

$$e' := e + 2\mu c + \mu^2 a.$$

Let $M(b', d') = \lambda b' + \nu d'$ be the linear form such that $M(b', d') = L_1(b, d)$. Note that $\lambda \neq 0$. After scaling, we can assume that $\lambda = 1$. Then

$$a'd' - b'c' = ad - bc,$$

$$b'e' - c'd' = be - cd - \mu(ad - bc),$$

$$a'e' + M(b', d')d' - (c')^2 = ae - c^2 + L_1(b, d)L_2(b, d),$$

as desired. \square

Proof of Main Theorem 3. By Main Theorem 2, f is a contraction. We compute $f^*\mathcal{O}(1)$ using two methods.

The most straightforward way is to write down three test families along which f is regular and which are contracted by f . Consider the following families in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_5$:

- (1) A family T_1 of elliptic tails attached to a fixed general pointed genus 4 curve. We have $\lambda \cdot T_1 = 1$, $\delta_0 \cdot T_1 = 12$, $\delta_1 \cdot T_1 = -1$, $\delta_2 \cdot T_1 = 0$. Furthermore, deformations of T_1 cover Δ_1 .
- (2) A family T_2 of genus 2 tails attached to a fixed general pointed genus 3 curve at a non-Weierstrass point; see [FS10, Section 4.4] for a precise description of the construction. We have $\lambda \cdot T_2 = 3$, $\delta_0 \cdot T_2 = 30$, $\delta_2 \cdot T_2 = -1$, $\delta_1 \cdot T_2 = 0$. Furthermore, deformations of T_2 cover Δ_2 .
- (3) A family T_3 of curves in Trig^0 satisfying $\lambda \cdot T_3 = 4$, $\delta_0 \cdot T_3 = 33$, $\delta_i \cdot T_3 = 0$ for $i = 1, 2$. Such a family exists by [DP12], where it is also shown that deformations of T_3 cover Trig_5 .

By Main Theorem 2, f contracts each T_i . Namely, $f(T_1)$ is a semi-stable cuspidal curve, $f(T_2)$ is a semi-stable curve in the A_5^1 -locus, and $f(T_3) = [I_T]$. Therefore, assuming that f is regular along each T_i , we have $f^*\mathcal{O}(1) \cdot T_i = 0$ for each $i = 1, 2, 3$. Writing $f^*\mathcal{O}(1) = a\lambda - b\delta_0 - c\delta_1 - d\delta_2$ and intersecting both sides with T_i , we obtain

$$f^*\mathcal{O}(1) \sim 33\lambda - 4\delta_0 - 15\delta_1 - 21\delta_2$$

as desired. Unfortunately, proving f is regular along each T_i directly would require several rather subtle stable reduction calculations. Thus, we give an alternative computation of $f^*\mathcal{O}(1)$, which implies the desired regularity *a posteriori*.

Let $\mathcal{D} \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}^G$ be the divisor of nets containing rank 3 quadrics. Note that, $I_R \in \mathcal{D}$ (see Proposition 3.19 for the definition of I_R). In particular, \mathcal{D} is non-empty. \mathcal{D} is irreducible because the divisor of nets in $\mathbb{G}(3, 15)$ containing a rank 3 quadric is irreducible. By Remark 3.20, $I_T \notin \mathcal{D}$, and since I_T lies in the closure of A_2 - and $A_5^{\{1\}}$ -loci, we conclude that $f^{-1}\mathcal{D}$ does not contain Δ_1 , Δ_2 , or Trig_5 . It follows that $f^{-1}\mathcal{D}$ is the divisor of genus 5 curves with a vanishing theta-null. By [TiB88, Proposition 3.1], the class of this divisor is proportional to $4(33\lambda - 4\delta_0 - 15\delta_1 - 21\delta_2)$. This proves Part (1) of the theorem.

To prove Part (2), simply observe that

$$K_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_5} + \frac{14}{33}\delta \sim (33\lambda - 4\delta_0 - 15\delta_1 - 21\delta_2) + 11\delta_1 + 17\delta_2$$

and

$$K_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_5} + \frac{3}{8}\delta \sim (8\lambda - \delta_0 - 4\delta_1 - 6\delta_2) + 3\delta_1 + 5\delta_2,$$

where $8\lambda - \delta_0 - 4\delta_1 - 6\delta_2$ is an effective multiple of the divisor class of Trig_5 by Brill-Noether Ray Theorem [HM98, Theorem 6.62]. \square

REFERENCES

- [ACGH85] E. Arbarello, M. Cornalba, P. A. Griffiths, and J. Harris, *Geometry of algebraic curves. Vol. I*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 267, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1985. MR 770932 (86h:14019)
- [AFS10] Jarod Alper, Maksym Fedorchuk, and David Smyth, *Singularities with \mathbb{G}_m -action and the log minimal model program for $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$* , 2010, arXiv:1010.3751v2 [math.AG].

- [AFS13] ———, *Finite Hilbert stability of (bi)canonical curves*, *Invent. Math.* **191** (2013), no. 3, 671–718.
- [AM99] D. Avritzer and R. Miranda, *Stability of pencils of quadrics in \mathbf{P}^4* , *Bol. Soc. Mat. Mexicana* (3) **5** (1999), no. 2, 281–300. MR 1738422 (2000j:14014)
- [CM12] Sebastian Casalaina-Martin, *A tour of stable reduction with applications*, in this volume, [arXiv:1207.1048](#) [math.AG].
- [CMJL12] Sebastian Casalaina-Martin, David Jensen, and Radu Laza, *Log canonical models and variation of GIT for genus four canonical curves*, 2012, to appear in *Journal of Algebraic Geometry*, [arXiv:1203.5014](#) [math.AG].
- [dP85] P. del Pezzo, *Sulle superficie di ordine n immerse nello spazio di $n+1$ dimensioni.*, *Rend. R. Acc. Sci. Fis. Mat. Napoli* **24** (1885).
- [DP12] Anand Deopurkar and Anand Patel, *Sharp slope bounds for sweeping families of trigonal curves*, 2012, [arXiv:1211.2827](#) [math.AG].
- [EH87] David Eisenbud and Joe Harris, *On varieties of minimal degree (a centennial account)*, *Algebraic geometry*, Bowdoin, 1985 (Brunswick, Maine, 1985), *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, vol. 46, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987, pp. 3–13. MR 927946 (89f:14042)
- [Fed12] Maksym Fedorchuk, *The final log canonical model of the moduli space of stable curves of genus 4*, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2012** (2012), no. 24, 5650–5672.
- [FH79] William Fulton and Johan Hansen, *A connectedness theorem for projective varieties, with applications to intersections and singularities of mappings*, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **110** (1979), no. 1, 159–166. MR 541334 (82i:14010)
- [FJ11] Maksym Fedorchuk and David Jensen, *Stability of 2^{nd} Hilbert points of canonical curves*, 2011, to appear in *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*. [arXiv:1111.5339v2](#) [math.AG].
- [FS10] Maksym Fedorchuk and David Ishii Smyth, *Alternate compactifications of moduli spaces of curves*, 2010, To appear in the *Handbook of Moduli*, edited by G. Farkas and I. Morrison. [arXiv:1012.0329v2](#) [math.AG].
- [Har92] Joe Harris, *Algebraic geometry*, *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, vol. 133, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1992, A first course. MR 1182558 (93j:14001)
- [Has00] Brendan Hassett, *Local stable reduction of plane curve singularities*, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **520** (2000), 169–194. MR MR1748273 (2001d:14029)
- [Has05] ———, *Classical and minimal models of the moduli space of curves of genus two*, *Geometric methods in algebra and number theory*, *Progr. Math.*, vol. 235, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2005, pp. 169–192. MR MR2166084 (2006g:14047)
- [HH09] Brendan Hassett and Donghoon Hyeon, *Log canonical models for the moduli space of curves: the first divisorial contraction*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **361** (2009), no. 8, 4471–4489. MR 2500894 (2009m:14039)
- [HH12] ———, *Log minimal model program for the moduli space of curves: the first flip*, *Ann. of Math.* (2012), to appear. Available at [arXiv:0806.3444](#) [math.AG].
- [HL10] D. Hyeon and Y. Lee, *Birational contraction of genus two tails in the moduli space of genus four curves I*, 2010, [arXiv:1003.3973](#) [math.AG].
- [HM98] Joe Harris and Ian Morrison, *Moduli of curves*, *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, vol. 187, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998. MR MR1631825 (99g:14031)
- [HP52] W. V. D. Hodge and D. Pedoe, *Methods of algebraic geometry. Vol. II. Book III: General theory of algebraic varieties in projective space. Book IV: Quadrics and Grassmann varieties*, Cambridge, at the University Press, 1952. MR 0048065 (13,972c)
- [MM93] Toshiaki Mabuchi and Shigeru Mukai, *Stability and Einstein-Kähler metric of a quartic del Pezzo surface*, *Einstein metrics and Yang-Mills connections (Sanda, 1990)*, *Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math.*, vol. 145, Dekker, New York, 1993, pp. 133–160. MR 1215285 (94m:32043)
- [MFK94] D. Mumford, J. Fogarty, and F. Kirwan, *Geometric invariant theory*, third ed., *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (2)* [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (2)], vol. 34, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994. MR MR1304906 (95m:14012)
- [TiB88] Montserrat Teixidor i Bigas, *The divisor of curves with a vanishing theta-null*, *Compositio Math.* **66** (1988), no. 1, 15–22. MR 937985 (89c:14040)

(Fedorchuk) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BOSTON COLLEGE, 140 COMMONWEALTH AVENUE, CHESTNUT HILL, MA 02467

E-mail address: `maksym.fedorchuk@bc.edu`

(Smyth) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HARVARD UNIVERSITY, 1 OXFORD STREET, CAMBRIDGE, MA 01238

Current address: Centre for Mathematics and its Applications, Mathematical Sciences Institute, Australian National University, Canberra, ACT 0200, Australia

E-mail address: `david.smyth@anu.edu.au`