

# MATRICES FOR AFFINE HECKE MODULES

MARK REEDER

Boston College  
Chestnut Hill, MA 02467 USA  
reederma@bc.edu

February 2000

## Introduction

The representation theory of a reductive  $p$ -adic group  $G$  seems to be locally modeled on the representation theory of affine Hecke algebras, or closely related algebras. More precisely, one can often find subcategories of the category of admissible representations of  $G$ , which are Morita equivalent to module categories over various affine Hecke algebras, and the hope is that all admissible representations may be thus described. See, for example, [BK] and [HM] for  $GL_n$ , [Ki] for other classical groups, [L1] for unipotent and [M] for level zero representations, and [Ro] for the ramified principal series. The advantages of this approach depend in part on the possibility of explicit calculations in affine Hecke modules.

To describe the calculations we have in mind, recall that an affine Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}$  is generated by two subalgebras,  $\mathcal{H}_0$  and  $\mathcal{A}$ , where  $\mathcal{A}$  is the coordinate ring of a complex torus  $\mathbf{T}$ , which is a maximal torus in a reductive Lie group  $\mathbf{G}$ , and  $\mathcal{H}_0$  is generated by operators  $T_s$ , where  $s$  runs over a fixed set  $\Sigma$  of simple reflections in the Weyl group  $W$  of  $\mathbf{G}$ , satisfying the usual braid relations along with the relation  $(T_s - q_s)(T_s + 1) = 0$  for certain parameters  $q_s > 0$  (see §1 for more details). For reasons that will become clear, we are especially interested in the case of “unequal parameters”, that is, when the map  $s \mapsto q_s$  is not constant.

Let  $E$  be a finite-dimensional  $\mathcal{H}$ -module. Its restriction to the commutative algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  decomposes as

$$E = \bigoplus_{\tau \in \mathbf{T}} E_\tau,$$

where the “weight space”  $E_\tau$  consists of the vectors in  $E$  annihilated by some power of the maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}_\tau$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ . The essential problem is to calculate the  $E_\tau$ , at least as vector spaces, ultimately as  $\mathcal{A}$ -modules. For a wide class of simple  $\mathcal{H}$ -modules  $E$ , we give here an explicit algebro-geometric description of each weight space  $E_\tau$ . Our result is new for Hecke algebras with unequal parameters, and is also new when  $\mathcal{H}$  does not contain the trivial or sign characters of  $\mathcal{H}_0$ . We also describe the action of  $T_s$  on each sum of pairs  $E_\tau \oplus E_{s\tau}$  (the sum is preserved by  $T_s$ ), which in principle gives the complete structure of the  $\mathcal{H}$ -module  $E$ .

---

Partially supported by the National Science Foundation

When  $E$  comes from a representation  $V$  of  $G$  by some categorical equivalences as above, then the actions of  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{H}_0$  determine irreducibility of induced representations, square-integrability/temperedness, restriction to maximal compact subgroups, and other essential features of an admissible representation. Some of these deductions are illustrated in §7 below, for a particular square-integrable unipotent representation of  $E_8$  arising in [R4]. The detailed treatment of this example was deferred to the present paper, as it requires the results herein.

Of course, we need some information about the  $\mathcal{H}$ -module  $E$  to begin such computations. Every simple module  $E$  can be embedded in a principal series module  $M$ , and we suppose the embedding of one weight space  $E_\tau \subseteq M_\tau$  is known. Then, in principle, it suffices to describe the actions of  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $T_s$  on  $M$ .

In [R1] we constructed an explicit basis for each weight space  $M_\tau$  in  $M$ . Here, in §2, we give the matrices for  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $T_s$  on  $M$  in terms of this basis. Actually, we work with certain operators  $F_s$  which map  $E_\tau$  to  $E_{s\tau}$ , from which the action of  $T_s$  is easily recovered.

We have twice hedged with “in principle”, inviting the suspicion that our explicit formulas may contain practical difficulties. For arbitrary simple  $\mathcal{H}$ -modules  $E$ , this is so. The entries in our matrices share many properties with Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials, and in particular, are only defined recursively (see §6).

However, for certain simple  $\mathcal{H}$ -modules  $E$ , we can refine these to give effective formulas for the weight spaces  $E_\tau$ , in terms of partial derivatives (cap-products, in geometric terms).

These special  $E$ 's are those containing the following kind of weight. Say that  $\tau \in \mathbf{T}$  has “standard singularity of type  $J$ ” if the stabilizer in  $W$  of the hyperbolic part  $\tau_h$  of  $\tau$  is the subgroup  $W_J$  generated by a set of simple reflections  $J \subseteq \Sigma$ . If this holds, there is a unique simple  $\mathcal{H}$ -module  $E$  with  $E_\tau \neq 0$ , and we say  $E$  has standard singularity as well. Each principal series  $M$  (equivalently, each category of  $\mathcal{H}$ -modules with given central character) contains at least one irreducible constituent  $E$  with standard singularity. For example, the constituents containing the trivial and sign characters of  $\mathcal{H}_0$  have standard singularity, and these are not the only examples.

The main result in this paper is an explicit formula for the weight spaces in a simple  $\mathcal{H}$ -module with standard singularity. It is valid for any parameter set  $\{q_s\}$ , as long as the derived group of  $\mathbf{G}$  is simply connected.

Suppose  $\tau \in \mathbf{T}$  has standard singularity of type  $J$ . Let  $W^J$  be the set of shortest representatives for  $W/W_J$ . Every  $w \in W$  may be uniquely expressed as  $w = yz$  with  $y \in W^J$ ,  $z \in W_J$ . For any  $x \in W$ , let  $\mathcal{B}_{x\tau}$  be the flag variety of the centralizer of  $x\tau$  in  $\mathbf{G}$ . Let  $H^*$  and  $H_*$  denote singular cohomology and homology with complex coefficients. There is a natural surjective ring homomorphism

$$j_{x\tau} : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow H^*(\mathcal{B}_{x\tau}),$$

by which  $H_*(\mathcal{B}_{x\tau})$  becomes an  $\mathcal{A}$ -module under cap product. Now we can state our main result.

**Theorem.** *Suppose  $\tau$  has standard singularity of type  $J$ , and  $E$  is the unique simple  $\mathcal{H}$ -module with  $E_\tau \neq 0$ . Let  $w = yz$  as above.*

- (1) *As  $\mathcal{A}$ -modules, we have  $M_{w\tau} \simeq H_*(\mathcal{B}_{w\tau})$ , which in turn is isomorphic to the twist by  $y$  of the  $\mathcal{A}$ -module  $H_*(\mathcal{B}_{z\tau})$ .*

- (2) The  $\mathcal{A}$ -module  $E_{w\tau}$  is isomorphic to the twist by  $y$  of the  $\mathcal{A}$ -submodule of  $H_*(\mathcal{B}_{z\tau})$  generated by the cap-product

$$j_{z\tau} \left( \prod_{\beta \in R_{z\tau}(y)} e_\beta - e_\beta(z\tau) \right) \cap [\mathcal{B}_{z\tau}],$$

where  $R_{z\tau}(y)$  is a certain set of roots depending on  $y$ ,  $z\tau$  and the parameters defining  $\mathcal{H}$  (see (5.5c)), and  $[\mathcal{B}_{z\tau}]$  is the fundamental class of  $\mathcal{B}_{z\tau}$ .

- (3) The dimension of  $E_{w\tau}$  equals that of the span of all partial derivatives of the polynomial

$$\left( \prod_{\beta \in R_{z\tau}(y)} \partial_\beta \right) \Pi_{z\tau},$$

where  $\Pi_{z\tau}$  is the harmonic polynomial corresponding to  $[\mathcal{B}_{z\tau}]$  and  $\partial_\beta$  is the derivation on polynomials extending  $\beta$ .

The proof is in §5. It is item (3) that solves our computational problem effectively, for simple  $\mathcal{H}$ -modules with standard singularity.

The theorem was already known for certain modules. If  $J$  has one element, it was proved in [R1,(10.11)]. If  $\mathcal{H}$  has equal parameters  $q_s \equiv q$ , then the simple  $\mathcal{H}$ -modules containing the trivial and sign characters of  $\mathcal{H}_0$  have standard singularity. For these modules, the theorem was proved in [R2,§5] using Whittaker functions, and can be deduced from the geometric view of Hecke algebras in [KL] (see also [CG]). Moreover, if  $E$  contains the sign character of  $\mathcal{H}_0$ , the the generator in (3) is the harmonic polynomial corresponding to the fundamental class of a connected component of the variety attached to  $E$  in [KL].

For unequal parameters, our theorem is incomplete on this last point, since it gives no interpretation of the polynomial in (3) as a geometrically defined cycle. However, as evidence for a larger geometric picture in the unequal parameter case, we prove en route (see §4)

**Proposition.** *Let  $\tau \in \mathbf{T}$ , and let  $M$  be a principal series module with  $M_\tau \neq 0$ . Then*

- (1) *If  $E$  is any subquotient of  $M$ , then the  $\mathcal{A}$  action on  $E_\tau$  factors through  $j_\tau$ , so that  $E_\tau$  is an  $H^*(\mathcal{B}_\tau)$ -module.*
- (2) *The  $\mathcal{A}$ -module  $M_\tau$  is isomorphic to  $H_*(\mathcal{B}_\tau)$  if and only if it is cyclic.*

## 1. Localized Hecke algebras.

For more details in this section, see [R1, §1-6]. The main new result here is (1.9) below, which describes multiplication in a localized Hecke algebra (which is no longer an algebra), that will lead to our principal series matrices.

**(1.1)** We begin with a complex reductive Lie group  $\mathbf{G}$ , with maximal torus  $\mathbf{T}$ , having roots, positive roots and simple roots  $\Delta$ ,  $\Delta^+$ ,  $\Sigma$ , respectively, and Weyl group  $W$ . We assume this root system is irreducible. For  $w \in W$ , let  $\ell(w)$  be the length of  $w$ , and let  $N(w)$  be the set of positive roots made negative by  $w$ . The  $W$ -action on  $\mathbf{T}$  is denoted  $(w, \tau) \mapsto w\tau$ , and  $W_\tau$  is the stabilizer in  $W$  of  $\tau \in \mathbf{T}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{T}]$  be the ring of regular functions on  $\mathbf{T}$ , and let  $\mathcal{K} = \mathbb{C}(\mathbf{T})$  be the field of rational functions on  $\mathbf{T}$ . The Weyl group acts on  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{K}$  by  $f^w(\tau) = f(w\tau)$ . Let  $X^*(\mathbf{T})$  be the character lattice of  $\mathbf{T}$ . For  $\lambda \in X^*(\mathbf{T})$ , we write  $e_\lambda \in \mathcal{A}$  for the corresponding character. Then  $e_\lambda^w = e_{w^{-1}\lambda}$ .

For  $\tau \in \mathbf{T}$ , let  $\mathfrak{m}_\tau$  be the maximal ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$  at  $\tau$ , and let  $\mathcal{A}_\tau \subset \mathcal{K}$  be the localization of  $\mathcal{A}$  at  $\mathfrak{m}_\tau$ . So  $\mathcal{A}_\tau$  consists of those rational functions which are holomorphic at  $\tau$ , and  $\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_\tau := \mathcal{A}_\tau \mathfrak{m}_\tau$  is the maximal ideal of  $\mathcal{A}_\tau$ .

**(1.2)** In this paper, an affine Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}$  attached to  $\mathbf{G}$  is defined by a collection of positive real numbers

$$\{q_0, q_\beta : \beta \in \Delta\},$$

with  $q_{w\beta} = q_\beta$  for all  $w \in W$ , as follows.

First let  $\mathcal{H}_0$  be the Hecke algebra of  $W$ , with parameters  $\{q_\beta\}$ . It has a  $\mathbb{C}$ -basis  $\{T_w : w \in W\}$  with multiplication rules  $T_x T_y = T_{xy}$  if  $\ell(xy) = \ell(x) + \ell(y)$ , and  $(T_{s_\alpha} - q_\alpha)(T_{s_\alpha} + 1) = 0$ , for a simple root  $\alpha \in \Sigma$ . Let us write

$$B_{s_\alpha} = T_{s_\alpha} - q_\alpha, \quad \alpha \in \Sigma.$$

Next, for each  $\beta \in \Delta$  define the rational function  $\zeta_\beta \in \mathcal{K}$ , as follows. If  $\mathbf{G} = SO_{2n+1}$  and  $\beta$  is a short root, then

$$\zeta_\beta = \frac{(q_\beta^{1/2} q_0^{1/2} - e_\beta)(q_\beta^{1/2} q_0^{-1/2} + e_\beta)}{1 - e_{2\beta}}. \quad (1.2a)$$

Via the symmetry of the corresponding affine Dynkin diagram (of type  $\tilde{C}_n$ ), we may assume  $q_0 \leq q_\beta$ .

In all other cases,

$$\zeta_\beta = \frac{q_\beta - e_\beta}{1 - e_\beta}. \quad (1.2b)$$

Then the affine Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}$  is a twisted tensor product of two subalgebras

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0 \tilde{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{A},$$

where the cross multiplication is given, for a simple reflection  $s = s_\alpha$ , by

$$\theta B_s = B_s \theta^s + (\theta^s - \theta) \zeta_\alpha, \quad \theta \in \mathcal{A}. \quad (1.2c)$$

(1.3) Let  $\tau \in \mathbf{T}$ . Corresponding to  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{A}_\tau \subset \mathcal{K}$ , we have  $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{H}_\tau \subset \mathcal{H}_\mathcal{K}$ , where

$$\mathcal{H}_\tau = \mathcal{H} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{A}_\tau = \mathcal{H}_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{A}_\tau, \quad \mathcal{H}_\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{H} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{K} = \mathcal{H}_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{K}.$$

Note that  $\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{K}$  is an algebra over the  $W$ -invariants  $\mathcal{K}^W$ , not over  $\mathcal{K}$ , and  $\mathcal{H}_\tau$  is not an algebra in general, only an  $\mathcal{H} - \mathcal{A}_\tau$  bi-module.

We have an evaluation homomorphism

$$F \mapsto F(\tau) : \mathcal{H}_\tau \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_0,$$

given on pure tensors by  $T \otimes \theta \mapsto \theta(\tau)T$ , for  $T \in \mathcal{H}_0$ ,  $\theta \in \mathcal{A}_\tau$ .

Let

$$\mathbb{C}_\tau = \mathcal{A}/\mathfrak{m}_\tau = \mathcal{A}_\tau/\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_\tau,$$

identified with  $\mathbb{C}$  via evaluation at  $\tau$ . Define the principal series  $\mathcal{H}$ -module

$$M(\tau) = \mathcal{H} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathbb{C}_\tau = \mathcal{H}_\tau \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_\tau} \mathbb{C}_\tau.$$

The vector  $v_\tau := 1 \otimes 1 \in M(\tau)$  generates  $M(\tau)$  over  $\mathcal{H}_0$ .

(1.4) For each simple reflection  $s = s_\alpha$ , let

$$F_s = B_s + \zeta_\alpha \in \mathcal{H}_\mathcal{K}.$$

If  $w = s_k \cdots s_1$  is a reduced expression, we let

$$F_w = F_{s_r} \cdots F_{s_1},$$

product taken in  $\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{K}$ . By [R1, (4.3)],  $F_w$  is independent of the reduced expression chosen for  $w$ , and  $\{F_w : w \in W\}$  is a (left and right)  $\mathcal{K}$ -basis of  $\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{K}$ . By (1.2c) we have

$$\theta F_w = F_w \theta^w, \quad \theta \in \mathcal{K}, \quad (1.4a)$$

which, along with [R1, (4.3)(2)], implies that

$$F_x F_y = F_{xy} \eta_{x,y}, \quad (1.4b)$$

where

$$\eta_{x,y} = \prod_{\substack{\beta \in N(y) \\ xy\beta > 0}} \zeta_\beta \zeta_{-\beta} \in \mathcal{K}.$$

In particular, we have

$$F_s F_y = F_{sy} \eta_{s,y},$$

where

$$\eta_{s,y} = \begin{cases} [\zeta_\alpha \zeta_{-\alpha}]^y & \text{if } sy < y \\ 1 & \text{if } sy > y. \end{cases}$$

If  $sx > x$ , then computing  $F_s F_x F_y$  in two ways using (1.4b) shows that

$$\eta_{s,xy} \eta_{x,y} = \eta_{sx,y} \quad (sx > x). \quad (1.4c)$$

(1.5) Let  $\tau_0 \in \mathbf{T}$  be a common zero of all  $\zeta_\alpha$ , for  $\alpha \in \Sigma$ . All  $F_w$  belong to  $\mathcal{H}_{\tau_0}$ , and we define

$$B_w = F_w(\tau_0).$$

Then  $\{B_w : w \in W\}$  is a new basis of  $\mathcal{H}_0$ , which we use from now on [R1,§5]. From (1.4a,b) we get the multiplication rule

$$B_s B_w = \eta_{s,w}(\tau_0) B_{sw} - \zeta_\alpha^w(\tau_0) B_w. \quad (1.5a)$$

(1.6) Define rational functions  $p_{x,y} \in \mathcal{K}$  by the expansion

$$F_y = \sum_x B_x p_{x,y}.$$

Then  $p_{x,x} = 1$ , and  $p_{x,y} \neq 0 \Rightarrow x \leq y$ , under the Bruhat order of  $W$ . From (1.5a) we get the following recursive formula for  $p_{x,y}$ :

$$p_{x,sw} = [\zeta_\alpha^w - \zeta_\alpha^x(\tau_0)] p_{x,w} + \eta_{s,wx}(\tau_0) p_{sx,w} \quad \text{for } w < sw. \quad (1.6a)$$

I do not know a closed form for  $p_{x,y}$ , except in particular cases. For example [R1,§5], we have

$$p_{e,w} = \prod_{\beta \in N(w)} \zeta_\beta. \quad (1.6b)$$

We also have

**Lemma(1.7).** *Suppose  $x < y$  are adjacent in the Bruhat order, so  $\ell(y) = \ell(x) + 1$  and there is a positive root  $\beta$  with  $y = xs\beta$ . Then*

$$p_{x,y} = \zeta_\beta - \zeta_\beta(\tau_0).$$

*Proof.* There are  $u, v \in W$ , and a simple reflection  $s = s_\alpha$ , such that  $x = uv$ ,  $y = usv$ , with additive lengths in these expressions. Note that  $v\beta = \alpha$ . We assume  $u$  chosen to have minimal length. If  $u = 1$ , our assertion is immediate from (1.6a). If  $u \neq 1$ , suppose  $t = s_\gamma$  is a simple reflection such that  $tu < u$ . By induction, we have

$$p_{tx,ty} = \zeta_\beta - \zeta_\beta(\tau_0),$$

so we have to show that  $p_{x,y} = p_{tx,ty}$ . By (1.6a) it suffices to show that  $x \not\leq ty$ . Since both elements have the same length, it suffices to show  $x \neq ty$ . But  $x = ty$  would contradict the minimality of  $u$ .  $\square$

For additional properties of  $p_{x,y}$ , see §6.

(1.8) Let  $\tau \in \mathbf{T}$ , and  $w \in W$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{K}}^{w\tau}$  denote the  $\mathcal{K}$ -span (left or right, it is the same) of  $\{F_x : x\tau = w\tau\}$ . Define

$$\mathcal{H}_{w,\tau} = \mathcal{H}_\tau \cap \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{K}}^{w\tau}.$$

Then [R1, §6] we have a decomposition

$$\mathcal{H}_\tau = \bigoplus_{w \in W/W_\tau} \mathcal{H}_{w,\tau}, \quad (1.8a)$$

and  $\mathcal{H}_{w,\tau}$  is a free right  $\mathcal{A}_\tau$ -module. An  $\mathcal{A}_\tau$ -basis  $\{H_x : x\tau = w\tau\}$  of  $\mathcal{H}_{w,\tau}$  is constructed as follows. By (1.6), there are unique rational functions  $r_{x,y} \in \mathcal{K}$  such that

$$\sum_{y\tau=w\tau} p_{z,y} r_{y,x} = \delta_{z,x},$$

where  $\delta_{z,x} = 1$  if  $z = x$ ,  $\delta_{z,x} = 0$  if  $z \neq x$ . Then the basis elements  $H_x$  are given by

$$H_x = \sum_{y\tau=w\tau} F_y r_{y,x}. \quad (1.8b)$$

We also have

$$F_x = \sum_{y\tau=w\tau} H_y p_{y,x}. \quad (1.8c)$$

Finally, the expansion of  $H_x$  in terms of  $B_y$ 's has the form

$$H_x = B_x + \sum_{y\tau \neq w\tau} B_y h_{y,x}, \quad h_{y,x} \in \mathcal{A}_\tau. \quad (1.8d)$$

**Proposition(1.9).** *The left multiplication of  $\mathcal{H}$  on  $\mathcal{H}_{w,\tau}$  is given in terms of the basis  $\{H_x : x\tau = w\tau\}$  as follows.*

- (1) *We have  $\mathcal{A}_{w\tau}\mathcal{H}_{w,\tau} = \mathcal{H}_{w,\tau}$ , with multiplication formula*

$$\theta H_x = \sum_{z\tau=w\tau} H_z \left[ \sum_{y\tau=w\tau} p_{z,y} \theta^y r_{y,x} \right], \quad \theta \in \mathcal{A}_{w\tau}.$$

*In particular, the term in brackets belongs to  $\mathcal{A}_\tau$ .*

- (2) *We have  $\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_{w\tau}^n \mathcal{H}_{w,\tau} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{w,\tau} \tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_\tau$ , where  $n = |W_\tau|$ .*  
(3) *If  $s = s_\alpha$  is a simple reflection such that  $sw\tau \neq w\tau$ , then  $F_s \mathcal{H}_{w,\tau} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{sw,\tau}$ , with multiplication formula*

$$F_s H_x = \sum_{z\tau=w\tau} H_{sz} \left[ \sum_{y\tau=w\tau} p_{sz, sy} \eta_{s,y} r_{y,x} \right].$$

- (4) *If  $sw\tau = w\tau$ , then  $B_s \mathcal{H}_{w,\tau} = \mathcal{H}_{w,\tau}$ , with multiplication formula*

$$B_s H_x = \eta_{s,x}(\tau_0) H_{sx} - \zeta_\alpha^x(\tau_0) H_x.$$

*Proof.* We have  $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{H}_\tau = \mathcal{H}_\tau$  by definition, and  $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{K}^{w\tau} = \mathcal{H}_\mathcal{K}^{w\tau}$  by (1.4a), so at least  $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{H}_{w,\tau} = \mathcal{H}_{w,\tau}$ . For any  $\theta \in \mathcal{K}$ , the formula in (1.9)(1) follows from relation (1.4a). By (1.8d), the coefficient

$$\sum_{y\tau=w\tau} p_{z,y} \theta^y r_{y,x} \quad (1.9a)$$

in (1.9)(1) is also the coefficient of  $B_z$  in  $\theta H_x$ , hence belongs to  $\mathcal{A}_\tau$ , at least if  $\theta \in \mathcal{A}$ .

If, moreover,  $\theta \in \mathcal{A}$  is holomorphic and nonzero at  $w\tau$ , then the coefficients (1.9a) form an upper triangular matrix (for an appropriate ordering on  $wW_\tau$ ) whose

diagonal entries are units in  $\mathcal{A}_\tau$ , and whose entries above the diagonal are in  $\mathcal{A}_\tau$ . Hence the inverse matrix has entries in  $\mathcal{A}_\tau$ , so

$$\sum_{z\tau=w\tau} H_z \left[ \sum_{y\tau=w\tau} p_{z,y} (\theta^{-1})^y r_{y,x} \right] \in \mathcal{H}_{w,\tau},$$

proving (1).

By [R1, (6.8)], the vectors  $H_x v_\tau$ , for  $x\tau = w\tau$ , form a basis of the space  $M(\tau)_{w\tau}$  of vectors in  $M(\tau)$  annihilated by some power of  $\mathfrak{m}_{w\tau}$ . From [R1, (6.2)] we in fact have

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_{w\tau}^n M(\tau)_{w\tau} = 0.$$

Let  $\theta \in \tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_{w\tau}^n$ . For  $x\tau = w\tau$ , we have

$$\theta H_x = \sum_{z\tau=w\tau} H_z \theta_{z,x},$$

for some  $\theta_{z,x} \in \mathcal{A}_\tau$ , by (1). Since  $\theta_{z,x} v_\tau = \theta_{z,x}(\tau) v_\tau$ , we have

$$0 = \theta H_x v_\tau = \sum_z H_z \theta_{z,x}(\tau) v_\tau.$$

Since the vectors  $H_x v_\tau$  are linearly independent, this shows  $\theta_{z,x} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_\tau$  for all  $x, z$ , so (2) holds.

As for (3), it is clear that

$$F_s \mathcal{H}_\mathcal{K}^{w\tau} = \mathcal{H}_\mathcal{K}^{sw\tau}, \quad \text{and} \quad B_s \mathcal{H}_\tau = \mathcal{H}_\tau.$$

If  $sw\tau \neq w\tau$ , then  $\zeta_\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_{w\tau}$ , so we have  $\zeta_\alpha \mathcal{H}_{w,\tau} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{w,\tau}$ , by (1). Since  $F_s = B_s + \zeta_\alpha$ , it then follows that

$$F_s \mathcal{H}_{w,\tau} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{sw,\tau}.$$

The formula in (3) follows from (1.8b,c).

If  $sw\tau = w\tau$ , then  $F_s \mathcal{H}_\mathcal{K}^{w\tau} = \mathcal{H}_\mathcal{K}^{w\tau}$ , and  $\zeta_\alpha \mathcal{H}_\mathcal{K}^{w\tau} = \mathcal{H}_\mathcal{K}^{w\tau}$  by (1.4a), so  $B_s \mathcal{H}_\mathcal{K}^{w\tau} = \mathcal{H}_\mathcal{K}^{w\tau}$ . Since also  $B_s \mathcal{H}_\tau = \mathcal{H}_\tau$ , we have  $B_s \mathcal{H}_{w,\tau} = \mathcal{H}_{w,\tau}$ . Therefore

$$B_s H_x = \sum_{z\tau=w\tau} H_z b_{z,x},$$

for some  $b_{z,x} \in \mathcal{A}_\tau$ . Now (1.8d) implies that  $b_{z,x}$  is also the coefficient of  $B_z$  in  $B_s H_x$ , and that

$$H_x = B_x + \sum_{y\tau \neq w\tau} B_y h_{y,x}, \quad h_{y,x} \in \mathcal{A}_{w\tau}.$$

By (1.5a) we then have

$$B_s H_x = B_s B_x + \sum_{y\tau \neq w\tau} [\eta_{s,y}(\tau_0) B_{sy} - \zeta_\alpha^y(\tau_0) B_y] h_{y,x}.$$

Comparing coefficients of  $B_z$ , we find that  $b_{z,x}$  is in fact the coefficient of  $B_z$  in  $B_s B_x$ , so (4) follows from (1.5a).  $\square$



## 2. Matrices for the principal series

Let  $\tau \in \mathbf{T}$ , and let  $M = M(\tau)$ . Recall that the vectors  $H_x v_\tau = H_x \otimes 1$ , for  $x\tau = w\tau$ , form a basis of the space  $M_{w\tau}$  of vectors in  $M$  annihilated by some power of  $\mathfrak{m}_{w\tau}$ .

Choose a numbering  $wW_\tau = \{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$ , and form the matrix

$$P_{w\tau} = [p_{w_i, w_j}].$$

Let  $D(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n)$  be the diagonal matrix with diagonal entries  $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n \in \mathcal{K}$ . From (1.9)(2), we have

**Proposition (2.1).** *The matrix of  $\theta \in \mathcal{A}_{w\tau}$  acting on  $M_{w\tau}$ , with respect to the basis  $\{H_{w_j} v_\tau : 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ , is*

$$[P_{w\tau} D(\theta^{w_1}, \dots, \theta^{w_n}) P_{w\tau}^{-1}](\tau).$$

Here each entry of the matrix  $P_{w\tau} D(\theta^{w_1}, \dots, \theta^{w_n}) P_{w\tau}^{-1}$  is evaluated at  $\tau$ .

**(2.2)** If  $s = s_\alpha$  is a simple reflection such that  $sw\tau \neq w\tau$ , then (1.9)(3) gives the matrix of the map

$$F_s : M_{w\tau} \longrightarrow M_{sw\tau},$$

in terms of the bases  $\{H_{w_j} v_\tau\}$ ,  $\{H_{sw_j} v_\tau\}$ , as

$$[P_{sw\tau} D(\eta_{s, w_1}, \dots, \eta_{s, w_1}) P_{w\tau}^{-1}](\tau). \quad (2.2a)$$

Since (1.9)(1) gives the matrix of  $\zeta_\alpha$  on both  $M_{w\tau}$  and  $M_{sw\tau}$ , one can recover from (2.2a) the matrix of  $T_s$  acting on  $M_{w\tau} \oplus M_{sw\tau}$ .

More generally, if  $w\tau, s_1 w\tau, \dots, s_m \dots s_1 w\tau$  are distinct, then at each step we are in the situation of (1.9)(3). Using (1.4c) we get

**Proposition (2.3).** *Suppose  $y = s_m \dots s_1$  is a reduced expression, and that the points  $w\tau, s_1 w\tau, \dots, s_m \dots s_1 w\tau$  are distinct. Then the map  $F_y : M_{w\tau} \longrightarrow M_{yw\tau}$  is given in terms of the bases  $\{H_{w_j} v_\tau\}$ ,  $\{H_{yw_j} v_\tau\}$  by the matrix*

$$[P_{yw\tau} D(\eta_{y, w_1}, \dots, \eta_{y, w_n}) P_{w\tau}^{-1}](\tau).$$

For  $\tau \in \mathbf{T}$ , define

$$S_\tau = \{\beta \in \Delta^+ : \zeta_\beta \zeta_{-\beta}(\tau) = 0\}. \quad (2.3a)$$

**Corollary (2.4).** *For  $y, w$  as in (2.3), the map  $F_y : M_{w\tau} \longrightarrow M_{yw\tau}$  is an isomorphism of vector spaces if and only if  $N(y) \cap N(x^{-1}) \cap S_{w\tau} = \emptyset$  for all  $x \in wW_\tau$ .*

*Proof.* The stated conditions are equivalent to each  $\eta_{y, w_i}$  being a unit in  $\mathcal{A}_\tau$ .  $\square$

Now consider the case essentially opposite to (2.4). That is, assume that  $N(y) \cap S_{w\tau} \subseteq N(x^{-1})$  for every  $x \in wW_\tau$ .

Since

$$\begin{aligned} N(y^{-1}) \cap N(x^{-1} y^{-1}) \cap S_{yw\tau} &= -y[N(y) \cap -y^{-1} N(x^{-1} y^{-1}) \cap S_{w\tau}] \\ &\subseteq -y[N(x^{-1}) \cap -y^{-1} N(x^{-1} y^{-1})] = \emptyset, \end{aligned}$$

we know from (2.3,4) that the map

$$F_{y^{-1}} : M_{yw\tau} \longrightarrow M_{w\tau}$$

is an isomorphism, with matrix  $[P_{w\tau}D(\eta_{y^{-1},yw_1}, \dots, \eta_{y^{-1},yw_n})P_{yw\tau}^{-1}](\tau)$ . Let

$$F_{y^{-1}}^{-1} : M_{w\tau} \longrightarrow M_{yw\tau}$$

be the inverse map.

We have  $\eta_{y,w_i} \cdot \eta_{y^{-1},yw_i} = \mu_y^{w_i}$ , where

$$\mu_y = \prod_{\beta \in N(y)} \zeta_\beta \zeta_{-\beta}.$$

Hence, by (2.3), the matrix of  $F_y : M_{w\tau} \longrightarrow M_{yw\tau}$  is the evaluation at  $\tau$  of

$$\begin{aligned} & P_{yw\tau}D(\eta_{y,w_1}, \dots, \eta_{y,w_n})P_{w\tau}^{-1} \\ &= [P_{yw\tau}D(\eta_{y^{-1},yw_1}^{-1}, \dots, \eta_{y^{-1},yw_n}^{-1})P_{w\tau}^{-1}] \cdot [P_{w\tau}D(\mu_y^{w_1}, \dots, \mu_y^{w_n})P_{w\tau}^{-1}]. \end{aligned}$$

The first matrix on the right side is that of  $F_{y^{-1}}$ , and by (2.1), the second is that of  $\mu_y \in \mathcal{A}_{w\tau}$  acting on  $M_{w\tau}$ .

Let  $R_{w\tau}(y)$  be the set of all roots  $\beta \in \Delta$  such that  $\beta$  and  $y\beta$  have opposite signs, and  $\zeta_\beta(w\tau) = 0$ . Then

$$\mu_y = \xi \prod_{\beta \in R_{w\tau}(y)} [e_\beta - e_\beta(w\tau)],$$

where  $\xi$  is a unit in  $\mathcal{A}_{w\tau}$ . We have proved

**Proposition (2.5).** *Suppose  $y, w$  are as in (2.3), and that  $N(y) \cap S_{w\tau} \subseteq N(x^{-1})$  for every  $x \in wW_\tau$ . Then there is a unit  $\xi \in \mathcal{A}_{w\tau}$  such that the map  $F_y : M_{w\tau} \longrightarrow M_{yw\tau}$  is given by*

$$F_{y^{-1}}^{-1} \circ \xi \circ \prod_{\beta \in R_{w\tau}(y)} [e_\beta - e_\beta(w\tau)].$$

*In particular, the kernel and image of  $F_y : M_{w\tau} \longrightarrow M_{yw\tau}$  are isomorphic to those of  $\prod_{\beta \in R_{w\tau}(y)} [e_\beta - e_\beta(w\tau)]$  acting on  $M_{w\tau}$ .*

### 3. Weight spaces in more general $\mathcal{H}$ -modules

(3.1) For any finite dimensional  $\mathcal{H}$ -module  $E$ , and  $\tau \in \mathbf{T}$ , let  $E_\tau$  be the space of vectors in  $E$  which are killed by some power of the maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}_\tau$ . The action of  $\mathcal{A}$  on  $E_\tau$  extends to the localization  $\mathcal{A}_\tau$ , inducing a left  $\mathcal{H}$ -module homomorphism

$$\mathcal{H}_\tau \otimes E_\tau \longrightarrow E,$$

denoted  $H \otimes v \mapsto Hv$ . As recalled in (1.8a), we have a decomposition of right  $\mathcal{A}_\tau$ -modules

$$\mathcal{H}_\tau = \bigoplus_{w \in W/W_\tau} \mathcal{H}_{w,\tau},$$

and (1.9)(2) shows that

$$\mathcal{H}_{w,\tau} E_\tau \subseteq E_{w\tau}, \quad (3.1a)$$

with equality if  $E$  is generated by  $E_\tau$  over  $\mathcal{H}$ .

We note that Nakayama's Lemma extends to  $\mathcal{H}_\tau$ .

**Lemma(3.2).** *Let  $H \in \mathcal{H}_\tau$ , and suppose  $HE_\tau = 0$  for all finite dimensional  $\mathcal{H}$ -modules  $E$ . Then  $H = 0$ .*

*Proof.* For each positive integer  $\nu$ , define a "higher jet" principal series by

$$M^\nu(\tau) = \mathcal{H}_\tau \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_\tau} (\mathcal{A}_\tau / \tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_\tau^\nu).$$

Now  $\mathcal{H}_\tau$  is right-free over  $\mathcal{A}_\tau$ , with basis  $\{H_x : x \in W\}$ , so  $M^\nu(\tau)$  is right-free over  $\mathcal{A}_\tau / \tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_\tau^\nu$ , with basis  $\{H_x \otimes 1 : x \in W\}$ . Write  $H = \sum_{x \in W} H_x \theta_x$ , with  $\theta_x \in \mathcal{A}_\tau$ . Then in  $M^\nu(\tau)$  we have

$$0 = H \otimes 1 = \sum_{x \in W} H_x \otimes \theta_x.$$

It follows that each  $\theta_x$  belongs to  $\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_\tau^\nu$  for every  $\nu$ , hence  $\theta_x = 0$  for all  $x$ , so  $H = 0$ .  $\square$

(3.3) We shall give another basis of  $\mathcal{H}_{w,\tau}$  depending on choices of reduced expressions of elements in  $wW_\tau$ , but having the advantage of being in simple closed form.

For  $\tau \in \mathbf{T}$ , let  $\Delta_\tau^+$  denote the set of positive roots  $\beta$  for which  $\zeta_\beta$  is not holomorphic at  $\tau$ . Let  $s = s_\alpha$  be a simple reflection. Define

$$F_{s,\tau} = \begin{cases} F_s & \text{if } \alpha \notin \Delta_\tau^+ \\ B_s & \text{if } \alpha \in \Delta_\tau^+. \end{cases}$$

Since  $B_s = H_s$  if  $\alpha \in \Delta_\tau^+$ , we see that

$$F_{s,\tau} = F_{s,s\tau} \in \mathcal{H}_{s,\tau} \cap \mathcal{H}_{s,s\tau}.$$

By (3.1a), we have

$$F_{s,\tau} E_\tau \subseteq E_{s\tau} \quad (3.3a)$$

for any finite dimensional  $\mathcal{H}$ -module  $E$ .

Let  $\mathbf{w} = (s_k, \dots, s_1)$  be a sequence of simple reflections in  $W$  such that  $w := s_k \cdots s_1$  has length  $\ell(w) = k$ . For  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , let  $\tau_i = s_i s_{i-1} \cdots s_1 \tau$ , and define

$$F_{\mathbf{w}, \tau} = F_{s_k, \tau_k} F_{s_{k-1}, \tau_{k-1}} \cdots F_{s_1, \tau_1}.$$

If  $N(w) \cap \Delta_\tau^+ = \emptyset$ , then  $F_{\mathbf{w}, \tau} = F_w$ , and is therefore independent of the reduced expression for  $w$ . However, if  $\mathbf{G} = GL_3(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $\tau = (1, t, 1)$  with  $t \neq 1$ ,  $\mathbf{w} = (s_1, s_2, s_1)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\mathbf{w}, \tau} &= (B_{s_1} + \zeta_{\alpha_1}) B_{s_2} (B_{s_1} + \zeta_{\alpha_1}) \\ &= F_{s_1 s_2 s_1} - \zeta_{\alpha_1} \zeta_{-\alpha_1} \zeta_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}. \end{aligned}$$

The first term in the last line is symmetric in 1, 2, but the second is not. Thus, in general,  $F_{\mathbf{w}, \tau}$  depends on the reduced expression  $\mathbf{w}$ , not just on  $w$ .

**Lemma(3.4).**

(1) In  $\mathcal{H}_\chi$  we have

$$\mathcal{H}_{w\tau} F_{\mathbf{w}, \tau} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_\tau.$$

In particular,  $F_{\mathbf{w}, \tau} \in \mathcal{H}_\tau$ .

(2) If  $E$  is a finite dimensional  $\mathcal{H}$ -module, we have

$$F_{\mathbf{w}, \tau} E_\tau \subseteq E_{w\tau}.$$

*Proof.* By induction on  $\ell(w)$ , it suffices to prove (1) for  $w = s$ , a simple reflection. Since both sides are closed under left multiplication by  $\mathcal{H}_0$ , it suffices to check that  $\mathcal{A}_{s\tau} F_{s, \tau} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_\tau$ . Let  $\theta \in \mathcal{A}_{s\tau}$ . We have

$$\theta F_{s, \tau} = \begin{cases} F_s \theta^s & \text{if } \alpha \notin \Delta_\tau^+ \\ B_s \theta^s + \zeta_\alpha (\theta^s - \theta) & \text{if } \alpha \in \Delta_\tau^+. \end{cases}$$

In both cases, the right side belongs to  $\mathcal{H}_\tau$ . Assertion (2) follows from (3.3a).  $\square$

**Proposition (3.5).**

(1) For every  $\mathbf{x}$  with  $x \in wW_\tau$ , we have  $F_{\mathbf{x}, \tau} \in \mathcal{H}_{w, \tau}$ .

(2) If we choose one reduced expression  $\mathbf{x}$  for each  $x \in wW_\tau$ , then the collection  $\{F_{\mathbf{x}, \tau}\}$  is a right  $\mathcal{A}_\tau$ -basis of  $\mathcal{H}_{w, \tau}$ .

*Proof.* By (3.4)(1), we know  $F_{\mathbf{w}, \tau} \in \mathcal{H}_\tau$ , so there are  $h_y \in \mathcal{H}_{y, \tau}$  such that

$$F_{\mathbf{w}, \tau} = h_w + \sum_{y\tau \neq w\tau} h_y.$$

By (1.9)(2), we have  $h_y E_\tau \subseteq E_{y\tau}$  for every finite dimensional  $\mathcal{H}$ -module  $E$ , and every  $y$ . But then (3.4)(2) implies that  $h_y E_\tau = 0$ , if  $y\tau \neq w\tau$ . Then (3.2) forces these  $h_y = 0$ . This proves (1).

Since we insist that  $\mathbf{x}$  be a *reduced* expression, (1.5a) shows that  $F_{\mathbf{x}, \tau} - B_x$  belongs to the right  $\mathcal{A}_\tau$ -span of  $\{B_y : y < x\}$ . Now (2) follows from (1.8d).  $\square$

**Corollary(3.6).** *If  $E$  is a finite dimensional  $\mathcal{H}$ -module which is generated by  $E_\tau$ , then*

$$E_{w\tau} = \sum_{x\tau=w\tau} F_{\mathbf{x},\tau} E_\tau.$$

Thus, if  $E_\tau$  is a known subspace of a principal series module  $M$ , then the matrices in (2.1) and (2.3) can be used to calculate the remaining weight spaces  $E_{w\tau}$ . In the next two sections we simplify this procedure in a special case.

#### 4. Weight spaces and cohomology

From now on, we assume the derived group of  $\mathbf{G}$  is simply connected. Let  $\tau \in \mathbf{T}$ . The centralizer  $\mathbf{G}_\tau$  is connected, so  $W_\tau$  is generated by the reflections about roots in  $\Delta_\tau^+$ . Let  $\mathbf{B}_\tau$  be the Borel subgroup of  $\mathbf{G}_\tau$  corresponding to  $\Delta_\tau^+$ . In this section we review some well-known facts about the cohomology of the flag variety  $\mathcal{B}_\tau = \mathbf{G}_\tau/\mathbf{B}_\tau$  (c.f. [BGG]).

(4.1) Recall that  $\mathfrak{m}_\tau$  is the maximal ideal in  $\mathcal{A}$  at  $\tau$ . The action of  $W_\tau$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  preserves  $\mathfrak{m}_\tau$ , and we let  $I_\tau$  be the ideal in  $\mathcal{A}$  generated by the  $W_\tau$ -invariants in  $\mathfrak{m}_\tau$ . The quotient  $\mathcal{A}/I_\tau$  is naturally isomorphic to the cohomology ring  $H^*(\mathcal{B}_\tau)$ . More precisely,  $H^*(\mathcal{B}_\tau)$  is commutative, and we have a natural ring isomorphism

$$j_\tau : \mathcal{A}/I_\tau \xrightarrow{\cong} H^*(\mathcal{B}_\tau) \quad (4.1a)$$

such that

$$j_\tau(e_\lambda) = e_\lambda(\tau) \exp(c_\lambda) = e_\lambda(\tau) [1 + c_\lambda + \frac{1}{2!} c_\lambda^2 + \cdots],$$

where  $c_\lambda$  is the first Chern class of the line bundle  $L_\lambda$  on  $\mathcal{B}_\tau$  induced by  $e_\lambda$ . The isomorphism  $j_\tau$  is also  $W_\tau$ -equivariant, both sides being isomorphic to the regular representation of  $W_\tau$ .

It follows from (4.1a) that the homology  $H_*(\mathcal{B}_\tau)$  is a module over  $\mathcal{A}/I_\tau$ , via cap-product. This can be made more explicit: Let  $\Sigma_\tau$  be the base of  $\Delta_\tau^+$ , and let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the ring of polynomials in variables  $h_\beta$ , for  $\beta \in \Sigma_\tau$ . For an arbitrary positive root  $\beta \in \Delta_\tau^+$ , we define  $h_\beta$  as follows. If  $\check{\beta} = \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma_\tau} c_\alpha \check{\alpha}$ , then  $h_\beta = \sum c_\alpha h_\alpha$ .

For  $\lambda \in X^*(\mathbf{T})$ , let  $\partial_\lambda$  be the derivation of  $\mathcal{S}$  determined by the condition

$$\partial_\lambda(h_\beta) = \langle \lambda, \check{\beta} \rangle.$$

Then  $\mathcal{S}$  is a locally finite  $\mathcal{A}$ -module on which  $e_\lambda$  acts by the operator

$$e_\lambda(\tau) \exp(\partial_\lambda) = e_\lambda(\tau) [1 + \partial_\lambda + \frac{1}{2!} \partial_\lambda^2 + \cdots].$$

Let  $\mathbf{H} \subset \mathcal{S}$  be the space of polynomials annihilated by the  $W_\tau$ -invariants in  $\mathfrak{m}_\tau$ . Dual to (4.1a), we have a  $W_\tau$ -equivariant isomorphism

$$H_*(\mathcal{B}_\tau) \longrightarrow \mathbf{H},$$

sending the fundamental class  $[\mathcal{B}_\tau]$  to the polynomial

$$\Pi_\tau = \prod_{\beta \in \Delta_\tau^+} h_\beta,$$

such that cap product by  $c_\lambda$  on  $H_*(\mathcal{B}_\tau)$  corresponds to the operator  $\partial_\lambda$  on  $\mathbf{H}$ .

In view of (2.5), we are interested in the kernels and images of the following kinds of elements of  $\mathfrak{m}_\tau$  acting on  $\mathbf{H}$ . Let  $R \subseteq \Delta$  be a set of roots. Put

$$m_R = \prod_{\beta \in R} [e_\beta - e_\beta(\tau)], \quad \partial_R = \prod_{\beta \in R} \partial_\beta.$$

As operators on  $\mathbf{H}$  we have

$$m_R = c\partial_R + \cdots,$$

where  $c$  is a nonzero constant, and  $\cdots$  indicates operators of order larger than  $\#R$ . It follows that the kernel and image of  $m_R$ , after grading according to the filtration of  $\mathbf{H}$  by increasing degree, become isomorphic to those of  $\partial_R$ . In particular, the nullity and rank of  $m_R$  are equal to those of  $\partial_R$ .

(4.2) Now suppose  $E$  is an  $\mathcal{H}$ -module which can be realized as a sub-quotient of some principal series module  $M(\tau')$ .

**Proposition(4.3).** *In this situation, the ideal  $I_\tau$  annihilates  $E_\tau$ . Hence  $E_\tau$  is a module over  $H^*(\mathcal{B}_\tau)$ , via the isomorphism (4.1a).*

*Proof.* We may assume  $E_\tau \neq 0$ . Since  $E$  is a subquotient of  $M(\tau')$ , we have  $w\tau' = \tau$  for some  $w \in W$ , and moreover it suffices to prove the result for  $E = M(\tau')$ . Suppose  $\theta \in \mathfrak{m}_\tau$  is fixed by  $W_\tau$ . Then  $\theta^w \in (\mathfrak{m}_{\tau'})^{W_{\tau'}}$ . Let

$$[\theta_{x,z}]_{x,z \in wW_{\tau'}}$$

be the matrix of  $\theta$  acting on  $M(\tau')_\tau$ , as in (2.1). So  $\theta_{x,z}$  is the evaluation at  $\tau'$  of

$$\sum_{y \in W_{\tau'}} p_{x,wy} \theta^{wy} r_{wy,z} = \theta^w \sum_{y \in W_{\tau'}} p_{x,wy} r_{wy,z} = \theta^w \delta_{x,z},$$

where  $[\delta_{x,z}]$  is the identity matrix. Hence  $\theta_{x,z} = \theta^w(\tau')\delta_{x,z} = 0$ .  $\square$

**Proposition(4.4).** *Let  $M = M(\tau)$  be a principal series module, and let  $w \in W$ . Then the  $\mathcal{A}$ -module  $M_{w\tau}$  is isomorphic to  $H_*(\mathcal{B}_{w\tau})$  if and only if it is cyclic.*

*Proof.* By (4.1) and Poincaré duality, the  $\mathcal{A}$ -module  $H_*(\mathcal{B}_{w\tau})$  is cyclic, generated by the fundamental class of  $\mathcal{B}_{w\tau}$ . Conversely, suppose we have a surjective  $\mathcal{A}$ -homomorphism  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow M_{w\tau}$ . By (4.3) we then have a surjection

$$\mathcal{A}/I_{w\tau} \rightarrow M_{w\tau}.$$

By (4.1), the dimension of  $\mathcal{A}/I_{w\tau}$  is  $|W_\tau|$ , and the same is true of  $M_{w\tau}$  (cf. [R1, (2.2)]). Finally,  $I_{w\tau}$  is the annihilator of  $[\mathcal{B}_{w\tau}]$ , so  $\mathcal{A}/I_{w\tau} \simeq H_*(\mathcal{B}_{w\tau})$  as  $\mathcal{A}$ -modules.  $\square$

## 5. Standard Singularities

Each  $\tau \in \mathbf{T}$  has a canonical polar decomposition  $\tau = \tau_e \tau_h$ , such that for all  $\lambda \in X^*(\mathbf{T})$ , we have  $|e_\lambda(\tau_e)| = 1$ ,  $e_\lambda(\tau_h) > 0$ . For any subset  $J \subseteq \Sigma$ , let  $W_J$  be the corresponding standard parabolic subgroup of  $W$ , generated by reflections from  $J$ . The centralizer  $W_{\tau_h}$  of  $\tau_h$  in  $W$  is conjugate to  $W_J$  for some  $J$ .

**Definition(5.1).** We say  $\tau$  has standard singularity of type  $J$ , for  $J \subseteq \Sigma$ , if  $W_{\tau_h} = W_J$ .

Every  $W$ -orbit in  $\mathbf{T}$  contains an element with standard singularity. For example, we can choose  $\tau$  in its  $W$ -orbit so that  $e_\beta(\tau_h) \leq 1$  for all  $\beta > 0$ . Then  $\tau$  has standard singularity.

If  $\tau$  has standard singularity, we will show that all weight spaces in  $M(\tau)$  are  $W$ -twists of one another, in the following sense: If we have an  $\mathcal{A}$ -module

$$\pi : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \text{End}(N),$$

and  $w \in W$ , then  $\theta \in \mathcal{A}$  acts on the twisted module  $wN$  via  $\pi(\theta^w)$ .

**Proposition(5.2).** Suppose  $\tau$  has standard singularity, and  $M = M(\tau)$ . If  $\tau' \in W\tau$ , then there exists  $w \in W$  such that  $\tau' = w\tau$  and  $M_{w\tau} \simeq wM_\tau$ , as  $\mathcal{A}$ -modules

*Remarks.* Note that  $M_{w\tau}$  depends only on  $w\tau$ , and we will see later that the isomorphism class of the  $\mathcal{A}$ -module  $wM_\tau$  is also independent of the choice of  $w$  in its  $W_\tau$ -coset. At this stage, however, we choose a suitable  $w$ , and the isomorphism in (5.2) will then be given by  $F_w$ .

The result is false without the hypothesis of standard singularity, as seen from the example in  $\mathbf{G} = GL_3(\mathbb{C})$ , where  $\tau = (1, q, 1)$ . Then  $M_\tau$  splits into two one-dimensional  $\mathcal{A}$ -modules, whereas  $M_{(q,1,1)}$  and  $M_{(1,1,q)}$  are indecomposable (c.f. [R1, (4.6), (15.5)]).

*Proof.* By [R1,(10.13)], we can choose a sequence of simple reflections  $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k$  such that the points

$$\tau, s_1\tau, \dots, s_k \dots s_1\tau = \tau'$$

are distinct, and the expression  $s_k \dots s_1$  is reduced. It follows that

$$F_{s_k \dots s_1} = F_{s_k} \dots F_{s_1} \in \mathcal{H}_\tau.$$

By induction on  $k$ , we show that  $F_{s_k \dots s_1}$  gives the desired isomorphism. Let  $w_1 = s_{k-1} \dots s_1$ , and let  $s = s_k = s_\alpha$ . Assume that

$$F_{w_1} : M_\tau \longrightarrow M_{w_1\tau}$$

is bijective. We want to show that

$$F_s : M_{w_1\tau} \longrightarrow M_{sw_1\tau}$$

is also bijective. By (2.4), it suffices to assume  $\zeta_\alpha \zeta_{-\alpha}(w_1\tau) = 0$ , and then show that  $sx > x$  for every  $x \in w_1W_\tau$ .

Note that  $W_\tau \subseteq W_J$ , since the polar decomposition of  $\tau$  is canonical. Let  $W^J = \{y \in W : yJ \subset \Delta^+\}$ . Write  $x = yz$ , where  $y \in W^J$  and  $z \in W_J$ . Since  $\zeta_\alpha \zeta_{-\alpha}(w_1\tau) = 0$ , we have

$$1 \neq |e_\alpha(w_1\tau)| = |e_\alpha(x\tau)| = e_\alpha(x\tau_h) = e_\alpha(y\tau_h).$$

It follows that  $y^{-1}\alpha$  does not belong to the span of  $J$ , so  $sy \in W^J$ . Now from  $sw_1 > w_1$  it follows (c.f. [J, 2.22b]) that  $sy > y$ . Since

$$N(x^{-1}) = N(y^{-1}) \cup yN(z^{-1}),$$

and  $N(z^{-1})$  is contained in the span of  $J$ , we cannot have  $\alpha \in N(x^{-1})$ , so  $sx > x$  as desired.  $\square$

**Proposition(5.3).** *Assume that the derived group of  $\mathbf{G}$  is simply connected, and  $\tau$  has standard singularity. Let  $M = M(\tau)$ . Then every weight space  $M_{w\tau}$  is cyclic over  $\mathcal{A}$ , hence, by (4.4), is isomorphic to  $H_*(\mathcal{B}_{w\tau})$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $\tau$  has standard singularity of type  $J$ . By (5.2), it suffices to prove that  $M_\tau$  is cyclic. Let

$$M_J = \bigoplus_{z \in W_J/W_\tau} M_{z\tau}. \quad (5.3a)$$

Let  $\mathcal{H}_{J,0} \subset \mathcal{H}_0$  be the subalgebra generated by  $T_{s_\alpha}$  for  $\alpha \in J$ , and let  $\mathcal{H}_J$  denote the subalgebra

$$\mathcal{H}_J := \mathcal{H}_{J,0} \tilde{\otimes} \mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{H}.$$

Then  $M_J$  is a principal series module over  $\mathcal{H}_J$ , generated by  $v_\tau$ , and is irreducible by Kato's criterion [K, Thm. 2.2], since  $\zeta_\alpha(\tau) \neq 0$  for all  $\alpha \in \Delta_J$ , as noted in the proof of (5.2). (Note, condition (ii) in Kato's theorem holds automatically, since  $\mathbf{G}_\tau$  is connected.) Therefore the vector  $B_J = B_{w_J}v_\tau$  generates  $M_J$  over  $\mathcal{H}_J$ .

For  $\alpha \in J$  we have

$$B_{s_\alpha} B_J = -(1 + q_\alpha) B_J,$$

by (1.5a). Thus,  $B_J$  is the unique vector in  $M_J$  up to scalar, which affords the sign character of  $\mathcal{H}_{J,0}$ , so  $\mathcal{A}B_J = M_J$ . Let  $B_{J,\tau}$  be the projection of  $B_J$  to  $M_\tau$  according to decomposition (5.3a). Then  $M_\tau = \mathcal{A}B_{J,\tau}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary(5.4).** *If  $\tau$  has standard singularity, and  $w \in W$ , the isomorphism class of the  $\mathcal{A}$ -module  $wM_\tau$  depends only on  $w\tau$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{A} \times W_\tau$  be the tensor product of  $\mathcal{A}$  and the group algebra of  $W_\tau$ , with multiplication rule

$$\theta \cdot w = w \cdot \theta^w.$$

By naturality of Chern classes, the actions of  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $W_\tau$  on  $H_*(\mathcal{B}_\tau)$  combine to make the latter an  $\mathcal{A} \times W_\tau$  module. Thus for any  $x \in W_\tau$  we have  $xM_\tau \simeq M_\tau$  as  $\mathcal{A}$ -modules, by (5.3). The corollary follows.  $\square$

**(5.5)** We are ready to complete the proof of the theorem stated in the introduction. Suppose  $\tau \in \mathbf{T}$  has standard singularity of type  $J$ .

Let  $w^J$  be the longest element of  $W^J$ , and set  $\bar{\tau} := w^J\tau$ . Then  $\bar{\tau}$  has standard singularity of type  $\bar{J} := w^J J$ . Let  $M = M(\bar{\tau})$ . As in the proof of (5.3), the sum of weight spaces

$$\bigoplus_{z \in W_J/W_\tau} M_{z\tau}$$

is an irreducible principal series module over  $\mathcal{H}_J$ . It follows that there is a unique-up-to-isomorphism simple  $\mathcal{H}$ -module  $E = E(\tau)$  such that  $E_\tau \neq 0$ , namely,  $E$  is the unique simple quotient of  $M(\tau)$ . By [R1,(3.8)],  $E$  is also the unique submodule of  $M$ . In fact,  $E$  is the submodule of  $M$  generated by  $M_\tau$ , and  $E_{z\tau} = M_{z\tau}$  for all  $z \in W_J$ .

Examples: If  $e_\beta(\tau_h) \geq 1$  for all  $\beta > 0$ , then  $E(\tau)$  has standard singularity, and by [K,Thm. 2.4],  $E(\tau)$  is the unique constituent of  $M(\tau)$  containing the trivial character of  $\mathcal{H}_0$ . (This requires  $q_0 \leq q_\beta$  in (1.2a).) Likewise, if  $e_\beta(\tau_h) \leq 1$  for



all  $\beta > 0$ , then  $E(\tau)$  has standard singularity, is the unique constituent of  $M(\tau)$  containing the sign character of  $\mathcal{H}_0$ . If  $\mathbf{G} = GL_4(\mathbb{C})$  and  $\tau = (q, q, 1, q^2)$ , then  $\tau$  has standard singularity and  $E(\tau)$  is the full induced module from  $\text{trivial} \times \text{sign}$  on the  $A_1 \times A_1$  parabolic, hence  $E(\tau)$  contains neither the trivial nor sign characters of  $\mathcal{H}_0$ .

We want to compute the weight space  $E_{w\tau}$ , for  $w \in W$ . We may and shall choose  $w$  to have minimal length in its  $W_\tau$ -coset. Write  $w = yz$  with  $y \in W^J$ ,  $z \in W_J$ .

By (3.6), we have

$$E_{w\tau} = \sum_{x\tau = w\tau} F_{\mathbf{x},\tau} E_\tau,$$

for fixed choices of reduced expressions  $\mathbf{x}$ . Now  $x\tau = w\tau$  means  $x = yzu$  for some  $u \in W_\tau \subset W_J$ , and associating  $zu$  to  $x$  gives a bijection

$$\{x \in W : x\tau = w\tau\} \leftrightarrow \{v \in W_J : v\tau = z\tau\}.$$

We may choose reduced expressions  $\mathbf{y}$ ,  $\mathbf{v}$ , such that

$$\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{v})$$

is also reduced. Moreover,  $F_{\mathbf{y},\tau} = F_{\mathbf{y}}$ , since  $N(y) \cap \Delta_\tau^+ \subseteq N(y) \cap \Delta_J^+ = \emptyset$ . Thus

$$E_{w\tau} = \sum_{x\tau = w\tau} F_{\mathbf{x},\tau} E_\tau = F_{\mathbf{y}} \sum_{v\tau = z\tau} F_{\mathbf{v},\tau} E_\tau = F_{\mathbf{y}} E_{z\tau} = F_{\mathbf{y}} M_{z\tau}. \quad (5.5a)$$

We want to verify the hypotheses of (2.5). The data  $(\tau, w, w\tau, y)$  of (2.5) are here  $(\bar{\tau}, z(w^J)^{-1}, z\tau, y)$ .

Let  $y = s_k \dots s_1$  be a reduced expression. By the minimality of  $\ell(w)$ , the points  $z\tau, s_1 z\tau, \dots, s_k \dots s_1 z\tau$  are distinct. It will suffice to show that

$$N(y) \subseteq N(x^{-1}) \quad (5.5b)$$

for all

$$x \in z(w^J)^{-1} W_{\bar{\tau}}.$$

This last is  $zW_\tau(w^J)^{-1} \subseteq W_J(w^J)^{-1}$ , so  $x^{-1} \in w^J W_J = W_J w^J$ . Write  $x^{-1} = tw^J$  with  $t \in W_J$ .

Now

$$N(y) = \{s_1 \dots s_{i-1} \alpha_i : 1 \leq i \leq k\}.$$

If  $y \neq w^J$ , one checks, by downward induction on the length of  $y$ , that there are simple reflections  $s_{k+1}, \dots, s_m$  such that

$$y < s_{k+1} y < s_{k+2} s_{k+1} y < \dots < s_m \dots s_{k+1} y = w^J.$$

Then  $w^J = s_m s_{m-1} \dots s_1$  is reduced and

$$\{s_m s_{m-1} \dots s_i \alpha_i : 1 \leq i \leq m\} = -N((w^J)^{-1}) = -[\Delta^+ \setminus \Delta_J^+].$$

For  $1 \leq i \leq k$  we have

$$x^{-1} s_1 \dots s_{i-1} \alpha_i = t s_m s_{m-1} \dots s_i \alpha_i \in -t[\Delta^+ \setminus \Delta_J^+] \subseteq -\Delta^+,$$

since  $t \in W_{\bar{J}}$ . Hence (5.5b) holds, and we can apply (2.5). Recalling (5.5a), this tells us that the  $\mathcal{A}$ -module  $E_{w\tau}$  is the twist by  $y$  of the image of

$$\prod_{\beta \in R_{z\tau}(y)} e_{\beta} - e_{\beta}(z\tau) \in \mathfrak{m}_{z\tau} \quad (5.5c)$$

acting on  $M_{z\tau}$ , where  $R_{z\tau}(y)$  is the set of roots  $\beta \in \Delta$  for which  $\beta$  and  $y\beta$  have opposite signs, and  $\zeta_{\beta}(z\tau) = 0$ .

Recalling (5.3) and the remarks at the end of (4.1), we have proved our main result:

**Theorem(5.6).** *Suppose  $\tau$  has standard singularity of type  $J$ , and let  $E$  be the unique simple  $\mathcal{H}$ -module such that  $E_{\tau} \neq 0$ . Then the weight space  $E_{w\tau}$  may be computed as follows. Choose  $w$  to have minimal length in its  $W_{\tau}$ -coset. Write  $w = yz$  with  $y \in W^J$ ,  $z \in W_J$ . Then  $E_{w\tau}$  is isomorphic to the twist by  $y$  of the  $\mathcal{A}$ -submodule of  $H_*(\mathcal{B}_{z\tau})$  generated by*

$$j_{z\tau} \left( \prod_{\beta \in R_{z\tau}(y)} e_{\beta} - e_{\beta}(z\tau) \right) \cap [\mathcal{B}_{z\tau}].$$

Moreover, the dimension of  $E_{w\tau}$  equals the dimension of the  $\mathcal{A}$ -submodule of  $\mathcal{S}$  generated by

$$\left( \prod_{\beta \in R_{z\tau}(y)} \partial_{\beta} \right) \Pi_{z\tau}.$$

**Remark(5.7)** When computing  $(\prod_{\beta \in R_{z\tau}(y)} \partial_{\beta}) \Pi_{z\tau}$ , it is often convenient to replace  $R_{z\tau}(y)$  by a set of positive roots, namely

$$|R_{z\tau}(y)| := \{\beta \in N(y) : \zeta_{\beta}(z\tau)\zeta_{-\beta}(z\tau) = 0\} = N(y) \cap S_{z\tau}.$$

For, if  $\beta \in R_{z\tau}(y)$ , then exactly one of  $\pm\beta$  belongs to  $|R_{z\tau}(y)|$  and vice-versa, so

$$\prod_{\beta \in R_{z\tau}(y)} \partial_{\beta} = \pm \prod_{\beta \in |R_{z\tau}(y)|} \partial_{\beta}.$$

## 6. Remarks on the matrix $P_{\tau}$

We give here two formulas involving the matrix

$$P_{\tau} = [p_{u,v}]_{u,v \in W_{\tau}},$$

reminiscent of identities between Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials.

**Proposition(6.1).** *Assume  $W_{\tau} \subseteq W_J$ , for some  $J \subseteq \Sigma$ . Let  $w \in W$ , and write  $w = yz$  with  $y \in W^J$ ,  $z \in W_J$ . Then  $P_{w\tau} = P_{z\tau}$ .*

*Proof.* Replacing  $\tau$  by  $z\tau$ , we may assume  $z = 1$  and  $w \in W^J$ . Suppose  $sw < w$ . Then  $sw \in W^J$  as well. It suffices to show that

$$p_{swu,swv} = p_{wu,wv}$$

for all  $u, v \in W_\tau$ . Since  $w \in W^J$ ,  $u \in W_J$ , we have

$$N(w^{-1}) \subseteq N((wu)^{-1}),$$

so  $swu < wu$  for all  $u \in W_\tau$ . Using the recursion (1.6) we have

$$p_{wu, wv} = [\zeta_\alpha^{swv} - \zeta_\alpha^{wu}(\tau_0)]p_{wu, swv} + p_{swu, swv}.$$

It suffices to show that  $wu \not\leq swv$ . But if  $wu \leq swv$ , then  $w \leq wu \leq swv$ , and since  $w \in W^J$ , no reduced expression for  $w$  can end in a root from  $J$ , hence  $w < sw$ , contradiction.  $\square$

The second formula is an inversion formula for  $P_\tau$ , assuming the stronger condition  $W_\tau = W_J$ . Then we may as well assume  $W_\tau = W$ , and consider the matrix

$$P = [p_{z,x}]_{z,x \in W}.$$

Denote the inverse matrix coefficients by

$$P^{-1} = [p^{z,x}]_{z,x \in W}.$$

**Proposition (6.2).** *We have*

$$p^{z,x} = \epsilon(xz)p_{w_0x, w_0z},$$

where  $\epsilon$  is the sign character of  $W$  and  $w_0$  is the longest element of  $W$ .

*Proof.* Recalling the definition of  $p_{z,x}$ , we have to prove the following identity in  $\mathcal{H}_K$ , for every  $x \in W$ :

$$B_x = \sum_{z \in W} F_z \epsilon(xz) p_{w_0x, w_0z}. \quad (6.2a)$$

By induction on length, we may assume (6.2a) holds for  $x$ , and let  $s = s_\alpha$  be a simple reflection such that  $sx > x$ . Then by (1.5),

$$\begin{aligned} B_{sx} &= \zeta_\alpha^x(\tau_0) B_x + B_s B_x \\ &= \zeta_\alpha^x(\tau_0) \sum_{z \in W} F_z \epsilon(xz) p_{w_0x, w_0z} + \sum_{z \in W} (F_s - \zeta_\alpha) F_z \epsilon(xz) p_{w_0x, w_0z} \\ &= \zeta_\alpha^x(\tau_0) \sum_{z \in W} F_z \epsilon(xz) p_{w_0x, w_0z} + \sum_{z \in W} [F_{sz} \eta_{\alpha,z} - F_z \zeta_\alpha^z] \epsilon(xz) p_{w_0x, w_0z} \\ &= \sum_{z \in W} F_z \epsilon(sxz) \{ [\zeta_\alpha^z - \zeta_\alpha^x(\tau_0)] p_{w_0x, w_0z} + \eta_{\alpha, sz} p_{w_0x, w_0sz} \}, \end{aligned}$$

so we must show the expression in  $\{ , \}$  is  $p_{w_0sx, w_0z}$ . For  $sz < z$ , this is obtained by applying the recursion (1.6) to  $p_{w_0x, w_0sz}$ , then using the identity  $\zeta_\beta + \zeta_{-\beta} = 1 + q_\beta$ . Suppose  $sz > z$ . Applying (1.6) to  $p_{w_0x, w_0z}$  and  $p_{w_0sx, w_0z}$  gives

$$\begin{aligned} p_{w_0x, w_0z} &= [\zeta_\alpha^z - \zeta_{-\alpha}^x(\tau_0)] p_{w_0x, w_0sz} + p_{w_0sx, w_0sz}, \\ [\zeta_\alpha^z - \zeta_\alpha^x(\tau_0)] p_{w_0sx, w_0sz} &= p_{w_0sx, w_0z} - [\zeta_\alpha \zeta_{-\alpha}]^x(\tau_0) p_{w_0x, w_0sz}. \end{aligned}$$

The conclusion follows as in the previous case.  $\square$

Continue to assume that  $W_\tau = W_J$ . Let  $w_J$  be the longest element of  $W_J$ . Let

$$\Theta = [\theta_x]_{x \in W_J}$$

be a diagonal matrix indexed by  $W_J$ , with diagonal entries  $\theta_x \in \mathcal{A}_\tau$ , and let

$$\pi = [\pi_{x,z}]_{x,z \in W_J} = P_\tau \Theta P_\tau^{-1}.$$

We have seen that generators of  $\mathcal{H}$  act on the principal series  $M(\tau)$  by matrices of the form  $\pi(\tau)$ .

**Corollary(6.3).**

(1)

$$\pi_{z,x} = \sum_{x \leq y \leq z} \epsilon(yz) \theta_y p_{x,y} p_{w_J z, w_J y}.$$

(2)

$$\pi_{w_J z, w_J x} = \epsilon(xz) (\pi^{w_J})_{x,z},$$

where  $\pi^{w_J}$  is obtained from  $\pi$  by replacing  $\Theta$  by  $\Theta^{w_J}$ .

(3)

$$\pi_{e, w_J} = \epsilon(w_J) \zeta_{w_J} \sum_{y \in W_J} \epsilon(y) \theta_y.$$

If  $\theta_y = e_\lambda^y$ , where  $\lambda \in X^*(\mathbf{T})$  is  $J$ -dominant, the sum in (3) is  $\nu_{w_J} \chi_J(\lambda)$ , where  $\nu_{w_J}$  is the numerator of  $\zeta_{w_J}$ , and  $\chi_J(\lambda)$  is the character of the representation of the Levi subgroup  $L_J$ , with highest weight  $\lambda$ . Since  $\tau$  belongs to the center of  $L_J$ , it follows that the matrix coefficient  $\pi_{e, w_J}(\tau)$  is given by the Weyl dimension formula.

## 7. An example

Let  $\mathbf{G} = F_4(\mathbb{C})$ , with simple roots labelled 1—2  $\Leftarrow$  3—4. For  $c > 0$ , consider the affine Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}^c$  attached to  $\mathbf{G}$ , with parameters  $q_0 = q_1 = q_2 = q > 1$ ,  $q_3 = q_4 = q^c$ .

Let  $k$  be a nonarchimedean local field of residue cardinality  $q$ . Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be an Iwahori subgroup of the  $p$ -adic Chevalley group  $F_4(k)$ . Then  $\mathcal{H}^1$  is the  $\mathcal{I}$ -spherical Hecke algebra of  $F_4(k)$ . The irreducible admissible representations of  $F_4(k)$  containing a fixed vector under  $\mathcal{I}$  correspond bijectively to the finite dimensional irreducible representations  $\mathcal{H}^1$ , via the functor  $V \mapsto V^{\mathcal{I}}$ , see [B].

Though it is useful to let the parameter  $c$  vary continuously, we are most interested in  $\mathcal{H}^4$ , which arises as follows. Let  $P$  be the parahoric subgroup in  $E_8(k)$  of type  $D_4$ , and let  $\sigma$  be the unique cuspidal unipotent representation of the reductive quotient of  $P$ . We view  $\sigma$  as a representation of  $P$ . Then  $\mathcal{H}^4$  is isomorphic to the algebra of smooth compactly supported functions  $f : E_8(k) \rightarrow \text{End}(\sigma)$ , such that  $f(pgp') = \sigma(p)f(g)\sigma(p')$  for all  $g \in G$ ,  $p, p' \in P$ . The irreducible admissible representations  $V$  of  $E_8(k)$  containing  $\sigma$  upon restriction to  $P$  correspond bijectively to the finite dimensional irreducible representations of  $\mathcal{H}^4$ , via the functor  $V \mapsto V^\sigma := \text{Hom}_P(\sigma, V)$ , see [L1], [M].

If  $V^{\mathcal{I}} \neq 0$  or  $V^\sigma \neq 0$  respectively, then  $V$  is square integrable if and only if all weights  $\tau$  in  $V^{\mathcal{I}}, V^\sigma$  have the property  $|e_\lambda(\tau)| < 1$  for every dominant weight  $\lambda$  of  $\mathbf{G} = F_4(\mathbb{C})$ . From [KL] we know that  $F_4(k)$  has exactly 18 square integrable representations of  $F_4(k)$  with  $V^{\mathcal{I}} \neq 0$ . Likewise, in [R4], there are listed 18 square integrable representations  $V$  of  $E_8(k)$  with  $V^\sigma \neq 0$ . (This list is now known to be complete.) Most of the corresponding representations  $V^\sigma$  of  $\mathcal{H}^4$  have standard singularity, in the sense of (5.1). One of these, labelled  $[A_1 E_7, -3]$  in [R4], cannot be analyzed by the results in [R1]. To describe its weights, we write  $\tau = [t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4] \in \mathbf{T}$ , a maximal torus of  $F_4(\mathbb{C})$ , where  $e_{\alpha_i}(\tau) = q^{-t_i}$  (all  $t_i$  will be real, in this example).

Suppose  $c > 2$ . Consider the weights

$$\tau = [0, 1, 0, c - 2], \quad \tau' = [1 - c, 0, c, 0] \in \mathbf{T}.$$

These have standard singularities of type  $J = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_3\}$ ,  $J' = \{\alpha_2, \alpha_4\}$ , respectively.

Each  $W$ -orbit in  $\mathbf{T}$  forms a graph, with an edge between  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  iff there is a simple root  $\alpha$  such that  $s_\alpha \tau_1 = \tau_2$  and  $\zeta_\alpha(\tau_1)\zeta_{-\alpha}(\tau_1)$  is finite nonzero. The weight multiplicities are constant on the components of the graph [R1,3.6]. In this case,  $\tau$  and  $\tau'$  belong to the same component:

$$\tau = [0, 1, 0, c-2] \overset{4}{-} [0, 1, c-2, 2-c] \overset{3}{-} [0, c-1, 2-c, 0] \overset{2}{-} [c-1, 1-c, c, 0] \overset{1}{-} [1-c, 0, c, 0] = \tau'.$$

Hence there is a unique simple  $\mathcal{H}^c$ -module  $E^c$  containing  $\tau, \tau'$ , and these weights have multiplicity  $4 = |W_\tau|$  in  $E^c$ . Now,  $E^4$  is our module  $[A_1 E_7, -3]$ . We will use theorem (5.6) to find the weights in  $E^c$ . This will show, among other things, that  $E^4$  is square integrable. Since  $\tau = \tau_h$ , we have  $z = 1$  in (5.6).

We have

$$\Pi_\tau = h_{\alpha_1} h_{\alpha_3}, \quad \Pi_{\tau'} = h_{\alpha_2} h_{\alpha_4}.$$

Since

$$\partial_{\alpha_2} \Pi_\tau = -h_{\alpha_1} - h_{\alpha_3} \neq 0, \quad \partial_{\alpha_3} \Pi_{\tau'} = -2h_{\alpha_4} - h_{\alpha_2} \neq 0,$$

we find that  $E^c$  contains the components of  $s_2\tau$  and  $s_3\tau'$ , namely,

$$\begin{aligned} & [1, 1, -2, c] \overset{3}{-} [1, -1, 2, c-2] \overset{4}{-} [1, -1, c, 2-c] \\ & [c-1, 1, -c, c] \overset{1}{-} [1-c, c, -c, c] \overset{2}{-} [1, -c, c, c], \end{aligned}$$

and these weights have multiplicity two in  $E^c$ .

Since  $|R_\tau(s_1 s_2)| = \{\alpha_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2\}$ , and  $|R_\tau(s_4 s_3)| = \{\alpha_3, \alpha_3 + \alpha_4\}$ , we have

$$\partial_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} \partial_{\alpha_2} \Pi_\tau = -1 + 1 = 0, \quad \partial_{\alpha_3 + \alpha_4} \partial_{\alpha_3} \Pi_{\tau'} = -2 + 2 = 0,$$

so the weights  $s_1 s_2 \tau$  and  $s_4 s_3 \tau'$  do not appear in  $E^c$ . On the other hand,

$$|R_\tau(s_4 s_3 s_2)| = \{\alpha_2, 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4\},$$

and

$$\partial_{2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4} \partial_{\alpha_2} \Pi_\tau = 3 \neq 0,$$

so  $s_4 s_3 s_2 \tau$  is a weight in  $E^c$  with multiplicity one. Its component is

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} s_1 s_2 s_3 \tau' = [-1, 1-c, c, c] & \overset{2}{-} & [-c, c-1, 2-c, c] & \overset{3}{-} & [-c, 1, c-2, 2] & \overset{4}{-} & [-c, 1, c, -2] \\ & & \left| \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \hline 1 \end{array} \right. & & \left| \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \hline 1 \end{array} \right. & & \left| \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \hline 1 \end{array} \right. \\ & & [c, -1, 2-c, c] & \overset{3}{-} & [c, 1-c, c-2, 2] & \overset{4}{-} & [c, 1-c, c, -2] \\ & & & & \left| \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \hline 2 \end{array} \right. & & \left| \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \hline 2 \end{array} \right. \\ & & & & [1, c-1, -c, 2] & \overset{4}{-} & [1, c-1, 2-c, -2] \\ & & & & & & \left| \begin{array}{c} 3 \\ \hline 3 \end{array} \right. \\ & & & & & & [1, 1, c-2, -c] = s_4 s_3 s_2 \tau \end{array}$$

Continuing in this way, we find no more weights in  $E^c$ . Writing each of the fundamental dominant weights as linear combinations of simple roots, one verifies the square-integrability condition for  $c = 4$ .

The weights provide additional information about the corresponding representation  $V$  of  $E_8(k)$  that is needed in [R4]. The co-roots  $\check{\alpha}_i$  are naturally associated to simple roots of  $E_8$  outside the Levi subgroup  $L$  of type  $D_4$ , by means of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{cccccccc} \check{\alpha}_1 & - & \check{\alpha}_2 & - & \check{\alpha}_3 & - & \bullet & - & \bullet & - & \bullet & - & \check{\alpha}_4 \\ & & & & & & & & \downarrow & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \bullet & & & & \end{array}$$

Let  $L^{ad}$  be the adjoint group of  $L$ . Since  $L$  has connected center, it follows from [B2,15.7] that the natural homomorphism  $L \rightarrow L^{ad}$  is surjective on  $k$ -rational points. Now  $\sigma$  may be viewed as a representation of a hyperspecial maximal compact subgroup of  $L^{ad}(k)$ . Via compact induction, we get an irreducible supercuspidal representation  $[\sigma]$ , of  $L^{ad}(k)$ . We view  $[\sigma]$  as a representation of  $L(k)$  via the surjection  $L(k) \rightarrow L^{ad}(k)$ .

The torus  $\mathbf{T}$  may be identified with the set of unramified characters of  $L(k)$ . For  $\tau \in \mathbf{T}$ , with  $\bar{\tau}$  as in (5.5), we have [R3, (6.1)]

$$(\text{Ind}_{Q(k)}^{E_8(k)}[\sigma] \otimes \tau)^\sigma \simeq M(\bar{\tau}),$$

where  $M(\bar{\tau})$  is the principal series module for  $\mathcal{H}^4$  as in §1, and  $Q$  is the standard parabolic subgroup of  $E_8$  with Levi  $L$ . Since  $E^4$  is the unique irreducible submodule of  $M(\bar{\tau})$  (see (5.5)), we know that  $V$  is the unique irreducible subrepresentation of  $\text{Ind}_Q^G[\sigma] \otimes \tau$ . But the weights say more: The map  $F_{s_1} : E_{s_2 s_3 \tau'}^4 \rightarrow E_{s_1 s_2 s_3 \tau'}^4$  has one dimensional kernel  $U$ . Since  $F_{s_3}$  and  $F_{s_4}$  kill  $E_{s_2 s_3 \tau'}^4$ , this kernel is invariant under the parabolic subalgebra  $\mathcal{H}_I^4 \subset \mathcal{H}^4$ , where  $I = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_3, \alpha_4\}$ . Therefore  $E^4$  is a quotient of the smaller induced representation  $\mathcal{H} \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_I} U$ . It follows that  $V$  is a quotient of a representation induced from the  $A_1 \times E_6$  parabolic in  $E_8(k)$ . Since  $e_{\alpha_1}(s_2 s_3 \tau') = q^{-1}$ , the inducing representation is Steinberg on the  $A_1$  factor. Since  $e_{\alpha_3}(s_2 s_3 \tau') = e_{\alpha_4}(s_2 s_3 \tau') = q^{-4}$ , the  $E_6$ -factor is the unique square integrable representation of simply connected  $E_6(k)$  containing  $\sigma$  (see [R4, §11], where there are three such representations of adjoint  $E_6(k)$ , differing by unramified twists, and these become isomorphic on the isogenous image of simply connected  $E_6(k)$ .) A similar analysis can be made with the weight  $s_4 s_3 s_2 \tau$ , to see that  $V$  is a quotient of an induced from the  $A_2 \times D_5$  parabolic in  $E_8(k)$ .

Next, we consider the restriction of  $V$  to maximal compact subgroups of  $E_8(k)$ . This is equivalent to restricting  $E^4$  to maximal parahoric subalgebras of  $\mathcal{H}^4$  [R4, §4]. Since  $\tau = \tau_h$ , it suffices to restrict to the subalgebra  $\mathcal{H}_0$  (the other restrictions then being obtained by restricting to reflection subgroups of  $W(F_4)$ , see [R5,5.7]).

There are two methods. First, we have described  $E^4$  as part of a one parameter family of modules. Since the operators  $T_s$  on  $E^c$  have continuous matrix entries for  $c > 0$ , we can let  $c \rightarrow 1$  without changing the restriction to the finite dimensional semisimple subalgebra  $\mathcal{H}_0$ . Fortunately, the representation  $E^1$  comes from a square-integrable representation of  $F_4(k)$ . (It can happen that  $E^4$  is square-integrable, but  $E^1$  is not even tempered.) Since  $E^1$  is tempered, we can calculate the restriction in  $E^1$  using results of Lusztig, along with Shoji's calculation of Green polynomials (see [R4, §8]). We find that

$$E^4|_{\mathcal{H}_0} = \phi_{(12,4)} + \phi'_{(8,9)} + \phi''_{(8,9)} + \phi_{(9,10)} + \phi_{(4,13)} + \phi_{(1,24)}. \quad (7.1)$$

These are representations of the Weyl group  $W(F_4)$ , as in [C, 13.2], which correspond to unipotent representations of  $E_8(\mathbb{F}_q)$ , as tabulated in [C, 13.9].

In the second method, we use the weights to arrive at (7.1) in another way, which is more elementary and does not rely on a deformation  $c \rightarrow 1$ . Instead we deform  $q \rightarrow 1$ . The resulting representation  $E_{q=1}$  is now a (reducible) representation of the affine Weyl group  $\widetilde{W}(F_4)$ . We want to determine its restriction to the finite Weyl group  $W(F_4)$ . From our previous observations on parabolic induction, we see that  $E_{q=1}$  is contained in both representations

$$\text{Ind}_{\langle s_1, s_3, s_4 \rangle}^{W(F_4)} [11] \otimes [111], \quad \text{Ind}_{\langle s_1, s_2, s_4 \rangle}^{W(F_4)} [111] \otimes [11],$$

where  $[1^n]$  is the sign character of  $S_n$ . Decomposing these using Alvis' tables [A], we find that

$$E_{q=1} = a\phi_{(12,4)} + b(\phi'_{(8,9)} + \phi''_{(8,9)}) + c\phi_{(9,10)} + d\phi_{(4,13)} + e\phi_{(1,24)} + f\phi'_{6,6} + g\phi_{16,5},$$

with  $a, b, d, e, f, g \leq 1$ ,  $c \leq 2$ . Now, from the weight multiplicities, we get

$$\dim E_{q=1} = 42,$$

and one easily calculates (see [R4, (9.5a)]) the trace of  $s_1$  to be

$$\text{tr}(s_1, E_{q=1}) = -10.$$

Using the character table of  $W(F_4)$  or [C, 11.3.6], we again obtain the decomposition (7.1).

#### REFERENCES

- [A]. D. Alvis, *Induce/restrict matrices for Weyl groups*, tables available on the internet.
- [BS]. M. Beynon, N. Spaltenstein, *tables of Green Polynomials for exceptional groups*, Warwick computer science centre report no. 23, 1986.
- [B]. A. Borel, *Admissible representations of a semisimple group over a local field with vectors fixed under an Iwahori subgroup*, *Invent. Math.* **35** (1976), 133–159.
- [B2]. A. Borel, *Linear Algebraic Groups*, Springer Verlag, 1991.
- [BGG]. Bernstein, I.N., Gelfand, I.M., Gelfand, S.I., *Schubert cells and cohomology of the spaces  $G/P$* , *Russian Math. Surveys* **28** (1973), 1–26.
- [BK]. C. Bushnell, P. Kutzko, *The admissible dual of  $GL(N)$  via compact open subgroups*, Princeton Univ. Press, 1993.
- [C]. R. Carter, *Finite groups of Lie type: Conjugacy classes and characters*, Wiley, 1985.
- [CG]. N. Chriss, V. Ginzburg, *Representation theory and complex geometry*, Birkhauser, 1997.
- [HM]. R. Howe, A. Moy, *Hecke algebra isomorphisms for  $GL_n$  over a  $p$ -adic field*, *J. Alg* **131** (1990), 388–424.
- [IM]. N. Iwahori and H. Matsumoto, *On some Bruhat decompositions and the structure of the Hecke ring of the  $p$ -adic groups*, *Publ. I.H.E.S* **25** (1965), 5–48.
- [J]. J.C. Jantzen, *Moduln mit einem höchsten Gewicht*, vol. 750, Springer Lecture Notes, 1979.
- [KL]. D. Kazhdan, G. Lusztig, *Proof of the Deligne-Langlands conjecture for Hecke algebras*, *Invent. Math.* **87** (1987), 153–215.
- [K]. S.-I. Kato, *Irreducibility of principal series representations for Hecke algebras of affine type*, *J. Fac. Sci., U. of Tokyo* **28** (1982), 929–943.
- [Ki]. J.-L. Kim, *Hecke algebras of classical groups over  $p$ -adic fields and supercuspidal representations*, preprint, *Amer. Jour. Math.* **121** (1999), 967–1029.
- [L1]. G. Lusztig, *Classification of unipotent representations of simple  $p$ -adic groups*, *IMRN* **11** (1995), 517–589.
- [L2]. G. Lusztig, *Affine Hecke algebras and their graded version*, *Jn. AMS* **2** (1989), 599–635.

- [L3]. G. Lusztig, *Cuspidal Local Systems and graded Hecke algebras II*, Representations of groups, vol. 16, Conf. Proc. Canad. Math. Soc, 1995, pp. 217–275.
- [Mat]. H. Matsumoto, *Analyse harmonique dans les systems de Tits bornologiques de type affine*, vol. 590, Springer lecture notes, 1977.
- [M]. L. Morris, *Tamely ramified intertwining algebras*, Invent. Math. **114** (1993), 233–274.
- [R1]. M. Reeder, *Nonstandard intertwining operators and the structure of unramified principal series representations of  $p$ -adic groups*, Forum. Math. **9** (1997), 457-516.
- [R2]. M. Reeder, *Whittaker functions, prehomogeneous vector spaces and standard representations of*, J. Reine. Angew. Math. **450** (1994), 83-121.
- [R3]. M. Reeder, *Hecke algebras and harmonic analysis on  $p$ -adic groups*, Am. Jour. Math. **119** (1997), 225-249.
- [R4]. M. Reeder, *Formal degrees and  $L$ -packets of unipotent discrete series representations of exceptional  $p$ -adic groups*, to appear, Crelle’s Journal.
- [R5]. M. Reeder, *Euler-Poincaré pairings and elliptic representations of  $p$ -adic groups and Weyl groups*, preprint (1999).
- [Ro]. A. Roche, *Types and Hecke algebras for principal series representations of split reductive  $p$ -adic groups*, Ann ENS **31** (1998), 361–413.
- [Sho]. T. Shoji, *Green polynomials for Chevalley groups of type  $F_4$* , Comm. Alg. **10** (1982), 505-543.